Emotional reactions in patients after frontal lobe stroke
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Abstract

Background/Aim. Emotional reactions have been documented after tumor lesions and the other damages of the brain. The aim of this paper was to examine the correlation between frontal lobe lesions and emotional reactions in patients with stroke. Methods. The research included 118 patients after stroke. Lesion localization was defined on computed axial tomography records, whereas the area and perimeter of lesion were measured by AutoCAD 2004 software. Examinations by means of the Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety and Depression (HRSA and HRSD) were carried out 11–40 days after stroke. Statistic data were processed by simple linear/nonlinear regression, Cox’s and the generalized linear model. Results. A higher frequency of emotional reactions, i.e. anxiety, was determined in women after stroke (p = 0.024). A negative correlation between the lesion size and the intensity of anxiety manifestations was determined (Spearman’s r = -0.297; p = 0.001). Anxiety was more frequent in patients with frontal lobe lesions in the dominant hemisphere (interaction: frontal lesion * hand dominant hemisphere, p = 0.017). Also, HRSD score values showed the tendency for lesser decline in case of greater frontal lobe lesions in relation to lesions of other regions of prosencephalon (interaction: frontal lesion * lesion area, p = 0.001). Conclusion. The results of this study indicate the correlation between evolutionary younger structures of the central nervous system and emotional reactions of man. Therefore, it is necessary to undertake proper early psychopharmacotherapy in the vulnerable group of patients.
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Introduction

Emotional reactions have been documented after tumor lesions and the other damages of the brain 1. The aim of this paper was to examine the significance of frontal lobe lesions for the control of emotional behaviour in patients with stroke. In our study we started from the hypothesis that frontal lobe lesions (contrary to the lesions of other regions of the
forebrain) would cause statistically significant changes of emotional behaviour in patients with stroke.

Methods

Inclusion criteria of participants

The research included a total of 118 persons suffering from cerebrovascular stroke (of ischemic and hemorrhagic origin) who had no previously diagnosed psychiatric disorders: 59 male persons and 59 female persons at the age span 44–87 years. The patients were inquired at the Neurological Department of the Institute for Physical Medicine, Rehabilitation and Orthopaedic Surgery “Dr. Miroslav Zotović” Banja Luka. The study had two phases. In the first phase we assessed inclusion criteria, and in the second one we carried out psychological testing. The study included patients with first stroke and macroscopic lesions of prosencephalon on computed axial tomography (CAT) records. CAT records were done in the period of 72 h after stroke and psychometric examination 11–40 days after stroke. The exact day of psychometric testing for each patient was defined by means of the method of random selection. The patients were assessed once in the observed period.

Due to a significant mixture of influences, patients in heavier, comorbid states (heart decompensation, unstable angina, infarctus myocardii in the previous year and the year of examination, infective diseases, malignant and chronic immunological diseases) were excluded. Also, the study included only patients with baseline National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score at the moment of psychological testing 2 ≤ X ≤ 10. A total score on NIHSS scale ranges between 0–42, where higher values reflect greater weight of cerebral infarction. NIHSS score of less than 10 includes patients with mild and adequately severe neurological deficit 2. Among the patients with mild neurological deficit, those were included with whom “drift test” was positive on the same sided extremities (NIHSS = 1 + 1) or NIHSS score had the value of minimum 2 on one of the extremities. Exclusion criteria were also moderate and severe dysphasia since they complicate to a great extent carrying out of verbal neuropsychological tests which were used in our study. The study was approved by the Faculty of Medicine in Banja Luka Ethic Committee and the participants gave informed consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

Research instruments

Thes morphometric research included superacute (up to 24 h) and acute ischemic/hemorrhagic lesions (24 h up to 3 days). Sensitivity of CAT scanner in detection of early ischemic lesions is limited, and only one half of all strokes are visualized within 48 h after the stroke 3, 4. Brain edema and the mass effect reach their maximum values usually 3 to 5 days after the stroke 5. Given that in this case the pathological process spreads more and more into the healthy tissue, in our study morphological research was limited to lesions that appeared up to 72 h after the stroke. The measurement of the area of hemorrhagic lesions (in 13 patients) included the zone of cytotoxic edema too.

