Magnets ingestion as a rare cause of ileus in adults: a case report
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Abstract

Introduction. Magnetic foreign bodies are harmless when ingested as a single object. However, if numerous of individual magnets are ingested at certain intervals, one after the other, they may attract each other through the bowel wall and cause severe bowel damage. Case report. We reported a case of a man, age 21, who swallowed 2 very small magnets, presented with clinical and radiographic signs of acute bowel obstruction and intestinal fistula. The cause of obstruction was detected during laparotomy. At laparotomy, one magnet was found in the proximal jejunum and the other in the distal ileum, strongly attracting each other followed by small bowel twisting around this point of rotation, causing a complete small bowel obstruction with strangulation and jejuno-ileal fistula. The intestinal segments were devolvulated and both intestine perforations were primarily sutured. The patient recovered successfully after the surgery and was discharged 5 days after the laparotomy.

Conclusion. Patients who ingested magnetic objects must be seriously considered and emergency laparotomy should be performed to prevent serious gastrointestinal complications.
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Introduction

In adult population swallowing foreign bodies (FB) is less common than in children, but it is possible as accidental ingestion or ingestion in mentally ill patients. Reaching pylorus it usually can pass through the intestine and if it reaches the colon, the patient can expel them spontaneously by defecation. Nevertheless swallowed FB can lead to severe abdominal complications in < 1% 1. A danger in FB ingestion exists if a clinician does not think about swallowing FB or when the FB is difficult to detect by commonly used diagnostic methods. Adults swallow, usually accidentally, fish bones, chicken bones, parts of tools being held in the mouth, toothpicks or needles 2. Among swallowed FB the most dangerous are sharp objects, large objects, toxic FB, button batteries and magnets 3, 4.

Magnetic FB are seemingly harmless but they are very dangerous if patient swallows several individual magnets at certain intervals, one after the other. In this case, magnets attract each other through the walls of hollow abdominal organs and their contact leads to intestinal wall ischemia and necrosis 3, 5, 6. Magnets can be seen on radiographs, but the di-
agnosis is usually delayed if patient does not give information that he/she has swallowed magnets. Significant sign of the magnet presence is the FB shadow that does not change the position of the repeated follow-up radiography in patients with worsening abdominal symptoms. When multiple swallowing of magnets at certain sequences is suspected, patient requires emergency surgical treatment.

The goal of this report was to point out a rare cause of acute intestinal obstruction in previously healthy young man, who has never had prior abdominal surgery which occurred when he swallowed two or more magnets at certain intervals.

**Case report**

A 21 years-old man with severe abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting was admitted to the Clinic for Emergency Surgery Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade. His symptoms started suddenly about 12 hours before arriving to the hospital. He had no previous abdominal surgery, no history of malignancy or hereditary diseases. On admission, the patient's vital signs were stable. Physical examination showed distended and tender abdomen without peritoneal signs. Auscultation detected weakening peristaltic rushes. Rectal examination was regular. Laboratory analyses showed leucocytosis (13 × 10^9/L). Ultrasound (US) of the abdomen showed a moderate amount of free intraperitoneal liquid. First abdominal radiograph demonstrated a several air-fluid levels of small bowel, without pneumoperitoneum. Unexpectedly, abdominal radiography revealed a shadow of the foreign body presented in the middle abdomen region. However, the patient denied having swallowed a foreign body. As there was no evidence of serious complications, the initial treatment was consisted of fluid resuscitation and placement of a nasogastric tube. After a few hours, physical examination showed distended abdomen with guarding and rebound tenderness. A follow-up abdominal radiograph showed the object in an unchanged position, but demonstrated increased bowel distension with air-fluid levels and signs of ileus.

