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Abstract. In this paper, optimal control for stochastic singular integro-differential Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) fuzzy system with quadratic performance is obtained using ant colony programming (ACP). To obtain the optimal control, the solution of MRDE is computed by solving differential algebraic equation (DAE) using a novel and nontraditional ACP approach. The obtained solution in this method is equivalent or very close to the exact solution of the problem. An illustrative numerical example is presented for the proposed method.

1. Introduction

Ant colony programming is a metaheuristic approach that is inspired by the behavior of real ant colonies, to find a good enough solution to the given problem in a reasonable amount of computation time. It allows the programmer to avoid the tedious task of creating a program to solve a well-defined problem [8]. ACP is a stochastic search technique that is carried out on a space graph where the nodes represent functions, variables and constants. Functions are usually defined mathematically in terms of arithmetic operators, operands and boolean functions. The set of functions defining a given problem is called a function set $F$ and the collection of variables and constants to be used are known as the terminal set $T$.

Ants are able to find their way efficiently from their nest to food sources. While searching for food, ants initially explore the area surrounding their nest in a random manner. As soon as an ant finds a food source, it evaluates the quantity and the quality of the food and carries some of it back to the nest. During the return trip, the ant deposits a chemical pheromone trail on the ground. The quantity of pheromone deposited which may depend on the quantity and quality of the food, will guide other ants to the food source. If an ant has a choice of trails to follow, the preferred route is the trail with the highest deposit of pheromone [24]. This behavior helps the ants to find the optimal route without any need for direct communication or central control. Therefore the artificial ants used in the ACP have some features taken from the behavior of real ants, for example: (a) artificial ants move in a random fashion, (b) choice of a route of an artificial ant depends on the amount of pheromone, (c) artificial ants co-operate in order to achieve the best result.

The ant colony algorithm can be described at a very simplified level as given in the Figure 1. Two ants $A_1$ and $A_2$ are traveling along route $P$ and come to a junction. $A_1$ takes path $A$ and $A_2$ takes path $B$. As they are traveling along the route, the ants are depositing a pheromone trail. Both ants continue along their
chosen paths, collect the food and return to the nest. A1 will reach the nest first because it has traveled the shortest route. A third ant A3 now leaves the nest, travels along path P and reaches the junction. At this point, A2 has not yet returned through the junction and is still traveling along path B so there is twice the amount of pheromone deposited along path A at the junction as along path B. Therefore A3 will opt for path A. Thus increasing the pheromone level on path A. In fact, experiments by biologists have shown that ants probabilistically prefer paths with high pheromone concentration. Dorigo et al. [16, 17] used ant colony algorithm for solving Traveling sales man problem. Roux and Fonlupt [20] made the first attempt to apply ant colony algorithm for solving symbolic regression and multiplexer problem. Recently, researchers have been dealing with the relation of ant colony algorithm to other methods for learning, approximations and optimization. They have applied in the field of optimal control and reinforcement learning [6]. In this paper, the ant colony algorithm is used in ACP to compute optimal control for stochastic singular integro-differential system. The integro-differential systems occur in probability theory and scattering theory.

![Figure 1: Shortest path of ants](image)

A fuzzy system consists of linguistic IF-THEN rules that have fuzzy antecedent and consequent parts. It is a static nonlinear mapping from the input space to the output space. The inputs and outputs are crisp real numbers and not fuzzy sets. The fuzzification block converts the crisp inputs to fuzzy sets and then the inference mechanism uses the fuzzy rules in the rule-base to produce fuzzy conclusions or fuzzy aggregations and finally the defuzzification block converts these fuzzy conclusions into the crisp outputs. The fuzzy system with singleton fuzzifier, product inference engine, center average defuzzifier and Gaussian membership functions is called as standard fuzzy system [23].