Localization of lesions with clearly stated damages of specific morphoanatomic structures 5, 6 was defined on non-contrast CAT records (5 mm layer thickness) on the surface of the biggest lesion cross section. Cerebral lesions were classified into the following categories: frontal lobe/other forebrain segments damages; striate body damages (yes/no); limbic lobe, i.e. medial and basolateral limbic cortex, adjacent white matter, limbic nuclei damages (yes/no), and interbrain damages (thalamus and/or hypothalamus) (yes/no). The aforementioned lobe categories have included both cortical and subcortical lesions. To define deep frontal lesions, the border of the frontal lobe at the level of insular cortex and parainsular structure sections was the orthogonal line drawn through the front end of sulcus circularis insulae on the axis of neuraxis (mediosagittal plane), thus comprising preaudate structures. Mixed lesions that caught the adjacent lobes were included into frontal lesions (25 of total 35 frontal lesions were mixed). The area and perimeter of lesions were measured by AutoCAD digital planimetry (Figure 1) with previous transformation of CAT records into the digital format by means of a digital camera with resolution 8 Mpx. AutoCAD 2004 for PC Windows (developed by Autodesk, Inc. San Rafael, California, USA; see http://usa.autodesk.com/autocad) belongs to programme package groups meant for drawing, projecting and other forms of computer application in engineering practice. This programme package can be used for measuring surfaces which have irregular geometric forms, such as the structures of central nervous system 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Perimeter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>966.29 mm²</td>
<td>16,577 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 – AutoCAD digital morphometry. Frontoparietal cerebrovascular lesion affecting the right gyrus frontalis superior, right gyrus precentralis and deep anterior groove segment of gyrus postcentralis.

Psychometric tests

The following psychometric tests were used to test disorders in psychic functions: the Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HRSA), 14 items 8; values 0–13 are in the normal range


Table 1

| Coefficient of determination (R²) of the largest cross-section area of cerebrovascular lesions and the intensity level of anxiety manifestations [Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HRSA) score values] |
|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | R²  | F   | df1 | df2 | p               | Regression coefficient b1 |
| Linear          | 0.066 | 8.168 | 1   | 116 | 0.005           | 8.831            | -0.003           |
| Logarithmic     | 0.068 | 8.411 | 1   | 116 | 0.004           | 17.521           | -1.669           |
| Power           | 0.070 | 8.727 | 1   | 116 | 0.004           | 27.150           | -0.251           |
| Exponential     | 0.063 | 7.850 | 1   | 116 | 0.006           | 7.308            | -0.0004          |

Dependent variable: HRSA score.
The independent variable is lesion area (mm²).
Linear: -0.00253 * x + 8.8314.
Power: 27.149 * x^{0.2686}.

Results

The frontal lobe was affected in 35 (29.7%) of the cases, corpus striatum in 33, limbic lobe in 19, and interbrain (thalamus and/or hypothalamus) in 15 of the cases. The mean value of HRSA score on the examined sample of patients was 7.39 (SD = 4.741, n = 118). Anxiety on the same sample (HRSA positive, i.e. score > 13) was found in 17.8% of the patients and anxiety comorbid with depression (HRSD score > 7) in 11.0% of the cases. The statistical parameter values (Figure 2, Table 1) were obtained by using regression analysis of HRSA score values of all patients (anxious and not anxious) and the area of the biggest cross-section of cerebrovascular lesions.

For the purpose of an orientation insight into childhood quality of object relations (up to the age of 18) of patients affected by cerebrovascular stroke, the following parameters were tested: patient’s primary family profile compared to its integrity: divorces, death of a parent; continuous separation of the patient from his/her mother: death of mother during childbirth, custody of a child given to father after divorce, the adopted child, woman immature for the role of a mother gives her child to someone else; discontinuous separation of mother from the patient: prolonged hospitalization of mother due to mental illness, prolonged hospitalisation of mother due to somatic illness, parental substitutes – “weekend” mother, which was justified with housing and economic reasons. The patients who presented one or more positive answers were classified into category: detachment from parents = yes, which was used for further statistical analysis. We used the Handedness Questionnaire to evaluate the dominance of brain hemisphere in sensory-motor functions.

