ANTIGENIC CHARACTERISTICS AS TAXONOMIC CRITERION OF DIFFERENTIATION OF *ALTERNARIA* SPP., PATHOGENIC FOR CARROT AND PARSLEY

ABSTRACT: Identification of *Alternaria* genus species is a very complicated process which demands broadly designed investigations and studying of great number of properties which together can be considered as satisfying taxonomic criteria. The main objective of these investigations was examining the possibilities of applying the antigenic characteristics of *Alternaria* spp. phytopathogenic fungi as a taxonomic criterion, as well as introducing the serological methods for their identification. Conducting the examination of *Alternaria* spp., pathogenic for Apiaceae plants in Serbia, several isolates were obtained and identified as *A. radicina*, *A. petroselini*, *A. dauci* and *A. alternata*, based on the conventional mycological methods and host range, as well as on molecular detection and partial characterization.

The investigation included 12 isolates from plant leaves, seeds and soil, which were pathogenic mainly to carrot and parsley and were identified as *A. radicina*, *A. petroselini*, *A. dauci* and *A. alternata*. Investigated isolates were compared with each other, as well as with standard isolates for the mentioned species (a total of 5 isolates, originating from USA and EU).

During the investigation of serological characteristics of *Alternaria* spp., firstly a polyclonal antiserum was prepared against one isolate from Serbia, identified as *A. dauci*. This antiserum was specific to *Alternaria* genus, while there was no reaction with antigens from other phytopathogenic fungi genera (*Fusarium*, *Rhizoctonia* and *Agaricus*). Antiserum titer, determined by slide agglutination test, was 1/32. Antigenic characteristics of *Alternaria* genus fungi were examined by Electro-Blot-Immunnoassay serological method (EBIA, Western blot), i.e. their protein profiles were compared.

Investigated *Alternaria* spp. isolates showed different protein band profiles in gel and on nitrocellulose paper, and the observed differences were in complete correlation with the results of the previous identification. All investigated isolates, both domestic and the standards, were similar to each other, and they could be correctly identified to the species level using EBIA. Besides grouping to the species level, antigenic characteristics indicated similarities and differences among the isolates within the same and different species, showing their complex relationships which properly reflect their diversity in nature.

In all the previous investigations of *Alternaria* genus fungi up to now, there have been no data about their serological characteristics as possible taxonomic criteria. Introduction of this group of characteristics represents an important contribution both to the
taxonomy and implementation of fast and accurate methods of phytopathogenic fungi identification.
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INTRODUCTION

Alternaria species are probably the most common fungi that mycologists deal with in different scientific fields. There is a huge number of species names in literature which are, in fact, synonyms for undetermined taxonomic accuracy. That is the reason why it is so difficult even to collect any reliable data about how many species belong to this genus. It is estimated that this number varies from 100 up to several hundred species (Rotem, 1994). Since they are extremely variable and widely distributed, Alternaria spp. have been described under different names and that is why their taxonomy, classification, and systematics are very complex and mostly incompletely solved today (Simmons, 1992, Pryor and Michaelides, 2002). Diagnostic characteristics of Alternaria genus include the formation of chains of dark-coloured, multi-celled conidia with beaks of tapering apical cells. However, these characteristics are not common to all the species belonging to this genus, which led to taxonomic confusion among researchers during the history of Alternaria classification (Rotem, 1994).

Because of the complex differentiation of particular species within this genus, as well as the differences among particular isolates of the same species, some authors have suggested and used different criteria for determination of taxonomic relationships among the species (host range, cultural and morphological properties, pigment and crystal formation, etc.). In this way, for example, Pryor and Gilbertson (2002) differentiated some isolates of A. radicina and A. petroselini, which had been previously improperly classified as undetermined nomenclature. The criteria which they used were the growth rate on PDA, yellow crystal formation and host range.

