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Abstract: The problem relevant for quality management such as aggregation of many features into one representative is analyzed. Actually, in quality management practice, standard approaches to aggregation are often trivial and as a consequence - inadequate. In this paper, aggregation is treated as a logical and/or pseudo-logical operation that is important from many points of view such as adequacy and interpretations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A very important problem in quality control is the aggregation (fusion) of many partial aspects of quality – quality attributes into one global quality representative aspect. In the existing practice of quality control the weighting sum of partial aspects is used most often as an aggregation tool. This approach is additive and for all effects of interest which are not additive in their nature it is not adequate. For example: one, using a weighting sum as an aggregation tool even in the case of only two attributes \((a, b)\), can’t
realize a simple and natural demand such as $a$ and $b$ is important. In multi-attribute decision making community this problem was recognized [2, 10] and as a solution they use theory of capacity [10] known in fuzzy community as fuzzy measure and fuzzy integrals.

In this approach additivity is relaxed by monotonicity, for which additivity is only a special case. As a consequence, the possible domain of application of these approaches is much wider. But from a logical point of view monotonicity is too strong a constraint since many of logical functions are non monotone in their nature. A generalized discrete Choquet integral [8] is defined for a general measure – non monotone in a general case. This approach includes all logical and/or pseudo-logical functions but for only one arithmetic operator for interpolation intention, min function. The interpolative realization of Boolean algebra (IBA) [6] includes all logical functions and all interpolative operators – generalized product operators.

Logical aggregation as an adequate tool for aggregation in a general case and in the area of quality management too, is based on IBA. IBA is technically based on generalized Boolean polynomials (GBP) [4].

GBP is described in Chapter 2. In Chapter 3 logical aggregation [5] is analyzed for quality control purposes. Representative example of logical aggregation is given in Chapter 4.

2. GENERALIZED BOOLEAN POLYNOMIAL

Primary quality attributes (properties) define a finite set $\Omega = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$. None of primary attributes can be calculated as a Boolean function of the remaining primary quality attributes from $\Omega$. Set $BA(\Omega)$ of all the possible quality attributes generated by the set of primary quality attributes $\Omega$ by application of Boolean operators is a partially ordered set – Boolean algebra of quality attributes:

$$BA(\Omega) = P(P(\Omega)).$$

A partial order is based on the relation of inclusion and it is value irrelevant. The following structure with two binary and one unary operators is Boolean algebra

$$\langle BA(\Omega), \cup, \cap, C \rangle.$$

Any element of Boolean algebra $\varphi \in BA(\Omega)$ is a corresponding quality attribute and it can be represented by the disjunctive normal form:

$$\varphi = \bigcup_{S \in P(\Omega)} \sigma_\varphi(S) \alpha(S),$$

$\alpha(S)$, $S \in P(\Omega)$ are atomic quality attributes, which are the simplest elements of $BA(\Omega)$ in the sense that they do not include in themselves anything except for a trivial Boolean constant 0. The atomic quality attributes are described by the following expressions:
Structural function $\sigma_\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ of analyzed quality attribute $\varphi \in BA(\Omega)$ determines which atomic elements (quality attributes) are included in it and/or which are not included. Structural function of primary attribute $a_i \in \Omega$ is given by the following expression

$$\alpha(S) = \bigcap_{a_i \in S, a_j \notin S} Ca_j, \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega).$$

Determination of structure of any quality attribute is based on the expression above and on the following rules:

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\varphi \wedge \psi}(S) &= \sigma_\varphi(S) \wedge \sigma_\psi(S), \\
\sigma_{\varphi \vee \psi}(S) &= \sigma_\varphi(S) \vee \sigma_\psi(S), \\
\sigma_{\varphi}(S) &= 1 - \sigma_\varphi(S).
\end{align*}$$

where: $S \in \Omega, \quad \varphi, \psi \in BA(\Omega)$.

Equation (1) can be described in the following form:

$$\varphi = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \sigma_\varphi(S) \bigcap_{a_i \in S} a_i \bigcap_{a_j \notin S} Ca_j.$$

Any quality attribute has its value realization on a valued level. A valued level is defined as a set of analyzed elements.