Two main advantages of fuzzy systems for the control and modeling applications are: (i) fuzzy systems are useful for uncertain or approximate reasoning, especially for the system with a mathematical model that is difficult to derive, and (ii) fuzzy logic allows decision making with the estimated values under incomplete or uncertain information [29]. Fuzzy controllers are rule-based nonlinear controllers, therefore their main application should be the control of nonlinear systems. However, since linear systems are good approximations of nonlinear systems around the operating points, it is of interest to study fuzzy control of linear systems. Additionally, fuzzy controllers due to their nonlinear nature may be more robust than linear controllers even if the plant is linear. Furthermore, fuzzy controllers designed for linear systems may be used as initial controllers for nonlinear adaptive fuzzy control systems where on-line tuning is employed to improve the controller performance. Therefore, a systematic fuzzy controllers for linear systems is of theoretical and practical interest. Stability and optimality are the most important requirements in any control system. Stable fuzzy control of linear systems has been studied by a number of researchers. It is well-known that nowadays that fuzzy controllers are universal nonlinear controllers. All these studies are preliminary in nature and deeper studies can be done. For optimality, it seems that the field of optimal fuzzy control is totally open.

In this paper, optimal control of stochastic singular integro-differential Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy system is obtained using ant colony programming. The linear T-S fuzzy system is the most popular fuzzy model due
to its further intrinsic analysis: the linear matrix inequality (LMI)-based fuzzy controller is to minimize the upper bound of the performance index; structure oriented and switching fuzzy controllers are developed for more complicated systems [21]; the optimal fuzzy control technique is used to minimize the performance index from local-concept or global-concept approaches [26, 27].

Stochastic linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problems have been studied by many researchers [1, 5, 9, 15, 25]. Chen et al. [12] have shown that the stochastic LQR problem is well posed if there are solutions to the Riccati equation and then an optimal feedback control can be obtained. For LQR problems, it is natural to study an associated Riccati equation. However, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Riccati equation in general, seem to be very difficult problems due to the presence of the complicated nonlinear term. Zhu and Li [30] used the iterative method for solving stochastic Riccati equations for stochastic LQR problem. There are several numerical methods to solve conventional Riccati equation as a result of the nonlinear process essential error accumulations may occur. In order to minimize the error, recently the conventional Riccati equation has been analyzed using neural network approach and genetic programming approach see [2–4, 22]. A variety of numerical algorithms [13] have been developed for solving the algebraic Riccati equation.

Singular systems contain a mixture of algebraic and differential equations. In that sense, the algebraic equations represent the constraints to the solution of the differential part. These systems are also known as degenerate, differential algebraic, descriptor or semi state and generalized state space systems. The complex nature of singular system causes many difficulties in the analytical and numerical treatment of such systems, particularly when there is a need for their control. The system arises naturally as a linear approximation of system models or linear system models in many applications such as electrical networks, aircraft dynamics, neutral delay systems, chemical, thermal and diffusion processes, large scale systems, robotics, biology, etc., see [10, 11, 18]. As the theory of optimal control of linear systems with quadratic performance criteria is well developed, the results are most complete and close to use in many practical designing problems. The stochastic singular integro-differential fuzzy system arises in the field of electrical circuits [19].

Although parallel algorithms can compute the solutions faster than sequential algorithms, there have been no report on ant colony programming solutions for MRDE. This paper focuses upon the implementation of ant colony programming approach for solving MRDE in order to get the optimal solution. An example is given which illustrates the advantage of ACP solution.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the statement of the problem is given. In Section 3, solution of the MRDE is presented. In Section 4, numerical example is discussed. The final conclusion section demonstrates the efficiency of the method.

2. Statement of the Problem

Consider the stochastic singular integro-differential T-S fuzzy system that can be expressed in the form:

\[ R^i : \text{if } x(j) \text{ is } T_{fi}(m_{ji}, \sigma_{ji}), \text{ then } \]

\[
F_i x(t) = [A_i x(t) + \int_0^t \kappa(t - \tau)x(\tau)d(\tau) + B_i u(t)]dt + D_i u(t)dW(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad t \in [0, t_f] \tag{1}
\]

\[ y(t) = C_i x(t), \]

where \( R^i \) denotes the ith rule of the fuzzy model, \( m_{ji} \) and \( \sigma_{ji} \) are the mean and standard deviation of the Gaussian membership function, the matrix \( F \) is singular, \( x(t) \in R^n \) is a generalized state space vector, \( u(t) \in R^m \) is a control variable and it takes value in some Euclidean space, \( W(t) \) is a Brownian motion and \( A \in R^{mxm}, \; \kappa \in R_{mxm}, \; B \in R^{nxm}, \; D \in R^{mxn} \) are known coefficient matrices associated with \( x(t) \) and \( u(t) \) respectively, \( x_0 \) is given initial state vector, \( m \leq n \), \( y(t) \in R^r \) is a output vector, \( C \in R^{rxm} \) is a constant matrix and \( t_f \) is the final time. The equation (1) can be written as