Statistic analyses

The size of focal lesion was brought in connection with the intensity of emotional manifestations by applying following mathematical/statistical models: Pearson’s coefficient of linear correlation (basic assumptions of the linear model: normality, homoscedasticity were tested), simple nonlinear regression as well as Spearman’s rank correlation. Besides classical parameters such as odds ratio (OR) and relative risk (RR) we also used Kaplan-Meier’s and Cox’s hazard model, and Generalized Linear Model. To reduce variability, one examiner, i.e. the first author, carried out all HRSA and HRSD psychometric testing. Statistic analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 for Windows. Statistical conclusions were derived on the basis of 2-tailed p values and the level of significance p < 0.05.

A statistically significant linear correlation between the area of the biggest cross-section of cerebrovascular lesions and the intensity of manifestations of anxiety (HRSA scores) (p = 0.005) was established by means of regression analysis and
the coefficient of determination (R²). Due to violation of the basic assumptions of the linear model: normality (Shapiro-Wilk, p < 0.001) and homoscedasticity, we examined the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. A negative correlation between the size of cerebrovascular lesions and the intensity of manifestations of anxiety in the patients with stroke was obtained (Spearman’s r = -0.297, p = 0.001). Exclusion of high leverage values and values with a high Cook’s distance from the regression model did not change the direction of Pearson’s r or statistical significance, and the rank correlation coefficient was: Spearman r = -0.294 (p = 0.001).

The odds ratio (OR) and relative risk (RR) of dependence of the occurrence of anxiety on variables of interest are shown in Table 2. Kaplan-Meier analysis showed a higher hazard of the occurrence of anxiety in female persons (Log Rank, p = 0.024) (Figure 3).

Table 3 shows Cox’s regression analysis of the occurrence of anxiety depending on the degree of affection of the frontal lobe. It was established by means of Cox’s analysis that the occurrence of anxiety depends on patient’s gender. A higher risk for female persons was ascertained (p = 0.033). On the other hand, dependence of the occurrence of anxiety on frontal lobe affection was not obtained (p = 0.277). By inserting the same independent variables that were used in Table 3 (except for the frontal lobe variable) into Cox’s model, we found that the risk of the occurrence of anxiety due to corpus striatum affection was 50.7% lower, but this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.223).

The results of the Generalized Linear Model of the dependence of the intensity of anxiety manifestations (HRSA scores) on frontal lobe affection in the dominant hemisphere are shown in Table 4 and Figure 4.

![Fig. 3 – Kaplan-Meier hazard analysis of anxiety manifestations in patients with stroke depending on the patients gender. “Survival functions” is the common name for presented functions, since the initial tests were used to assess the risk of death from specific disease. The lower the curve of an event (in our example the occurrence of anxiety) for the modality of tested characteristics (gender of respondents), the higher the risk.](image)

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (OR)</th>
<th>Relative risk (RR)</th>
<th>Fisher’s exact test (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female / male)</td>
<td>1.802</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment from parents (e.g. death of parent or divorce before age 18) (yes / no)</td>
<td>1.853</td>
<td>1.628</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand-dominant hemisphere (yes / no)</td>
<td>2.163</td>
<td>1.903</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe / Other forebrain segments</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striated body (yes / no)</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic lobe (limbic cortex, adjacent white matter, limbic nuclei) (yes / no)</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diencephalon (yes / no)</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic lesion (yes / no)</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Regression coefficient b</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Hazard ratio e^b (HR)</th>
<th>95.0% CI for HR lower</th>
<th>upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female / male)</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>2.739</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>6.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment from parents (yes / no)</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>3.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion area (mm²)</td>
<td>-0.00065</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand dominant hemisphere (yes / no)</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>1.784</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>4.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe (yes / no)</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>1.762</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>4.889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results of the Generalized Linear Model of dependence of the intensity of depression manifestations (HRSD scores) on frontal lobe affection and the size of cerebrovascular lesions are shown in Table 5 and Figure 5.

