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The three dimensional mixed convection heat transfer in an electrically heated horizontal pipe conjugated to a thermal conduction through the entire solid thickness is investigated by taking into account the thermal dependence of the physical properties of the fluid and the outer heat losses. The model equations of continuity, momentum and energy are numerically solved by the finite volume method. The pipe thickness, the Prandtl and the Reynolds numbers are fixed while the Grashof number is varied from $10^4$ to $10^7$. The results obtained show that the dynamic and thermal fields for mixed convection are qualitatively and quantitatively different from those of forced convection, and the local Nusselt number at the interface solid-fluid is not uniform: it has considerable axial and azimuthally variations. The effect of physical variables of the fluid depending on temperature is significant, which justifies its inclusion. The heat transfer is quantified by the local and average Nusselt numbers. We found that the average Nusselt number of solid-fluid interface of the duct increases with the increase of Grashof number. We have equally found out that the heat transfer is improved thanks to the consideration of the thermo dependence of the physical properties. We have tried modelling the average Nusselt number as a function of Richardson number. With the parameters used, the heat transfer is quantified by the correlation: $\text{Nu}_A = 12.753 \cdot \text{Ri}^{0.156}$.
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1. Introduction

In the large domain of fluid thermal processes, the cylindrical horizontally pipe is a device that plays a major role in the transport of the working fluid and simultaneously in his heating or the cooling. The mixed convection heat transfer mode is a combination of the geometrical orientation and the heating of the pipe with an appropriate mass flow rate through it. Orfi et al. [1] investigated numerically the problem of bifurcation for fully developed laminar mixed convection of a Boussinesq fluid within inclined tubes subject to a uniform wall heat flux. Dual solutions characterized by two and four vortex secondary flow structure in a cross-section normal to the longitudinal axis of the tube have
been found for different combinations of the Grashof number and the inclination of the tube for all Prandtl numbers between 0.7 and 7. Numerical experiments carried out for developing flows indicate that the two-vortex solution is the only stable flow structure. Kokugan et al. [2] performed an experimental work in a heated vertical open tube at constant wall temperature. Correlations between the Grashof and the Reynolds numbers have been derived by setting up a mechanical energy balance in the tube. The results have been compared with available numerical results and showed good agreement. Fukusako et al. [3] investigated the influence of the inversion of the density and free convection heat transfer of air-water layers in a vertical tube with uniformly decreased wall temperature. Holographic interferometry was adopted to determine the time-dependent temperature distribution in the tube. The temperature, the flow patterns and the heat transfer characteristics along the tube wall have been determined. The simultaneously developing mixed convection, with constant physical properties, in an inclined heated pipe is considered in the numerical study of Ouzzane et al. [4], the authors studied four different cases: the pipe thickness is considered or neglected and in each case the heating is over the entire circumference or over the top half of it, the lower half being insulated. It is reported that neglecting the circumferential conduction within the pipe thickness leads to an overestimation of the azimuthally wall temperature difference, at a given pipe section. Extensive experimental data was provided by Mohammed and Salman [5] on uniformly heated constant wall heat flux in a vertical circular pipe with different inlet configurations: cylindrical pipes with different length, sharp-edge and bell-mouth. He showed that the inlet conditions and configurations have a tremendous effect on the heat transfer results. These same authors Mohammed and Salman [6] have presented a numerical work with a computational model that has been successfully validated by comparing their experimental results [5] and other authors. Kurnia et al. [7] have carried out a parametric study of laminar flow and heat transfer characteristics of coils made of tube of several different cross-sections with the aim to conduct the geometrical effect on the heat transfer performance. The working fluid air and water are temperature-dependent. They found that in-plane spirals ducts give higher heat transfer rates. The azimuthally temperature variation at the outer surface of an electrically and uniformly heated Inconel horizontal pipe is reported in the experimental study of Abid et al. [8]. The considered pipe is 1m long having a 1cm outer diameter and 0.02 cm thickness. The infrared thermal imaging measurements of the temperature at the pipe outer surface has shown a temperature difference between the top and bottom of the pipe that increases from 0 °C at the inlet of the pipe to 25 °C at the exit. In a previous work, the problem of conjugate heat transfer in a duct was numerically simulated by Boufendi and Afrid [9], under specific conditions chosen to allow the comparison with the experimental results of [8]. The obtained results with first order precision of the numerical schemes show a good agreement with the experimental values [8] and so validate the numerical code.