\[ F_i x(t) = [A_i x(t) + f(t) + B_i u(t)]dt + D_i u(t)dW(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad t \in [0, t_f], \]

where \( f(t) = \int_0^t \kappa(t - \tau)x(\tau)d(\tau). \) In order to minimize both state and control signals of the feedback control
system, a quadratic performance index is usually minimized:

$$ J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} (x(t))^T F_i^T S F_i x(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} [x(t)^T Q x(t) + u^T(t) R u(t)] dt $$

where the superscript $T$ denotes the transpose operator, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are symmetric and positive definite (or semidefinite) weighting matrices for $x(t)$, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a symmetric and positive definite weighting matrix for $u(t)$. It will be assumed that $|s F_i - A_i| \neq 0$ for some $s$. This assumption guarantees that any input $u(t)$ will generate one and only one state trajectory $x(t)$.

If all state variables are measurable, then a linear state feedback control law

$$ u(t) = -(R + D^T_i K_i(t) D_i)^{-1} B^T_i (K_i(t) F_i x(t) + \psi_i(t)) $$

can be obtained to the system described by equation (1), where $K_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric matrix and the solution of MRDE

$$ F_i^T K_i(t) F_i + F_i^T K_i(t) A_i + A_i^T K_i(t) F_i + Q - F_i^T K_i(t) B_i (R + D^T_i K_i(t) D_i)^{-1} B_i^T K_i(t) F_i = 0 $$

with terminal condition (TC) $K_i(t_f) = F_i^T S F_i$ and $(R + D_i^T K_i(t) D_i) > 0$, $\psi_i(t)$ is the solution to linear ODE

$$ \dot{\psi}_i(t) + (A_i^T - F_i^T K_i(t) B_i (R + D_i^T K_i(t) D_i)^{-1} B_i^T) \psi_i + K_i(t) f(t) = 0 $$

with terminal condition (TC) $\psi_i(t_f) = 0$.

After substituting the appropriate matrices in the above equations, they are transformed into a DAE and a system of differential equations. Therefore solving MRDE is equivalent to solving the system of nonlinear differential equations.

3. Stability of the singular system

**Linear Matrix Inequality approach.** The stability of the singular system $F \dot{x} = A x(t), x(t) = \phi(t), t \in [0, t_f]$ is analyzed using Linear Matrix Inequality (LMI) approach [28].

**Definitions:**

1. The pair $(F, A)$ is said to be **regular** if $\det(s F - A)$ is not identically zero.

2. The pair $(F, A)$ is said to be **impulse free** if $\deg(\det(s F - A)) = \text{rank} F$.

3. The singular system is said to be **regular and impulse free** if the pair $(F, A)$ is regular and impulse free.

4. The singular system is said to be **stable** if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a scalar $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for any compatible initial conditions $\phi(t)$ satisfying $\sup_{t \leq t_f} \| \phi(t) \| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$, the solution $x(t)$ of the system satisfies $\| x(t) \| \leq \varepsilon$ for $t \geq 0$. Furthermore $x(t) \to 0$ when $t \to \infty$.

5. The uncertain singular system is said to be **robustly stable** if the system with $u(t) \equiv 0$ is regular, impulse free and stable.

6. The uncertain singular system is said to be **stabilizable** if there exists a linear state feedback control law $u(t) = K x(t), K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that the resultant closed loop system is stable.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose the pair $(F, A)$ is regular and impulse free, then the solution of the system exists and is impulse free and unique on $[0, \infty)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** The singular system $F \dot{x} = A x(t)$ is regular, impulse free and stable if and only if there exists a matrix $K$ such that $F K^T = K E^T \geq 0, A K^T + K A^T < 0$.

**Definition 3.3.** Data point $P(E, A)$ for the singular system is defined as a vector formed by listing the elements of $E, A$ in arbitrary order.