By applying the Generalized Linear Model we established that greater frontal lobe lesions (in relation to lesions of other regions of the forebrain) are associated with a smaller decrease in the intensity of manifestations of depression (p = 0.001). In case of damages of other regions of the forebrain, the regression coefficient was $b = -0.000650$, whereas in case of damage of the frontal lobe the regression coefficient increases and has the value $b = 0.000463$ (Table 5). Using the formula $\ln(Y) = 1.9369 - 0.000650 \cdot [\text{lesion area (mm}^2\text{)}] + 0.000463 \cdot [\text{lesion area (mm}^2\text{)} \cdot \text{frontal lobe (No = 0; Yes = 1)}]$ in case of the lesion size of 218.72 mm² and damage of the other regions of prosencephalon, the predicted value of the mean of response of HRSD score was: $Y = e^{1.794732}$, that is, 6.018 (Euler’s number, $e = 2.71828$), while in case of frontal lobe damage by the same lesion size the HRSD score is somewhat higher: $Y = e^{1.805999}$, that is, 6.659. An insight into the effect of the interaction frontal lobe * lesion area is gained by comparing the previously obtained values with HRSD score for greater lesions. For the lesion size of 1211.52 mm², HRSD score was $Y = 3.156$ due to damage of the other regions of prosencephalon, whereas in the case of frontal lobe it amounts to $Y = 5.531$, which is proportionally much greater than in the previous case 75.3% : 10.7% (Figure 5).

Fig. 4 – Estimated marginal means of Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HRSA) score. Figure shows estimated marginal means of HRSA score in case of simple or combined affectedness of the frontal lobe and hand dominant hemisphere. Simultaneous lesion of the frontal lobe and hand dominant hemisphere abruptly amplifies the value of HRSA score (p = 0.017).

Fig. 5 – Interaction: frontal lobe * lesion area. The figure portrays significantly lesser decrease of Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) score when the frontal lobe is affected.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Wald chi-square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>833.110</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion area (mm²)</td>
<td>12.787</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe * Hand dominant hemisphere</td>
<td>10.186</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent variable: HRSA score.
Model: intercept, lesion area (mm²), frontal lobe *hand dominant hemisphere.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Regression coefficient b</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Wald chi-square</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.9369</td>
<td>0.075170</td>
<td>1.7896</td>
<td>2.0843</td>
<td>663.959</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion area (mm²)</td>
<td>-0.000650</td>
<td>0.000120</td>
<td>-0.000886</td>
<td>-0.000415</td>
<td>29.317</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Frontal lobe = Yes]</td>
<td>0.000463</td>
<td>0.000134</td>
<td>0.000201</td>
<td>0.000726</td>
<td>11.969</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Lesion area (mm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Frontal lobe = No]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Lesion area (mm²)</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Set to zero because this parameter is redundant.
In the example dependence analysis of the intensity of depression symptoms on the size of lesions and affection of the frontal lobe (Table 5), we point out to authors that the value of correlation of the assessed parameters: lesion area with frontal lobe (Yes) * lesion area was 0.622. By using the general linear model with a transformed fourth root dependent (HRSD score) and an independent (lesion area) variable in order to satisfy the assumptions of the model (normality and homogeneity of variances), the observed power of the lesion area parameter was 0.967, while the observed power of the parameter frontal lobe (Yes) * lesion area amounted to an acceptable 0.790. By using linear regression with the stated transformed fourth root variables, collinearity between independent variables (lesion area and frontal lobe (Yes) * lesion area), that is, variance inflation factor was: VIF = 1.163, whereas the Condition Index = 12.634. The general linear model and multiple linear regression with transformed variables confirmed the results of the generalized linear model.

Discussion

Incidence of anxiety in patients with stroke

The frequency of anxiety in patients with cerebrovascular accident to a great extent depends on the design of the study, that is, the time of observing the patients as well as the psychometric tests used thereby. De Wit et al. 14 established the prevalence of anxiety two months after stroke in 25% of patients. This prevalence was 22% four and six months after the occurrence of stroke. Similarly, Aström 15 found the generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) in the early stages after stroke (the first three months) in 28% of the patients. Lepävuo et al. 16 describe the frequency of GAD three to four months after stroke of 20.6%. Observed over a longer period (3–5 years after stroke), the frequency of anxiety disorder does not differ significantly, amounting to about 20% 17.

By contrast to the mentioned studies, we stress that in our research we investigated the frequency of anxiety according to the HRSA criteria, and not the diagnostics of anxiety disorder (for instance, according to ICD-10 or DSM-IV). The frequency of anxiety 11–40 days after the occurrence of stroke amounted to 17.8%. We explain the differences in the frequency of anxiety in our research (17.8%) in relation to the one found in other studies 14, 15 (25% and 28%, respectively) in terms of different design of those studies, that is, later observation period (2–3 months after stroke), diagnosing anxiety by means of other measurement scales (for example, by using “Hospital Anxiety Scale”), as well as the presence of poorer somatic state of patients in our study due to a shorter period of recovery after stroke. A relatively low frequency of anxiety in our study is explained by the characteristics of HRSA that attaches greater significance to somatic equivalents of emotions, but also to the specific milieu our study was done in, which improves patients’ psychosomatic state (patient health care involving rehabilitation Z50). There also arises the question of the validity of the study methodology in the acute phase of stroke (the first three months), given that the presence of anxiety symptoms is necessary to last as long as six months for diagnosing the generalized anxiety disorder by DSM-IV criteria 15.