In this work, the problem of the three dimensional conjugate, conduction in the wall and convection in the fluid, laminar mixed convection in a horizontal pipe with a small thickness heated by variable electric current is investigated thoroughly. In fact, this investigation is a logical continuation of the perspectives opened in the early results and detailed by Boufendi [10]. The numerical method of resolution used is a second order finite volume technique and the results are focused on the influence of the variation of the Grashof number on the dynamic and thermal fields and on the physical properties of the fluid. This variation of the Grashof number is equivalent to a variation of the internal energy generation that is a consequence of the Joulean effect. The thermodependence effect of the
physical properties on the heat transfer is also considered and the average Nusselt numbers obtained in this study are correlated with the Richardson numbers.

2. The mathematical model

Figure 1 illustrates the problem geometry. We consider a long horizontal pipe having a length $L=1$m, an inside diameter $D_i=0.96$cm and an external diameter $D_o=1$cm. The pipe is made of Inconel having a thermal conductivity $K_s=20$ W/m K. An electric current passing along the pipe (in the solid thickness) produced a heat generation by the Joule effect. This heat is transferred to distilled water flow in the pipe. At the entrance the flow is of Poiseuille type with an average axial velocity equal to $7.2 \times 10^{-2}$ m/s and a constant temperature of 15 °C. The density is a linear function of temperature and the Boussinesq approximation is applied. The combined heat transfer in the solid and fluid domains is a conjugate heat transfer problem.

![Diagram of the problem geometry](image)

**Figure 1. Geometry of the problem**

The physical principles involved in this problem are well modeled by the following non-dimensional conservation partial differential equations with their initial and boundary conditions:

At $t^*=0$ :

$$V_r^* = V_{\theta}^* = V_z^* = T^* = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

At $t^*>0$ :

– Mass conservation equation:

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r V_r^* \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V_{\theta}^*}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V_z^*}{\partial z} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

– Radial momentum conservation equation:

$$\frac{\partial V_r^*}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r V_r^* \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \left(V_{\theta}^* V_r^* \right)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \left(V_z^* V_r^* \right)}{\partial z} - \frac{V_z^2}{r} =$$

$$- \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial r} + \frac{Gr_o^*}{Re_o} \cos \theta T^* + \frac{1}{Re_o} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \tau_r^* \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}^*}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rz}^*}{\partial z} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

– Angular momentum conservation equation:
\[
\frac{\partial V_\phi^*}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r V_z^* V_\phi^* \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( V_\phi^* V_\phi^* \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( V_z^* V_\phi^* \right) + \frac{V_z^* V_\phi^*}{r^*} = 0
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial \theta} - \frac{G r_0^*}{\text{Re}_0^2} \sin \theta \ T^* + \frac{1}{\text{Re}_0^2} \left[ \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^* \tau_{r^*} \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \tau_{\theta^*} \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \tau_{z^*} \right) \right]
\]

– Axial momentum conservation equation:

\[
\frac{\partial V_z^*}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^* V_z^* V_r^* \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \mu \frac{\partial V_z^*}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( V_z^* T^* \right) = 0
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial z^*} + \frac{1}{\text{Re}_0^2} \left[ \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^* \tau_{r^*} \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \tau_{\theta^*} \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \tau_{z^*} \right) \right]
\]

– Energy conservation equation:

\[
\frac{\partial T^*}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^* V_z^* T^* \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \mu \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( V_z^* T^* \right) = 0
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial P^*}{\partial z^*} + \frac{1}{\text{Re}_0^2} \left[ \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^* q^* \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( q^* \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( q^* \right) \right]
\]

where \( G^* = \begin{cases} K^*/(\text{Re}_0 \text{Pr}_0) & \text{in the solid} \\ 0 & \text{in the fluid} \end{cases} \)