According to Rotem (1994), Alternaria spp. taxonomy is very complex because of the variability of their morphological features, which are affected by the environmental conditions and other factors. Whatever the nature of these factors is that variability of Alternaria species has created a taxonomic dilemma, and led to the description of some species which have never been confirmed by other researchers. This situation confuses plant pathologists who investigate physiology, epidemiology, or the possibilities of control measures, and expect from taxonomy the proper and correct identification of the concerned organisms. Taking into consideration the possible influence of the environmental conditions, Rotem (1994) suggests that a researcher should give his opinion only after examining a great number of isolates originating from different localities. Simmons (1992) states that taxonomy and classification of fungi from any genus, including genus Alternaria, have to, at least at the beginning, undoubtedly match a species name with its constant morphological characteristics, as well as with its biology, pathogenicity, reproduction, physiology and other properties.
The main objective of this investigation was the comparison of chosen *Alternaria* spp. isolates on the basis of their antigenic characteristics. The isolates were obtained from tissue, soil and seed of host plants from Apiaceae family, and their proper and precise identification and characterization had been previously done up to the species level, using conventional and molecular detection methods. Since serology has not until now been applied in *Alternaria* genus investigations, the obtained results could contribute to a more precise determination of the relationships and taxonomic status of *Alternaria* fungi, pathogenic for hosts from Apiaceae family, as well as to the development of a new group of methods which could be applied in routine detection and identification of fungi.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Isolates.** In the period 2004—06, the inventory of *Alternaria* spp. fungi included diseased leaves and petioles, soil and seed of carrot, parsley and other plants from Apiaceae family in Serbia. A total of 17 isolates were chosen for the investigation, 12 of which from the Republic of Serbia (8 from seeds, 2 from plant tissue and 2 from soil), and 5 standard isolates originating from USA (B. M. Pryor, University of California, Davis) and Europe (J. van Bilsen, Bejo Zaden B. V., Warmenhuizen, Holland). On the basis of examination and identification, using conventional methods and host range, as well as molecular detection and partial characterization, the obtained isolates were classified as *Alternaria radicina*, *A. petroselini*, *A. dauci* and *A. alternata* (Bulajić, 2007).

**Antigen preparation.** Antigens for rabbit immunization were prepared from isolate 108 mycelium, originating from Serbia, which had been previously identified as *A. dauci*. Antigen was prepared according to the method of Adams and Butler (1979). The chosen isolate was first cultured on a solid nutrient media for three times, and then on a liquid synthetic GNA. The obtained mycelium was dried using vacuum pump, frozen at –18 to –20°C, or –80°C and stored until usage. Amount of 8 g of frozen mycelium was homogenized in a pestle and mortar with 40 ml of 0,1 M Tris-HCl buffer pH 8,0 (1,211 g Trizma base, Sigma, Chemical Company, dissolved in 100 ml distilled water, and pH adjusted with concentrated HCl to pH 8,0). After 2 hours of horizontal stirring, the obtained extract was centrifuged for 40 min at 11000 rpm in Eppendorf centrifuge (5804 R). Pellet was eliminated and supernatant was used as an antigen for rabbit immunization.

**Antiserum production.** Antiserum was produced by rabbit immunization, by daily intramuscular injecting of increasing volume of previously prepared mycelium suspension, for 10 days. Total of 21,25 ml of isolate 108 mycelium suspension was injected. After blood coagulation, the liquid phase was separated from clot, and it was used as antiserum in further investigation of *Alternaria* spp. antigenic properties.

**Determination of antiserum titer.** Antiserum titer was determined using serological method of agglutination on a glass slide. Antigen dilutions were
prepared in 0.01M phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and antiserum dilutions in physiological solution. Agglutination on a glass slide was carried out according to N o o r d a m (1973) and K r s t i ţ and T o š i ţ (1994) method. Formation of precipitates was observed in dark field (DF) using Olympus CX41 microscope (magnification 100x).