Correlation analysis between intensity of anxiety manifestations and the size of cerebrovascular lesions

Interestingly, the literature on this subject is scarce. Sharpe et al. 17 exclude the connection between the size of lesion and the intensity of anxiety, but this study was done with patients three to five years after stroke. On the other hand, we examined this connection in the subacute phase, that is, 11–40 days after stroke. In our study the linear determination coefficient for the area of the biggest cross-section of cerebrovascular lesions and the intensity of anxiety manifestations (HRSA scores) was $R^2 = 0.066$ ($p = 0.005$). Due to a significant deviation of the basic assumption of the linear model – normality of the observed HRSA score values, as well as because of the presence of more severe heteroscedasticity (at lower values of the lesion area), the rank correlation coefficient was calculated. Spearman’s coefficient was $r = -0.297$ ($p = 0.001$). A negative correlation between the lesion size and the intensity of anxiety manifestation was confirmed in this way. We explained a lower intensity of anxiety manifestations in patients with a greater area of cerebrovascular lesions by the activation of repair mechanisms due to poorer somatic state of patients in the subacute phase of stroke. From the viewpoint of evolutionary psychology and works of some researchers, depression-withdrawal has a defence character with the aim of saving organism energy 18. In a similar way, greater lesions of the brain might also activate defence mechanisms associated with stopping the anxiety and energy saving. A high frequency of mild anxiety in our study (71.4% of the total anxiety) speaks in favour of this. One of the reasons for the indifference of patients with greater strokes, which is in accordance with the James-Lange theory of emotions, is the malfunctioning of peripheral sensitive/proprioceptive innervations (due to neurological deficits) that act as the antecedent of emotions. Although this concept was abandoned in favour of the Papez-MacLean theory of emotions, Damasio 19 explains the emergence of consciousness by means of these peripheral mechanisms. In an earlier research, we used these mechanisms to explain a lower intensity of depression manifestations (HRSD scores) due to greater cerebrovascular lesions of the brain (Spearman’s $r = -0.263$, $p = 0.004$) 20.

Gender and anxiety in patients with stroke

The results anxiety dependence on gender differ in the literature. Schultz et al. 21 describe a higher frequency of anxiety and vulnerability of female and younger persons, whereas De Wit et al. 14 deny these differences. In our study, the risk for female persons (OR) was 1.802 times higher in relation to male persons, but this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.336$). However, a statistically important risk for women was determined by using the Kaplan-Meier model (Log Rank, $p = 0.024$) (Figure 3).

Side of hemispheric lesion and anxiety occurrence in patients with stroke

Results are contradictory with respect to the affected side of hemisphere. Aström 15 and Castellanos-Pinedo et al. 22 point to a higher frequency of anxiety disorder in persons with right-hemisphere lesions, whereas Williams 23 stress the affection of the left hemisphere. Schramke et al. 24 indentify a more pronounced distress in patients with left-sided lesions by using the Beck Anxiety Inventory. In our study we evaluated the dependence of anxiety occurrence on the damage of the motor-dominant hemisphere because of a greater operating-functional deficit of patients (affected dominant hand) which could have an impact on the occurrence of anxiety. A higher risk due to the affection of the dominant hemisphere was found, but it was not statistically significant (OR = 2.163, $p = 0.153$).