The viscous stress tensor components are:

\[
\tau_{rr}^* = 2 \mu^* \frac{\partial V_r^*}{\partial r}^* \quad \tau_{\theta \phi}^* = \tau_{\phi \theta} = \mu^* \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{V_r^*}{r^*} \right) + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{V_{r^*}}{r^*} \right)
\]

\[
\tau_{\theta z}^* = 2 \mu^* \left[ \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial V_{r^*}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V_{r^*}}{r^*} \right] \quad \tau_{z r}^* = \tau_{z \theta} = \mu^* \left[ \frac{\partial V_{\phi^*}}{\partial z^*} + 1 \frac{\partial V_{\phi^*}}{\partial \theta} \right]
\]

\[
\tau_{z z}^* = 2 \mu^* \frac{\partial V_{\phi^*}}{\partial z^*} \quad \tau_{r \phi}^* = \tau_{\phi r} = \mu^* \left[ \frac{\partial V_{\phi^*}}{\partial r^*} + \frac{\partial V_{\phi^*}}{\partial \theta} \right]
\]

The heat fluxes are:

\[
q_r^* = -K \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial r}^* \quad q_{\theta}^* = -K \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial \theta}^* \quad \text{and} \quad q_z^* = -K \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial z}^*
\]

2.1 The boundary conditions

The previous differential equations are solved with the following boundary conditions:

At the pipe entrance: \( z^* = 0 \)

– In the fluid domain:

\[
0 \leq r^* \leq 0.5 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi : \quad V_r^* = V_{\phi^*} = T^* = 0, V_z^* = 2(1 - 4 r^*^2)
\]

– In the solid domain:

\[
0.5 \leq r^* \leq 0.5208 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi : \quad V_r^* = V_{\phi^*} = V_z^* = T^* = 0
\]
At the pipe exit: \( z^* = 104.17 \)

– In the fluid domain:

\[
0 \leq r^* \leq 0.5 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi:
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V^*}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial V^*_\theta}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial V^*_r}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( K^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial z} \right) = 0
\]  

(11)

– In the solid domain:

\[
0.5 \leq r^* \leq 0.5208 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi:
\]

\[
V^*_r = V^*_\theta = V^*_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( K^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial z} \right) = 0
\]  

(12)

At the outer wall: \( r^* = 0.5208 \)

The conductive heat flux is equal to the sum of the heat fluxes of the radiation and natural convection losses.

for \( 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \) and \( 0 \leq z^* \leq 104.17 \)

\[
\left\{ V^*_r = V^*_\theta = V^*_z = 0
\]

\[
K^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial r} = \left( h_\epsilon + h_i \right) D_i \quad T^*,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} T^* = \frac{h_i}{K_0} T^*
\]  

(13)

where:

\[
h_i = \varepsilon \sigma \left( T^* + T_\infty^2 \right) \left( T + T_\infty \right)
\]  

(14)

The emissivity of the outer wall \( \varepsilon \) is arbitrarily chosen to 0.9 while \( h_i \) is derived from the correlation of Churchill and Chu [11] valid for all \( Pr \) and for Rayleigh numbers in the range \( 10^{-6} \leq Ra \leq 10^9 \).

\[
Nu = \left[ h_i D_i / K_{air} \right] = \left[ 0.6 + \left( 0.387 \frac{Ra}{Pr} \right)^{1/6} / \left( 1 + \left( 0.559 / Pr_{air} \right)^{9/16} \right)^{1/27} \right]^2
\]  

(15)

The Rayleigh and the Prandtl numbers defined, respectively, as:

\[
Ra = \frac{g \beta [T(R_a, \theta, z) - T_{air}]}{\alpha_{air} \nu_{air}}, \quad Pr_{air} = \nu_{air} / \alpha_{air}
\]  

(16)

In this expressions of the Rayleigh and the Prandtl numbers the thermophysical properties of the air ambient are evaluated at the local film temperature given as: \( T_{film} = \left[ T(R_a, \theta, z) + T_{air} \right] / 2 \).