**Determination of antigenic characteristics.** Alternaria spp. antigenic characteristics were investigated using Electro-Blot-Immunoassay serological method (EBIA, Western blot). For EBIA, fungi samples were prepared by adding 250 μl of loading buffer (L a e m m l i, 1970) into 500 μl of mycelium suspension prepared in the same way as for the antiserum production. Then, the samples were boiled in water bath for about 10 min, and after cooling stored, at –20°C until usage. Electro-Blot-Immunoassay serological method (EBIA, Western blot) was used for comparing the fungi isolates on the basis of their antigenic characteristics, as well as their protein profiles. This method (O’D o n - n e l l et al., 1982; R y b i c k i and V o n W e c h m a r, 1982) includes: antigen electrophoresis in polyacrylamide gel, adsorption from the gel to nitrocellulose paper, and immunoenzyme detection of antigen on NC paper. SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed by using discontinuous gel, 5% for protein concentration, and 12.5% for protein separation. About 40 μl of antigen sample was added per each slot of the gel. Electrophoresis was carried out by Protean II xi Cell (Bio-Rad) vertical slab gel apparatus with cooling. Components were conducted through the gel for protein concentration at constant 30mA, and through the gel for protein separation at 50mA. After electrophoresis had been completed, proteins were immediately adsorbed on NC paper (Protran, Nitrocellulose Transfer Membrane, pore size 0.45 μm, Whatman, Scheicher and Schuell, EU). Antigen adsorption was performed at room temperature. NC paper was processed using immunosorbent method, according to the catalogue manual No 170-6545 Bio-Rad, as O’D o n - n e l l et al. (1982) had previously described, and S h u k l a et al. (1989) modified. In the investigation of isolate antigenic characteristics, antiserum dilution was 1:250, and Anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugate affinity isolated antibody developed in Goat (Sigma Immuno Chemicals) was diluted 1:2500 in skimmed milk. Paper development was performed in the mixture of 50 ml TBS with 10 ml methanole solution of 20 mg 4-chloro-1-naphthol (Bio-Rad) and 30 μl 30% hydrogen peroxide. Colour development was followed visually. The presence of bluish-purple band was considered as a positive reaction, and its absence as a negative reaction between antigen and antiserum.

**RESULTS**

Polyclonal antiserum was prepared against isolate 108 which, according to the previously obtained results, belongs to species A. dauci. Antiserum was prepared by rabbit intramuscular immunization with the total of 21.25 ml of mycelium suspension. Antiserum, in dilution 1/1, reacted positively in slide agglutination test with homologous antigen, i.e. with prepared antigen of isolate 108. In additional agglutination tests, negative reactions were observed with
the following antigens: MLE isolate of double-nucleate *Rhizoctonia* AG-A (Vico, 1997), and *Fusarium* sp., both from the Collection of Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, and *Agaricus* sp. (Basidiomycotina) prepared from fresh carpophora. In this way it was confirmed that antiserum shows specific reactions only with *Alternaria* species. According to the obtained results, the antiserum titer was 1/32, while the antigen titer was 1/256 (Table 1). Reactions were visualized about 2h after mixing the antiserum with the antigen.

Tab. 1 — Determination of polyclonal antiserum titer, prepared against *Alternaria* isolate 108

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen dilution</th>
<th>1/1</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1/4</th>
<th>1/8</th>
<th>1/16</th>
<th>1/32</th>
<th>1/64</th>
<th>Kf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/32</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/64</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/128</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/256</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/512</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kf</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* — reaction intensity: — = negative reaction, ± = very weak reaction, + = weak reaction, ++ = medium reaction, +++ = strong reaction i ++++ = very strong reaction, Kf = negative control, physiological solution, Kt = negative control, Tris-HCl buffer

Electro-Blot-Immunoblot serological method (EBIA, Western blot), applied in these investigations, made possible, to a considerable extent, the study of antigenic characteristics and serological relationships of 12 domestic and 5 standard *Alternaria* spp. isolates. Figure 1 shows the presence of bluish-purple bands, which indicates positive reactions between the antigen and the antiserum. It is clear that the prepared antiserum reacted with all *Alternaria* fungi isolates, but not with *Agaricus* spp., which proves its specificity. The presence and intensity of bands on NC paper were estimated visually, and the results were summarized in Table 2.