Any element of Boolean algebra of quality attributes can be represented by a generalized Boolean polynomial:

$$\varphi(\otimes) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \sigma_\varphi(S) \alpha(\otimes)(x)$$

(2)

A generalized Boolean polynomial $\varphi(\otimes)(x)$ enables calculating the value of corresponding quality attribute $\varphi \in BA(\Omega)$ for analyzed object $x \in X$.

A structural function $\sigma_\varphi$ is the same as in (1); and $\alpha(\otimes)(x), \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \quad x \in X$ are Boolean polynomial of atomic elements defined by the following expression:

$$\alpha(\otimes)(x) = \sum_{K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \setminus S} (-1)^{|K|} \bigotimes_{a_i \in K \cup S} a_i(x)$$

(3)

where: $S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \quad x \in X$. 
Expression (2) can be represented in the following way:

\[ \varphi^\otimes(x) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \sigma^\varphi(S) \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K})} (-1)^{|K|} \otimes a_i(x), \quad x \in X \]  

(2.1)

In a generalized Boolean polynomial the following operators +, - and \otimes figure.

Operator \otimes is a generalized product, defined in the same way as T-norms \[4\] with one additional axiom – non negativity.

\[ \otimes: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \]

1. \( a_i(x) \otimes a_j(x) = a_j(x) \otimes a_i(x) \)
2. \( a_i(x) \otimes (a_j(x) \otimes a_k(x)) = (a_i(x) \otimes a_j(x)) \otimes a_k(x) \)
3. \( a_i(x) \leq a_j(x) \Rightarrow a_i(x) \otimes a_k(x) \leq a_j(x) \otimes a_k(x) \)
4. \( a_i \otimes 1 = a_i \)
5. \( \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K})} (-1)^{|K|} \otimes a_i(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \)

\[ \Omega = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \]

In spite of the formal similarity between T-norm and generalized product, their roles are qualitatively different: while a T-norm in conventional fuzzy approaches has the role of logical operator (which is impossible in a general case), a generalized product \otimes is only an arithmetic operator on a value level.

A generalized Boolean polynomial can be represented as a scalar product of the following two vectors: (a) structural vector of analyzed Boolean algebra element – quality attribute

\[ \mathbf{\sigma}^\varphi = [\sigma^\varphi(S)|S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)] \]  

(4)

where: \( \Omega = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \),

and (b) vector of atomic Boolean polynomials

\[ \mathbf{\alpha}^\varphi(x) = [\mathbf{\alpha}^\varphi(S)(x)|S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)] \]  

(5)

where: \( x \in X, \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \quad \Omega = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \).

So, a generalized Boolean polynomial is a scalar product of the above defined vectors \( \mathbf{\sigma}^\varphi, \mathbf{\alpha}^\varphi(x) \):

\[ (\varphi)^\otimes(x) = \mathbf{\sigma}^\varphi \mathbf{\alpha}^\varphi(x) \]  

(6)

where: \( \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega), \quad x \in X \).

For structural vectors all Boolean axioms are valid: Associativity, Commutativity, Absorption, Distributivity, Excluded middle and Contradiction.
respectively; and all Boolean theorems: Idempotency, Boundedness, 0 and 1 are complements, De Morgan’s laws and Involution:

\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{i\cap j} = \tilde{\sigma}_i \cap \tilde{\sigma}_j,
\tilde{\sigma}_{i\cup j} = \tilde{\sigma}_i \cup \tilde{\sigma}_j,
\tilde{\sigma}_{i'\cap j'} = \tilde{\sigma}_{i'} \cap \tilde{\sigma}_{j'},
\tilde{\sigma}_{i'\cup j'} = \tilde{\sigma}_{i'} \cup \tilde{\sigma}_{j'},
\tilde{\sigma}_{C\cap \tilde{\sigma}} = \tilde{1},
\tilde{\sigma}_{C\cup \tilde{\sigma}} = \tilde{0};
\]

respectively; where \( \varphi, \psi, \phi \in BA(\Omega) \).