**Theorem 3.4.** ([14]) The singular system is structurally stable at data point $P(F)$ if and only if $\text{rank}(F) = n$.

**Theorem 3.5.** The singular system is structurally stable at data point $P(A)$ if and only if $\deg(\det(s F - A)) = \text{rank}(F)$. 

4. Solution of MRDE and linear ODE using ant colony programming

Consider the DAE for (2) and the system of differential equations for (3) for each rule of the fuzzy model

\[ \dot{k}_{ij}(t) = \phi_{ij}(k_{ij}(t)), \quad k_{ij}(t_f) = A_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1) \tag{4} \]

\[ \dot{k}_{1n}(t) = \psi(k_{ij}(t)), \quad k_{1n}(t_f) = A_{1n} \]

and \( \dot{v}_i(t) = \Psi_i(v_i, k_{ij}(t), x_i), (v_i)(t_f) = A_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \). In this approach, ACP is used to obtain a set of expressions. If the required number expressions satisfy the fitness function, it will be the optimal solution of the equation (4). The scheme of computing optimal solution is given in Figure 2.

According to Boryczka and Wiezorek [8], the following four preparatory steps are essential for a searching process:

- Choice of terminals and functions
- Construction of graph
- Defining fitness function
- Defining terminal criteria.

4.1. Choice of terminals and functions

A terminal symbol \( t_i \in T \) can be a constant or a variable. Every function \( f_i \in F \) of a fixed arity can be an arithmetic operator \{+, −, *, /\}, an arithmetic function \{sin, cos, exp, log\} and an arbitrarily defined function appropriate to the problem under consideration. The terminal symbols and functions have chosen such that they provided sufficient expressive power to express the solution to a problem. This means that the problem must be solved by a composition of functions and terminals specified. For solving the differential algebraic equation, terminal set and function set are taken as \( T = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, t\} \) and \( F = \{+, −, *, /, \sin, \cos, \exp, \log\} \).
4.2. Construction of graph

In ACP technique, the search space consists of a graph with \( \ell \) nodes where the nodes are the functions or terminals and edges are weighted by pheromone. The examples of such a graph are given in Figures 3 and 4. Each node in the graph holds either a function or a terminal. This graph is generated by a randomized process.

![Figure 3: Graph with functions and terminals](image3)

![Figure 4: Graph with functions and terminals](image4)

4.3. Fitness function

The aim of the fitness function is to provide a basis for competition among available solutions and to obtain the optimal solution. Hence the fitness function for (4) is defined as

\[
E_r = \left( k_{1n}(t_m) - \varphi(k_{ij}(t_m)) \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \left( k_{ij}(t_m) - \varphi_{ij}(k_{ij}(t_m)) \right)^2, \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, t_f),
\]

where \( m \) represents the equidistance points in the relevant range \( I_0 \).

4.4. Terminal criteria

The group of ants and their collective tours form a generation. In each generation, a set of expressions are generated by the artificial ants. If the required number of expressions minimize the fitness function \( E_r \) tends to zero or very close to zero and they satisfy the terminal conditions, the process may be stopped; otherwise continue the ACP approach.

4.5. ACP methodology

Artificial ants build solutions by performing randomized tours on the completely connected graph \( G(V, E) \). In the graph, vertices \( V \) are represented by functions and terminals and the set \( E \) of edges connect the vertices. The ants move on the graph by applying a stochastic local decision policy that makes use of pheromone trials and heuristic information. In this way, ants incrementally build solutions to the given problem.

In the first generation, all edges are initialized by equal pheromone weight. Sent \( k \) \( (< \ell) \) ants through the graph from \( k \) starting points in a random fashion. Each ant is initially put on a randomly chosen start node. Each ant is moving from the node \( r \) to node \( s \) in the graph at time \( t \) according to the following probability law [7]

\[
p_{rs}(t) = \frac{\tau_{rs}(t)}{\sum_{i|E} \left( \tau_{ri}(t) \right)^p},
\]
where $\gamma_s = (1/(2 + \pi_s))^d$, $\pi_s$ is the power of symbol $s$ which can be either a terminal symbol or a function, $d$ is the current length of the arithmetic expression, $\beta$ is a parameter which controls the relative weight of the pheromone trail and visibility and $E_s$ is the set of unvisited nodes. The power of the symbols can be calculated from the following Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminal symbol or function</th>
<th>Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant, variable</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functions</td>
<td>$1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Power of terminal symbols and functions