Morpho-anatomical localization of lesions and anxiety occurrence in patients with stroke

Sharpe et al. 17 exclude the connection between the localization of lesions and the intensity of anxiety. On the other hand, Tang et al. 25 suggest that right frontal acute infarcts may play a role in the development of post-stroke anxiety symptoms (OR = 4.44, $p = 0.002$). Robinson and Starkstein 26 associate the connection of major depression and the generalized anxiety disorder with cortical lesions, and isolated depression with subcortical lesions. Knutson et al. 27 in patients with penetrating brain injuries indicate anxiety to lesions of limbic areas and temporal lobe. When it comes to the affection of the prosencephalon structures in our study, the risk of the occurrence of anxiety is higher with the frontal lobe damage (OR = 1.595), while being lower in the case of corpus striatum and lobus limbicus (corpus striatum: OR = 0.552; lobus limbicus: OR = 0.844), but these differences are not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). Although not statistically significant (Cox’s model), the affection of basal ganglia is associated with 50.7% lower hazard of anxiety occurrence, which does not speak in favour of the thesis that the lesions of these anatomical structures are the cause of native emotional disorders in Parkinson’s and Huntington’s disease. The tendency of the development of anxiety due to the affection of the frontal lobe in the dominant hemisphere (Table 3) indicates the importance of cognitive functions in the etiopathogenesis of post-stroke depressive disorders 30–35.

Results are contradictory with respect to the affected side of hemisphere. Tham et al. 36 have highlighted pathology of white matter in prefrontal brain region. On the other hand, other authors 37–39 exclude the connection between post-stroke depressions and left anterior lesions of the hemispheres, whereas Finset et al. 40 make connection between depression and deep retrolenticular lesions. We ascertained in our study that the greater frontal lobe lesions (in relation to lesions of other regions of the forebrain) are associated with a smaller decrease in the intensity of depression manifestations ($p = 0.001$) (Table 5, Figure 5). We had not noticed this in the previous study 41 given that we had not used the generalized linear model. The interaction frontal lesion * lesion area in the case of depression and the interaction frontal lesion * hand dominant hemisphere in the case of anxiety are precisely what proves the working hypothesis.

The frontal lobe is the seat of evolutionary younger and higher cortical functions: abstract thinking, judgement and attention 1. Even though some authors 42 point out that humans and large primates have an equal size of the frontal cortex as the common characteristic, they do not exclude evolutionary upgrade and a higher degree of interconnectivity between the frontal areas in humans. In this sense, the frontal lobe damage and its connection with emotional disorders (depression and anxiety) which was confirmed in our study indicate the significance of evolutionary younger central nervous system structures and their relation to regulation of emotional behaviour in man.

Frontal lobe lesions and depression

Some authors mention left anterior lesions, that is, lesions of the cerebral hemispheres that are closer to the frontal pole in the etiopathogenesis of post-stroke depressive disorders 30–35. Determined in this case, but an interaction i.e. boosting effect of the frontal lobe and hand dominant hemisphere damages on the occurrence of anxiety manifestations. This effect is similar, for example, to the boosting effect of alcohol and benzodiazepines on the respiratory depression. We should also bear in mind that the persons with lesions in the dominant hemisphere suffer and anticipate a greater neurological operating-functional deficit given that the dominant hand is affected, which makes it the case that the stated interaction is not necessarily a consequence of the lateralization of the functions of the frontal lobe in the cerebral hemispheres. That cognitive functions play an important part in etiology of anxiety speaks in favour of the study 42 which associates deterioration of anxiety with better mentality. On the other hand, Starkstein and Tanel 29 stress that damage of ventromedial prefrontal cortex diminishes anxiety and thought concern for the future. Therefore, we recommend that impact of frontal lobe lesions on anxiety should be further investigated.

Strength and limitations of study

As a limitation of our study we state the small number of positive cases of anxiety (HRSA positive), which makes it the case that the odds ratio (OR) and Cox’s model analysis results are not definitive. In the example of the analysis of the dependence of the intensity of depression symptoms on the lesion size and the affection of the frontal lobe, we rec-
ommend increasing the sample due to a relatively high corre-
lation of the assessed parameters, in order to ensure repro-
ducibility of significant results.

The applicability of our study is limited to the popula-
tion of patients in the subacute phase of stroke (11–40 days),
given that poorer somatic state had a significant impact on
the results. The advantages would be seen in a detailed statis-
tical analysis in which a greater number of statistical models
were used, and thereby in disclosure of hidden interactions
that prove the dependence of emotional disorders in man on
the lesions of specific brain regions.

Conclusion

Frontal lobe lesions are associated with changes in the
emotional behaviour of patients with stroke. The results of
this study indicate the significance of phylogeneti-
cally/evolutionary younger structures of the central nervous
system for the regulation of emotional behaviour of man.
Therefore, it is necessary to undertake proper early
psycho/pharmacotherapy in the vulnerable group of pa-
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