So, the structure of a Boolean algebra element preserves Boolean properties in a generalized case described by Boolean polynomials. As a consequence, for any two elements of Boolean algebra \( \varphi, \psi \in BA(\Omega) \) the following equations are valid:

\[
\begin{align*}
(\varphi \cap \psi)^\sigma(x) &= \sigma_{\varphi \cap \psi} \alpha^\sigma(x) = (\sigma_\varphi \land \sigma_\psi) \alpha^\sigma(x), \\
(\varphi \cup \psi)^\sigma(x) &= \sigma_{\varphi \cup \psi} \alpha^\sigma(x) = (\sigma_\varphi \lor \sigma_\psi) \alpha^\sigma(x), \\
(C\varphi)^\sigma(x) &= \sigma_{C\varphi} \alpha^\sigma(x) = (\tilde{1} - \tilde{\sigma}_\varphi) \alpha^\sigma(x), \\
&= 1 - (\varphi)^\sigma(x).
\end{align*}
\]

Actually, a Boolean polynomial maps a corresponding element of Boolean algebra into its value from the real unit interval \([0, 1]\) on the value level so that a partial order on the value level is preserved. Since a partial order is based on Boolean laws, they are preserved on the value level in a general case too, contrary to other approaches.

### 3. GENERALIZED PSEUDO-BOOLEAN POLYNOMIAL

To every element of IBA corresponds a generalized Boolean polynomial with the ability to process all values of primary variables from a real unit interval \([0, 1]\). A pseudo-Interpolative Boolean polynomial is a linear convex combination of analyzed elements of IBA – generalized Boolean polynomials:
From the definition of generalized Boolean polynomials, an interpolative pseudo-Boolean polynomial is given by the following expression:

$$\pi_\psi^\otimes(\|a_1\|,\ldots,\|a_m\|) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \varphi_i^\otimes(\|a_i\|)$$

(7)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 1, \quad w_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1,\ldots,m.$$ 

Structure function $\mu$ of interpolative pseudo-Boolean polynomial $\pi_\psi^\otimes$ is a set function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \{0,1\}$,$\quad \Omega = \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$

defined by the following expression, [9]:

$$\mu(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i \chi_{\varphi_i}(S), \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \quad \varphi_i \in \mathcal{BA}(\Omega).$$

(8)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 0, \quad w_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1,\ldots,m.$$ 

Where: $\chi_{\varphi_i}$,$\quad i = 1,\ldots,m$ are logical structure functions of the corresponding Boolean functions $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{BA}(\Omega), \quad i = 1,\ldots,m$.

The characteristics of pseudo-Boolean polynomial depend on the generalized product, and its structure function. Structure functions can be classified into: (a) additive, (b) monotone and (c) generalized ((a) $\subset$ (b) $\subset$ (c)).

**4. LOGICAL AGGREGATION**

A starting point is a finite set of primary quality attributes $\Omega = \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}$. The task of logical aggregation (LA) [5] is the fusion of primary quality attribute values into one resulting globally representative value using logical tools. In a general case LA has two steps:  (1) Normalization of primary attributes’ values:

$$\|\cdot\| : \Omega \rightarrow [0,1].$$

The result of normalization is a generalized logical and/or $[0,1]$ value of analyzed primary quality attribute, and
(2) Aggregation of normalized values of primary quality attributes into one resulting value by a pseudo-logical function as a logical aggregation operator:

\[ Aggr : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]. \]

A Boolean logical function \( \varphi \) is transformed into a corresponding generalized Boolean polynomial (GBP), [4], \( \varphi^\oplus : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \). Actually, to any element of Boolean algebra of quality attributes \( \varphi_i \in BA(\Omega) \) there corresponds uniquely GBP \( \varphi_i^\oplus (\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) \). GBP is defined by expression (2) and/or (2.1).

*Pseudo-logical function* is a linear convex combination of generalized Boolean polynomials [4] defined by expression (7) and/or (7.1).