When an ant reaches a node, it determines if the node is a terminal or a function node. If the ant is on a terminal node, the end of the tour has been reached for that ant. After having found a tour of an ant, the ant deposits pheromone information on the edges through which it traveled. It constitutes a local update of the pheromone trial, which also comprises partial evaporation of the trial. The local update process is carried out according to the formula $\tau_{ij}(t + 1) = (1 - \rho)\tau_{ij}(t) + \rho\tau_0$, where $(1 - \rho)$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$, is the pheromone decay coefficient, $\tau_{ij}$ is the amount of pheromone trial on edge $(i, j)$ and $\tau_0$ is the initial amount of pheromone on edge $(i, j)$.

Each ant has a working memory that stores data about its tour. The ant’s memory is represented programmatically by a parse tree structure. In this tree, the root and branches are functions and leaves are terminals. The depth of the memory tree is limited according to the nature of the problem.

The tour $e * t + 1 * +5$ of an ant is represented as parse tree in the Figure 5. The tour $e * t/7 * +4/5$ of another ant is represented as parse tree in the Figure 6.

![Figure 5: Tour of an ant and its parse tree](image)

![Figure 6: Tour of an ant and its parse tree](image)

The tours of the ants and their corresponding expressions extracted from the parse trees are given in the Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tours of ants</th>
<th>Expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e * t*$</td>
<td>$e^{t}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e * t + 1 * +5$</td>
<td>$e^{t+1} + 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e * t/5 * +0$</td>
<td>$e^{t/5} + 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e * t/7 * +4/5$</td>
<td>$e^{t/7} + 4/5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e * 3 * t - 2 * * +5/2$</td>
<td>$e^{3(t-2)} + 5/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e * 3 * t * +5/2 - e * t * /7$</td>
<td>$e^{3t} * +5/2 - e^{t}/7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Tours and Expressions

Some tours of the ants can not be represented as the parse trees. Such type of tours are given in the
following Table 3. They are discarded when the parse tree construction process is carried out for the tours of the ants. This parse tree construction is helpful to converge the solution quickly and also reduces the computation time by discarding the unnecessary tours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tours of ants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+e * t * +1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e * t + 1 * + - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e * t / - * 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- * e * t / 7 * + - / 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Discarded tours

After each generation, a global update of pheromone trail is taken place. The level of pheromone is then changed as follows $\tau_{ij}(t+1) = (1 - \rho)\tau_{ij}(t) + \rho L^{-1}$, where $g$ is the number of generations, edges $(i, j)$ belong to the optimal tour found so far and $L$ is the length of this tour. The aim of the pheromone value update rule is to increase the pheromone values on the solution path. The update rule reduces the size of the searching region in order to find high quality solution with reasonable computation time. On the updated graph, the consecutive cycles of the ant colony algorithm are carried out by sending the ants through the best tour of the previous generation. The procedure is repeated until the fitness function $E_r$ becomes zero or very close to zero. The optimal tour of the ACP and its corresponding tree are given in Figures 7 and 8 respectively.

**ACP algorithm:**

Step 1. Construct a graph with $\ell$ nodes.

Step 2. Initialize the equal weight of pheromone in each edge of the graph.

Step 3. Pass $k$ ants through the graph from $k$ starting points and They move to the next node according to the probability law.

Step 4. Apply local update rule after the tour of each ant.

Step 5. Construct parse trees from the tours of $k$ ants.

Step 6. Extract the expressions from the trees.

Step 7. Evaluate the fitness function.

Step 8. If $E_r \rightarrow 0$ and they satisfy the terminal conditions, then stop. Otherwise apply global update rule.
Step 9. Identify the best tour of the previous generation.

Step 10. Pass the same \( k \) ants through the best tour and go to Step 4.

5. Numerical Example

Consider the electrical circuit simulation. To obtain a mathematical model for the charging of a capacitor via a resistor, we associate a potential \( x_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \), with each node of the circuit. The simple electrical network is given in the Figure 9. The voltage source increases the potential \( x_3 \) to \( x_1 \) by \( U \), that is, \( x_1 - x_3 - U = 0 \).