According to the protein band profiles on NC paper, antigenic characteristics of examined *Alternaria* spp. isolates indicate that they belong to four different species: *A. radicina*, *A. petroselini*, *A. dauci* and *A. alternata*. *A. dauci* isolates (68-5, 94 and 108, as well as the standards) reacted by forming the greatest number of bands (9—14, depending on the isolate), which was expected, considering that antiserum was prepared against isolate 108. These five isolates can be clearly separated from the others by their protein band profiles. It should be emphasized that studying the antigenic characteristics under the given conditions revealed some additional differences among the isolates from the same species. Therefore, besides great similarities, some differences were also observed among some isolates within this compact group-species. The
Tab. 2 — Serological reactions of selected *Alternaria* spp. isolates by EBIA with polyclonal antiserum against isolate 108

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band No</th>
<th>BMP155</th>
<th>NL2D</th>
<th>108</th>
<th>94</th>
<th>68-5</th>
<th>Agaricus</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>BMP79</th>
<th>89</th>
<th>NL1R</th>
<th>68-1</th>
<th>106</th>
<th>BM P139</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>69</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reaction intensity: — = negative reaction, + = weak reaction, ++ = medium reaction, +++ = strong reaction i ++++ = very strong reaction
isolate 68-5 has protein bands 14 and 15, which are omitted in the other isolates from this species. Only this isolate forms protein band 12 and shows some similarity with isolate 13, identified as *A. alternata*. Among the all investigated, only these two isolates, 68-5 (*A. dauci*) and 13 (*A. alternata*), although belonging to different species, form protein bands 14 and 15. Nevertheless, isolate 13 (*A. alternata*) clearly differs from all the other isolates included in the investigations, by omitting the protein band 6, which is common to all *A. dauci* and *A. radicina* isolates, except for the isolate 68-1. The group of isolates which belong to species *A. radicina* (68-1, 89, BMP 79 and NL1R), with a few exceptions, showed smaller number of protein bands in profile, i.e. revealed differences between particular isolates. Thus, only isolate 68-1 does not form band 6, which is present in all the others in this group, and does not completely correspond to a common profile. The group of isolates belonging to *A. petroselini* (69, 95, 106 and BMP 139) also exhibited great uniformity of characteristics, but reacted with smaller number of bands, which were of weaker intensity, compared to the other *Alternaria* spp. All the isolates from this group formed five bands each, while variations within this group were not observed under the investigations conditions.

![Fig. 1 — Serological reaction of Alternaria spp. isolates using EBIA method](image)

BMP 155 and NL2D standards for *A. dauci*, 108, 94 i 68-5 (*A. dauci*), *Agaricus* sp. (-) control, 13 (*A. alternata*), BMP79 and NL1R standards for *A. radicina*, 89 i 68-1 (*A. radicina*), 106, 95 i 69 (*A. petroselini*) and BMP 139, standard for *A. petroselini*
DISCUSSION

In comparison to other features, serological characteristics in mycology are investigated and used less frequently. Nevertheless, there are examples of a very successful application in distinguishing or diagnostics of particular plant pathogenic species of fungi, even the categories lower than species level (Mohan, 1989; Mathew and Brooker, 1991; Benson, 1992; Bowen et al., 1996; Dewey and Cole, 1996; Hahn and Weres, 1996; Heppner and Heitefuss, 1996; Stcherbakovova and Umnov, 1996; Ueli and Walsh, 1996; Srivastava and Arora, 1997; Vico, 1997; Williams and Fitt, 1999; Kesari et al., 2005). Antigenic characteristics of particular fungi have been successfully used even for detection of the presence of some mycotoxins in different substrates (Szurdoki et al., 1996). This experience shows that studying of phytopathogenic fungi serological characteristics could lead to the development of very powerful and fast methods for detection or diagnostics, which could be routinely used.

Serological features of Alternaria species have not been studied almost at all. These fungi have drawn the greatest attention as powerful allergens, and the greatest number of published papers is related to studying of their antigenic properties in human medicine (Sculachev et al., 1975; Vijay et al., 1997; Bush et al., 1983; Chang et al., 1989; Weber, 2001). Guillong (1995) studied the possibility of application of standard serological test ELISA, which is routinely used in diagnosis of plant pathogen categories other than fungi, for A. alternata, detection on tobacco seed. Antiserum used in his investigation was highly specific to A. alternata and it did not react with fungi from other genera, which is in complete accordance with the results obtained in this investigation. Our results, obtained by using EBIA, showed the presence of bands in protein profiles, which could be specific for particular species. Specificity of the produced antiserum, if necessary, could be improved for diagnostic purposes. However, since the objective of this investigation was comparison of taxonomic relationships among Alternaria isolates, the fact that the produced antiserum reacted with all the examined isolates made the comparison of their protein profiles possible, so that their common or specific features could be observed.