*Operator of logical aggregation* in a general case is a pseudo-logical function:

\[ Aggr_\mu (\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = \pi \varphi_i^\oplus (\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) \]

or

\[ Aggr_\mu (\|a_i\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \mu(S) \sum_{C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega \setminus S)} (-1)^{|F|} \bigotimes_{a_j \in S \cup C} \|a_j\|. \]

(CC.1)

*Aggregation measure* is a structural function of pseudo-logical function – a logical aggregation operator [5]. So, *Aggregation measure* is a set function \( \mu: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1] \), which in a general case is not a monotone function (generalized capacity), defined by the following expression:

\[ \mu(S) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \varphi_i(S), \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), \quad \varphi_i \in BA(\Omega) \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^m w_i = 0, \quad w_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \]

As a consequence, logical aggregation operator depends on the chosen: (a) measure of aggregation and (b) operator of generalized product. By a corresponding choice of the measure of aggregation \( \mu \) and generalized product \( \bigotimes \) the known aggregation operators can be obtained as special cases:

*Weighted sum*

For the aggregation measure and generalized product:

\[ \mu_{\text{add}} (S) = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \sigma_i(S), \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega): \bigotimes := \text{min}. \]

Logical aggregation operator is a weighted sum:

\[ Aggr_{\mu_{\text{add}}} (\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = \sum_{a \in \Omega} w_a \|a\| \]
**Arithmetic mean**
For the aggregation measure and generalized product:

\[ w_i = \frac{1}{n}, \quad \mu_{\text{mean}}(S) = \frac{|S|}{|\Omega|}, \quad \otimes := \min \]

Logical aggregation operator is an arithmetic mean:

\[ \text{Agg}^{\text{min}}_{\mu_{\text{mean}}}(\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = \sum_{a \in \Omega} \|a\| \]

**K-th attribute only**
For the aggregation measure and generalized product:

\[ w_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i = k \\ 0 & i \neq k \end{cases}, \quad \mu_k(S) = \begin{cases} 1 & a_k \in S \\ 0 & a_k \notin S \end{cases}, \quad \otimes := \min \]

Logical aggregation operator is k-th attribute only:

\[ \text{Agg}_{\mu_k}^k(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \|a_k\| \]

**Discrete Choquet integral**
For any monotone aggregation measure \( \mu_{\text{mon}} \) and generalized product:

\[ \mu_{\text{mon}}, \quad \otimes := \min \]

Logical aggregation operator is a discrete Choquet integral:

\[ \text{Agg}^{\text{mon}}_{\mu_{\text{mon}}}(\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = C_{\mu_{\text{mon}}}(\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|). \]

Discrete Choquet integral is defined by the following expression:

\[ C_{\mu_{\text{mon}}}(\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \|a_k\| - \|a_{k-1}\| \right) \mu_{\text{mon}}(A_k), \]

where:

\[ \|a_{(1)}\| \leq \ldots \leq \|a_{(n)}\|; \quad A_k = \{a_{(1)}, \ldots, a_{(k)}\} \]

**Minimal value of attributes**
For the aggregation measure and generalized product:

\[ \mu_{\text{AND}}(S) = \begin{cases} 1, & S = \Omega \\ 0, & S \neq \Omega \end{cases}, \quad \otimes := \min \]

Logical aggregation operator is a min function

\[ \text{Agg}^{\text{min}}_{\mu_{\text{AND}}}(\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) = \text{min}(\|a_1\|, \ldots, \|a_n\|) \]
Maximal value of attributes
For the aggregation measure and generalized product:
\[ \mu_{OR}(S) = \begin{cases} 1, & S \neq \emptyset ; \\ 0, & S = \emptyset \end{cases} \odot = \min \]

Logical aggregation operator is a max function
\[ Agg_{\text{max}}^{\min}(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \max\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\} \]

OWA-ordered weight aggregation
For the aggregation measure and generalized product:
\[ \mu_{OWA}(S) = \begin{cases} 0, & S = \emptyset \\ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i, & |S| = m ; \odot = \min \end{cases} \]

Logical aggregation operator is an OWA aggregation operator
\[ Agg_{\text{OWA}}^{\min}(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = OWA\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\} \]

OWA, [9], is defined by the following expression:
\[ OWA(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \left| a_{(i)} \right| \]
\[ \left| a_{(1)} \right| \leq \left| a_{(2)} \right| \leq \ldots \leq \left| a_{(n)} \right|, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1, \quad w_i \geq 0. \]

k-th order statistics
For the aggregation measure and generalized product:
\[ \mu_{k^\circ}(S) = \begin{cases} 0, & |S| < k ; \\ 1, & |S| \geq k \end{cases} \odot = \min \]

Logical aggregation operator is k-th order statistics
\[ Agg_{k^\circ,\min}(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \left| a_{(k)} \right| \]

where:
\[ \left| a_{(1)} \right| \leq \left| a_{(2)} \right| \leq \ldots \leq \left| a_{(n)} \right|. \]
5. EXAMPLE OF LOGICAL AGGREGATION APPLICATION

Here we analyze a modified example from [10].