In this matter, the used concept of the conjugate heat transfer problem, discussed in Patankar [12], consists in considering the same field of work for the fluid and solid domains. This is evident for the fluid domain, but for the solid domain this is obtained by imposing an infinite viscosity to the fluid. In our calculations we have considered the solid as a fluid with a dynamic viscosity equal to \( 10^{-5} \). This very large viscosity within the solid domain ensures that the velocity of this part remains null and consequently the heat transfer is only by conduction deducted from the eq. (6).

### 2.2 The Nusselt numbers

At the solid-fluid interface, the local Nusselt number is defined as:

\[
Nu (\theta, z^*) = \frac{h_i (\theta, z^*) D_i}{K_0} = \left[ \frac{\left( K^* \frac{\partial T^*}{\partial r^*} \right)_{r^*} \theta} {T^* (0.5, \theta, z^*) - T^* (z^*)} \right]
\]  

(17)

The axial Nusselt number is defined as:

\[
Nu (z^*) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} Nu (\theta, z^*) d\theta
\]  

(18)
Finally, we can define an average Nusselt number for the whole solid-fluid interface:

\[ Nu = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \left( 104.17 \right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{17} Nu(\theta, z^*) \, dz^* \, d\theta \]  

(19)

3. The numerical method

For the numerical solution of modelling equations, we used the finite volume method well described by Patankar [12]; the sing of this method involves the discretization of the physical domain into a discrete domain constituted of finite volumes where the modelling equations are discretized in a typical volume. We used a temporal discretization with a truncation error of \( (\Delta t^*)^2 \) order. The convective and nonlinear terms have been discretized according to the Adams-Bashforth numerical scheme, with a truncation error of \( (\Delta t^*)^2 \) order, the diffusive and pressure terms are implicit. Regarding the spatial discretization, we used the central differences pattern with a truncation error of \( (\Delta r^*)^2, (\Delta \theta^*)^2 \) and \( (\Delta z^*)^2 \) order. So our spatio-temporal discretization is second order. The mesh used contains 52x88x162 points in the radial, azimuthal and axial directions. In the radial direction, only 10 points are located in the small solid thickness. The considered time step is \( \Delta t^* = 5 \times 10^{-2} \) and the time marching is pursued until the steady state is reached. The steady state is controlled by the satisfaction of the global mass and energy balances as well as the leveling off of the time evolution of the hydrodynamic and thermal fields. The accuracy of the results of our numerical code has been tested by the comparison of our results with those of other researchers. A comparison with the results of Ouzzane and Galanis [4] who studied the non conjugate and the conjugate mixed convection heat transfer in a pipe with constant physical properties of the fluid. Some of their results concern the simultaneously developing heat transfer and fluid flow in a uniformly heated inclined pipe \( (\alpha = 40^\circ) \). The controlling parameters of the problem are: \( Re = 500, \, Pr = 7.0, \, Gr = 10^4 \) and \( 10^6, \, L/D_i = 90, \, R_s/D_i = 0.583, \, K_s/K_o = 70.0 \). The used grid is 40x36x182 in the \( r^*, \theta \) and \( z^* \) directions, respectively. We reproduced the results of the cited reference with the first order calculus code concerning the conjugate and non conjugate mixed convection. In fig. 2 we illustrate the axial evolution of the circumferentially averaged Nusselt number. It is seen that there is a good agreement between our results and theirs.

Figure 2. Axial evolution of the circumferentially mean axial Nusselt number; A comparison with the results of Ouzzane and Galanis [4]
4. Results and discussions

All the results presented in this paper were calculated for Reynolds number, \( Re = 606.85 \), and the Prandtl number, \( Pr = 8.082 \), while the Grashof number is varied \( 10^4 \leq Gr \leq 10^7 \) (dependent with the volumetric heating). The obtained flow for the studied cases is characterized by a main flow along the axial direction and a secondary flow influenced by the density variation with temperature, which occurs in the plane \((r^* - \theta)\). Qualitatively we note the similarity of results for the seven studied cases. Quantitatively, the effect of mixed convection becomes increasingly important with the increase of volumetric heating.