![Fig. 1 – Abdominal radiography performed: a) on admittance showing a several air-fluid levels and foreign body (black arrow); b) after several hours showing a radiographic signs of small bowel obstruction and foreign body in an unchanged position (black arrow).](image)

The patients condition was rapidly deteriorated and clinical as well as radiological signs pointed to an acute intestinal obstruction, so the laparotomy was indicated. The laparotomy performed through a middle incision, revealed intestinal segments volvulated 30 cm distal to the Treitz ligament and distal ileum. The cause of obstruction was discovered only after the release of intestinal segments. Surprisingly, two small magnetic balls were found: one was set in the proximal jejunal loop 20 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz and the other in the distal ileum 20 cm proximal from Bauchini valve, with small bowel twisting around this point of rotation, causing a complete small bowel obstruction and strangulation. The strangulated bowel between these areas was not necrotic, but bowel loops proximal to occlusion were edematous and succulent. In addition, the magnetic balls connected through the bowel wall were leading to necrosis and the formation of a jejuno-ileal fistula. The intestinal segments were devoluted and both perforations were primarily sutured. After surgical removal magnets were measured and each magnetic ball was 3 mm in size. The patient successfully recovered after the surgery, without complications during postoperative course. He was discharged from hospital 5 days after laparotomy. The patient did not know that he had swallowed magnets; he only remembered that he had kept pieces of jewelry in his mouth, finding out after reexamination that two small parts were missing.
Fig. 2 – Intraoperative photograph showing: a) jejunal loop containing foreign body and local necrosis of the bowel wall (black arrow); b) the cause of obstruction after the release of intestinal segments [we found two magnetic balls (black arrow)].

Fig. 3 – Photo of a foreign body: a) after it was removed from the intestinal loops [two small magnetic balls, 3 mm size (black arrow)]; b) two small magnetic balls are capable of attracting each other (black arrow).

Discussion

Only about 20% of ingested FB were observed in adults, while the remaining 80% of cases were reported in children 8. Reviewing the available literature data from June 1987 to October 2010, out of 149 cases identified as magnet ingestion injuries, only 6 (4.02%) patients were ≥ 18 years and the oldest patient was 48 years 3, 5, 9–11. In 80% of cases, swallowed FB passed stomach, small intestine and colon without no complications 12. Swallowed FB that cause severe abdominal complications are usually sharp objects such as toothpicks, needles, chicken bones and fish bones 13, 14. Swallowed magnetic FB are especially interesting. If a patient swallows a single magnet it is usually harmless. Nevertheless over 50% of patients swallow two or more magnets 6. The problem arises when a patient swallows two or more magnets, one after the other, at certain intervals, which results in a strong mutual attraction of magnets through the wall of the hollow organs of the abdomen, and can cause intestinal wall ischemia, necrosis and perforation with peritonitis, or intestinal fistula formation 1, 15–18.

We reported a case of a young patient who swallowed 2 very small magnets, presented as acute bowel obstruction that required emergency surgery.

The presence of ingested magnets usually can be seen on radiography or abdominal ultrasound, but clinicians rarely think of swallowing magnets in adults 4, 6. On abdominal radiography with air-fluid levels, sometimes the metallic shadow of two magnets can be seen with a small free space between them, just in the place where they attached through the wall of the hollow organs 6. These FB shadows do not change position on repeated radiography. In unclear clinical
cases who require additional diagnostic methods, swallowed magnetic FB may be disclosed on the abdominal ultrasound or abdominal CT. If magnetic FB are highly suspected as a cause of abdominal complications the magnetic resonance imaging should be avoided. In our case, the patient was not aware that he had swallowed magnets; on abdominal we did not recognize that FB are magnets; so, finally, 2 small magnetic balls were discovered at surgery. Our patient probably swallowed two magnetic balls one by one at a certain time interval, therefore, there has been a magnet attracted by one in the jejunal loop and the other in the distal ileum loop causing small bowel obstruction due to the small bowel twisting around this point of rotation and intestinal fistula, which required urgent surgical treatment. If the magnets still remain in the esophagus or stomach, they must be urgently removed endoscopically. In other cases the emergency explorative laparotomy should be performed because of the potential severe abdominal complication following magnets ingestion.

**Conclusion**

Swallowing magnets one by one at a certain time interval can lead to severe acute complications that require emergency surgery. Multiple magnets ingestion is expected rarely in adults, but if unrecognized, they could carry a significant risk of morbidity. Clinicians should always keep in mind a possibility of magnetic FB ingestion, especially when the etiology of the acute abdominal symptoms is unknown.
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