Study on the antigenic characteristics of the examined Alternaria isolates, pathogenic for Apiaceae plant hosts, provided a new insight into their taxonomic relationships. Serology, as a taxonomic criterion, succeeded in grouping the isolates based on the appearance of their protein profiles in the way which completely corresponds to all other criteria, used in this investigation. Except the grouping to an assumed species level, antigenic properties indicated both similarities and differences among the isolates within the same and different groups-species, revealing their extremely complex relationships which genuinely reflect the diversity of these fungi in nature.

150
LITERATURE


151


АНТИГЕНЕ ОСОБИНЕ КАО ТАКСКОНОМСКИ КРИТЕРИЈУМ ЗА РАЗЛИКОВАЊЕ ALTERNARIA SPP. ПАТОГЕНИХ ЗА МРКВУ И ПЕРШУН

Будајић Р. Александра1, Дукић Д. Наташа1,
Бекић М. Ивана2, Крстић Б. Бранка1
1 Пољопривredni факултет, Немањина 6, 11070 Београд—Земун, Србија
2 СтипендиSTA Министарства науке и заштите животне средине

Резиме

Идентификација врста у оквиру рода Alternaria је веома сложен процес који захтева широко постављена испитивања и проучавање већег броја особина које заједно представљају задовољавајуће таксономске критеријуме. Основни циљ овог рада је био да се испита могућност примене антителних особина фитопатогених гљива из рода Alternaria као таксономског критеријума, као и увођење серологских метода за њихову идентификацију. Проучавајући гљиве из рода Alternaria које су патогене за гајене биљке из фами. Apiaceae у нашој земљи, добијено је више изолата који су, на основу проучавања конвенционалним методама и круга домаћина, као и молекуларне детекције и делимичне карактеризације, развираног у четири врсте: Alternaria radicina, A. petroselini, A. dauci и A. alternata.

У испитивању је било укључено 12 изолата пореклом са листа, семена или из земље, који су исподавали патогеност првенствено према мркви и першуну и идентификовани да припадају врстама Alternaria radicina, A. petroselini, A. dauci и A. alternata. Испитивани изолати су међусобно упоређивани као и са стандардима за наведене врсте (укупно 5 изолата, пореклом из САД и ЕУ).

Приликом испитивања серолошких особина Alternaria spp., прво је приментио антисерум на изолат из Србије, који је идентификован као A. dauci. Антисерум је испољно специфичност за род Alternaria, док није реаговао са антигеинима из других родова фитопатогених гљива (Fusarium, Rhizoctonia, Agaricus). Титар антисерума, испитиван методом аглутинације на плочици, био је 1/32. Антигене особине гљива из рода Alternaria проучаване су применом електрофоретско-адсорционо-имуноензимске серолошке методе (EBIA, Western blot), односно упоређивани су њихови протеински профили.

Испитивани изолати Alternaria spp. испољиви су различите профиле протеинских трака у гелу и на нитроцелулоznом папиру, а уочене разлике су, у потпуности, одговарају резултатима претходних идентификације. Наведени изолати, домаци као и стандарди, испољиви су межусобне сличности и могли су бити правилно идентификовани до нивоа врсте, применом ЕБИА. Поред груписања до нивоа врста, антигене особине су указале на постојање сличности и разлика.
између изолата унутар истих, односно различитих врста, указујући на њихове веома сложене међуодносе који верно осликавају диверзитет постојања ових гљива у природи.
У досадашњим проучавањима гљива из рода *Alternaria*, не постоје подаци о њиховим серолошким особинама као могућим таксономским критеријумима. Увођење ове групе особина, представља значајан допринос како у таксономији, тако и у примени брзих и тачних метода идентификације фитопатогених гљива.