4.1 Development of the secondary flow

At the entrance (\( z^* = 0 \)), the transverse flow is inexistent and the flow is axisymmetric. Just after the entrance, the generation of internal energy induced by the Joulean effect creates a radial thermal gradient oriented towards the inner fluid such as the hot fluid is close to the inner wall and the relatively colder fluid is in the core of the section. This situation induces necessarily a buoyancy force that raises the lighter fluid (the hotter fluid) towards the upper section \((\theta = 0)\) of the duct and the heavier (colder fluid) towards the bottom \((\theta = \pi)\) of the section. This form of convective circulation of the fluid in the transverse plane of the section is called as secondary flow and appears as a two identical counter-rotating cells or vortexes in the plane \((r^* - \theta)\) that each vortex circulates in one half section at opposed direction. The form and the position of the cells of the transverse flow are variant in axial direction. The vertical plane passing through the angles \((\theta = 0)\) and \((\theta = \pi)\) is a plane of symmetry. This secondary flow intensifies rapidly as illustrated by the values of the maximum angular component velocity and the figure 3. For \( Gr = 10^4 \), the right hand maximum velocity of transversal flow \( V'_{\theta, \text{max}} = 7.4470 \cdot 10^{-1} \) located at \( z^* = 104.17, r^* = 0.4125 \) and \( \theta = 1.4993 \). For \( Gr = 10^5 \), the velocity of secondary motion takes maximum value \( V'_{\theta, \text{max}} = 2.3420 \cdot 10^2 \) at \( z^* = 34.8318, r^* = 0.4125 \) and \( \theta = 1.4993 \). For \( Gr = 10^6 \), \( V'_{\theta, \text{max}} = 6.5540 \cdot 10^2 \) at \( z^* = 13.9978, r^* = 0.4375 \) and \( \theta = 1.4993 \) and finally for \( Gr = 10^7 \), the secondary motion takes maximum velocity \( V'_{\theta, \text{max}} = 1.7790 \cdot 10^4 \) at \( z^* = 11.3936, r^* = 0.4625 \) and \( \theta = 1.4993 \).

Also, figure 3 shows the transverse flow vector field in the polar plane \((r^* - \theta)\) at selected axial sections \((z^* = 0.9766, 13.9978, L^*/4, L^*/2, 3L^*/4 \text{ and } L^*)\) for \( Gr = 10^6 \). Through these figures, we can show the axial and rapid intensification of the transverse movement between the entrance and the station \( z^* = 13.9978 \) where the centre of the right hand cell is located at \( r^* = 0.3375 \) and \( \theta = 1.6422 \). Beyond this distance characterized by the development of secondary flow, there is a gradual decline to its established mode. At \( z^* = 26.3680 \) the maximum velocity of transversal motion takes maximum value \( V'_{\theta, \text{max}} = 6.0475 \cdot 10^{-2} \) at \( r^* = 0.4625 \) and \( \theta = 1.7849 \), the center of the right hand cell in this section is located at \( r^* = 0.3875 \) and \( \theta = 2.4989 \). Beyond this distance, the secondary flow remains almost invariant to the exit of the duct. At \( z^* = 104.17 \), the maximum \( V'_{\theta, \text{max}} = 3.7008 \cdot 10^{-2} \) is located at \( r^* = 0.4375 \) and \( \theta = 1.7850 \).
4.2 Development of the axial flow

In the absence of heating volume (case of fluid flow without heat transfer) and in the case of forced convection ($\text{Gr}^2 = 0$) with a hydrodynamically developed flow at the entrance, the axial velocity exhibit concentric circular contours through the all pipe and the flow field is axisymmetric. With the imposed Poiseuille’s flow at the entrance pipe, the axial velocity, at a given section, takes a maximum
value at the pipe axis and a minimum value (0) at the internal wall of the pipe. In the presence of heating volume, the configuration of the flow changes automatically. The cylindrical symmetry is destroyed and the axial flow is influenced by the conjugate heat transfer between the wall and the fluid. The generated secondary flow affects the principal axial flow that is dependent on the temperature distribution within the pipe such as shown in figs. 4(a)-4(d). We present in these figures the field of the non-dimensional axial velocities in the vertical plane passing through the angles \((\theta = 0)\) and \((\theta = \pi)\) for four studied cases: \(Gr = 0\), \(Gr = 10^5\), \(Gr = 10^6\) and \(Gr = 10^7\) respectively. The obtained results show that the effect of buoyancy force on the axial velocity becomes increasingly important with the increasing of heating volume, this effect takes a maximum value at \(z^* = 34.8318\), 13.9978 and 11.3936 for \(Gr = 10^5\), \(10^6\) and \(10^7\) respectively.