**Example:** Objects A, B, C and D are described by quality attributes, whose values are from a real unit interval [0, 1], given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objects should be compared on the basis of a global quality. A global quality is actually the aggregation of attributes so the following aspects should be incorporated: (a) the average value of quality attributes and (b) if the analyzed object is good by attribute \(a\) then attribute \(c\) is more important than \(b\) and if the analyzed object is not good by attribute \(a\) then attribute \(b\) is more important than \(c\).

Partial demand (a) is given by the following trivial expression:

\[
demand_a = \frac{a + b + c}{3}
\]

Partial demand (b) is given by the following logical expression:

\[
\varphi(a, b, c) = (a \cap c) \cup (Ca \cap b)
\]

Generalized Boolean polynomial of logical expression [5] is:

\[
\varphi^\otimes(a, b, c) = ((a \cap c) \cup (Ca \cap b))^\otimes = b + a \otimes c - a \otimes b
\]

(11)

Possible logical aggregation operator is:

\[
\text{Agg}^\otimes(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a + b + c}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi^\otimes(a, b, c)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{a + b + c}{3} + \frac{1}{2} (b + a \otimes c - a \otimes b)
\]

(11.1)

Corresponding measure of aggregation is:

\[
\mu = \frac{1}{6} (\sigma_a + \sigma_b + \sigma_c) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_c) \vee (C\sigma_a \wedge \sigma_b)
\]

or given as a table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(S)</th>
<th>(\emptyset)</th>
<th>{a}</th>
<th>{b}</th>
<th>{c}</th>
<th>{a, b}</th>
<th>{a, c}</th>
<th>{b, c}</th>
<th>{a, b, c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\mu(S))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is clear that the measure is non-monotone since \( \mu(\{b\}) \geq \mu(\{b,c\}) \), and as a consequence it is not possible to use a standard Choquet integral.

In the case \( \otimes := \text{min} \) function \( \varphi^{\text{min}}(a,b,c) \) is actually a generalized discrete Choquet integral and its values are given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>( \varphi^{\text{min}}(a,b,c) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So, these results without discrimination are not adequate.

In the case when the generalized product is an ordinary product, \( \otimes := \ast \), quitting conventional approaches, the corresponding values of function \( \varphi^\ast(a,b,c) \) are given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>( \varphi^\ast(a,b,c) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>.445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of aggregation function, for a given aggregation measure, table 1 and for \( \otimes := \ast \), are presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>( \text{Agg}_{\ast}(a,b,c) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.5750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.4175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>.3725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results completely reflect all specified demands.

**Comment:** All demands, defined in this example for aggregations of analyzed quality attributes, cannot be realized using the approaches which are conventional in the field of quality control.
5. CONCLUSION

In quality control the aggregation of partial quality - quality attributes, into one representative global quality is a very important task. Conventional aggregation tools in quality control are very often not adequate. Partial demands for aggregation can be and usually are a logical demand which can be adequately described only by logical expressions. In this paper logical aggregation as a tool for aggregation in quality control is analyzed. Logical aggregation has multiple advantages to quality control, among others, from the standpoint of its possibility and interpretability. New approach treats logical functions – partial aggregation demand, as a generalized Boolean polynomial which can process values from the whole real unit interval [0, 1]. This is very important for quality control. Logical aggregation in a general case is a weighting sum of partial demands. Therefore, aggregation in a general case is a generalized pseudo-logic function. It is interesting that conventional aggregation operators are only the special cases of logical aggregation operators and as a consequence of using LA, one can do much more than before in the adequate direction.
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