By Kirchhoff’s first law, the sum of the currents vanishes in each node. Hence, assuming ideal electronic units for the second node, we obtain that \( C(x_3 - x_2) + (x_1 - x_2)/R = 0 \), where \( R \) is the size of the resistance and \( C \) is the capacity of the capacitor. By choosing the zero potential as \( x_3 = 0 \), we obtain a mathematical model of the differential algebraic system

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 - x_3 - U &= 0, \\
C(x_3 - x_2) + (x_1 - x_2)/R &= 0, \\
x_3 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

It is clear that this simple system can be solved for \( x_3 \) and \( x_1 \) to obtain an ordinary differential equation for \( x_2 \) only, combined with algebraic equations for \( x_1, x_3 \). This system is a differential algebraic equation of index one.

The state form of the stochastic singular fuzzy system with reference \( f(t) \) and output \( y(t) \) is given below:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_i dx(t) &= [A_i x(t) + f(t) + B_i u(t)]dt + D_i u(t)dW(t), \\
y(t) &= C_2 x(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{t} d\tau \).

Consider the optimal control problem:

Minimize

\[
J = E\left\{ \frac{1}{2} x^T(t_f) F_f^T i F_i x(t_f) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} [x^T(t) Q x(t) + u^T(t) R u(t)] dt \right\}
\]

subject to the stochastic linear singular fuzzy system \( R_i \): If \( x_j \) is \( T_{ji}(m_{ji}, \sigma_{ji}) \), \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( j = 1, 2 \), then

\[
F_i dx(t) = [A_i x(t) + f(t) + B_i u(t)]dt + D_i u(t)dW(t), \quad x(0) = 0
\]
where fuzzy term sets $T_{11}(-0.4158, 0.6545)$, $T_{12}(-0.597, 0.7889)$, $T_{21}(0.3982,0.5249)$, $T_{22}(-0.8596,0.6376)$,

$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$, $B_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

$R = 1$, $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $D_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $f(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $C_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The numerical implementation could be adapted by taking $t_f = 5$ for solving the related MRDE of the above linear singular system. The appropriate matrices are substituted in equation (3), the MRDE is transformed into DAE in $k_{12}$ and $k_{22}$. In this problem, the value of $k_{11}$ of the symmetric matrix $K(t)$ is free and let $k_{11} = 0$. Then the optimal control of the system can be found out by the solution of MRDE and linear ODE. The numerical solutions of MRDE and Linear ODE are calculated and displayed in the Table 4 respectively, using the ant colony programming.

**Linear Matrix Inequality approach.** Using Matlab LMI Control Toolbox to solve the LMI of lemma, the following matrix is obtained.

$$K = 10^8 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2.1873 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.9891 \end{bmatrix}, \quad KF^T = 10^8 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.9891 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here the matrix $K$ satisfies $K > 0$, $FK^T = KE^T \geq 0$ and $AK^T + KA^T < 0$. The system is stable.

The rank of the matrix $F = 1 = \text{deg}(|sF - A|) = rank(F) = 1$.  Hence the system is structurally stable at the data points $P(F)$ and $P(A)$.

**5.1. Solution obtained using ant colony programming**

The graph is generated randomly with 10 nodes. Let $\rho = 0.8$. Each edge is initialized by a pheromone weight of 1.0. Six ants are taken for sending through the graph from 6 different points.

After 200 generations, the solutions are obtained from the graph. The numerical solutions of MRDE are calculated and displayed in the Table 4 using the ACP. Using the same graph, the solution of linear ODE is calculated and displayed in the Table 4. ACP solution curves are shown in the Figures 10, 11, 12 and 13.

![Figure 10: Solution curve for $k_{12}$](image1)

![Figure 11: Solution curve for $v_1$](image2)
Similarly the solution of the above system with the matrix $A_2$ can be found out using ant colony programming.
6. Conclusion

The optimal control for the stochastic singular integro-differential T-S fuzzy system can be found by ACP approach. To obtain the optimal control, the solution of MRDE is computed by solving differential algebraic equation (DAE) and system of ODE using a novel and nontraditional ACP approach. The obtained solution in this method is very close to the exact solution of the problem. A numerical example is given to illustrate the derived results.
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