![Figure 4. Development of the axial velocity](image)

For a better explication of the development of the axial flow, we have chosen to represent in fig. 5 the axial velocity contours at selected axial sections (\(z^* = 0.9766, 13.9978, L'/4, L'/2, 3L'/4\) and \(L'\)) for the case \(Gr=10^6\). At the entrance, the flow is of Poiseuille’s type and the non-dimensional axial velocity takes a maximum value \(V_{r,\text{max}} = 2.0\) at the pipe axis. Between the entrance and \(z^* = 13.9978\), the maximum axial velocity \(V_{r,\text{max}}\) changes a position rapidly towards the bottom of the pipe along the vertical diameter plane. This shifting is the result of the effect of a secondary motion. At \(z^* = 13.9978\), \(V_{r,\text{max}} = 1.9270\) is located at \(r^* = 0.1768\) and \(\theta=\pi\). Between \(z^* = 13.9978\) and \(z^* = L'/4\), the value of \(V_{r,\text{max}}\) decrease compared with the entrance region. At \(z^* = 25.0659\) (\(L'/4\)), \(V_{r,\text{max}} = 1.7766\) located at \(r^* = 0.3175\) and \(\theta=\pi\). At \(z^* = 52.4105\). \(V_{r,\text{max}} = 1.8560\) located at \(r^* = 0.1768\) and \(\theta=\pi\). Between \(z^* = 77.8020\) and \(z^* = 104.17\), the value and position of \(V_{r,\text{max}}\) is invariant and equal to 1.8820 at \(r^* = 0.1280\) and \(\theta=\pi\).
4.3 Development of the temperature field

In the reference case (forced convection), the thermal field is axisymmetric and the isotherms, at given section, are concentric circles with a maximum temperature on the pipe wall and a minimum at pipe axis ($r=0$). In the presence of volumetric heating, a transverse flow exists and thus changes the axisymmetric distribution of fluid and pipe wall temperature and gives it an angular variation, this
variation explained as follows: the hot fluid near the pipe wall moves upwards under the buoyancy force effect, the relatively cold fluid descends down in the middle of the pipe. This movement of the secondary flow is the cause of the azimuthally temperature variation. To illustrate the development of thermal field, we show in fig. 6, the polar temperature distribution at selected axial positions for $Gr=10^6$. The obtained results show that at given section, the maximum temperature $T^*$ is all the time located at $r^* = 0.5$ and $\theta = 0$ (top of solid-fluid interface), because the hot fluid is driven by the secondary motion towards the top of the pipe. The minimum temperature is within the core fluid, in the lower part of the pipe at $\theta = \pi$. At the entrance, the fluid has a uniform temperature; far from the entrance the transversal motion gives an azimuthally fluid temperature variation. At $z^*=26.3680$ the minimum section temperature is located at $r^*=0.3231$. This position shifts to $r^*=0.3719$ and $r^*=0.3841$ at $z^*=52.4105$ and $z^*=78.4530$ respectively. We noticed that between $z^*=78.4530$ and $z^*=104.17$ the position of minimum temperature is invariant.

![Temperature Distribution](image-url)
In Fig. 7 we present the axial variation of the dimensionless temperatures of the top (θ=0) and the bottom (θ=π) of external wall (r*=0.5208) for Gr=10^7. At z*=0, the temperature of the wall is uniform. After, the difference of temperature between the top and the bottom of the wall became important. At z*=104.17, the external top and bottom walls take maximum temperature values equal to T*=0.1785 and 0.0865 respectively.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6. The isotherms distribution at the selected axial positions for Gr=10^6**

4.4 Development of the fluid physical properties

To show the significant change in physical properties with temperature, we present in Fig. 8 the variation of dimensionless fluid dynamic viscosity for Gr=10^7. At the entrance, the fluid temperature is 15°C. The non-dimensional dynamic viscosity $\mu^* = \mu/\mu_0 = 1$. At a given section, the viscosity is low near from the pipe wall and increases towards the relatively cold fluid. It is also
clear that, closer to the wall, along the angular direction, the viscosity decreases continuously from the top to the bottom of the pipe.

At the pipe exit, the fluid temperature became more superior than 15°C, in this case $\mu^*$ takes a minimum value at the top of the pipe equal to 0.4 and maximum value equal to 0.94 at $r^*=0.3275$ and $\theta=\pi$. As regards the dimensionless thermal conductivity of the fluid, its variation is less important than the viscosity, it varies for the case of $Gr = 5 \cdot 10^5$ from 1.001 at the entrance and 1.109 at the top of the pipe exit.

![Figure 8. The dimensionless dynamic viscosity variation for Gr=5·10^5](image)

The comparison between results obtained by variable fluid properties and those obtained by constant fluid properties show that: the effect of secondary flow is less important in the case of constant fluid properties, so the maximum azimuthally velocity $V_{\theta\max}$ is low in this case. The difference of temperature between the top and the bottom of the pipe wall decreases if the fluid properties are constant. The axial and average Nusselt numbers are more superior in the case of variable properties. These results are explained as follows: if the fluid properties are constant the non-dimensional dynamic viscosity is equal to $\mu^* = 1$ in all fluid domain, the buoyancy force is reduced and the forced convection becomes relatively superior to natural convection, so we have a decrease in heat transfer. In fig. 9, we compare the results of axial Nusselt number obtained by the variable fluid properties and those obtained by constant fluid properties.
It is clear that for each case, the axial Nusselt number obtained by variable fluid properties is more superior to that obtained by constant fluid properties. For $Gr=10^5$, except at the entrance region, $Nu(z)$ takes a maximum value equal to 10.9949 at the pipe exit for the case of variable fluid properties and 9.0853 for the case of constant fluid properties. For $Gr=10^6$, at the pipe exit, $Nu(z)=18.7377$ for the case of variable fluid properties and 15.2243 for the case of constant fluid properties and finally, for $Gr=10^7$, at the pipe exit, $Nu(z)=28.1002$ for the case of variable fluid properties and 23.6073 for the case of constant fluid properties.

The average Nusselt number obtained by variable fluid properties for $Gr=10^5$, $10^6$ and $10^7$ are: 10.121, 14.932 and 21.478 respectively, in the case of constant fluid properties, these values become: 8.974, 13.165 and 19.428 respectively.

4.5 Heat transfer

The phenomenon of heat transfer has been characterised in terms of circumferentially Nusselt numbers calculated at the inner wall of the pipe, which is obtained by eq. (17). The variation of local Nusselt number of the solid-fluid interface is presented in fig. 10 for $Gr=10^7$. From the entrance to the exit, we notice the large axial and angular variations of local Nusselt numbers, there is a monotonic axial increase of this latter which is characteristic of the enhanced heat transfer by the continuous mixing effect of the transverse flow, for each given section the local Nusselt number takes a minimum value at $\theta = 0$ and a maximum value at $\theta = \pi$. 

Figure 9. Comparison of axial Nusselt number between variables and constants fluid properties
The axial Nusselt number $Nu(z^*)$ is obtained by eq. (18). Figure 11 shows the axial variation of Nusselt number for the eight studied cases. At the zone of entrance, the axial Nusselt number decreases rapidly for all studied cases. After, it increases and takes maximum value at the pipe exit equal to: 5.81, 6.54, 9.15, 10.99, 15.77, 18.74, 24.33 and 28.10 for $Gr = 0$, $10^4$, $5 \times 10^4$, $10^5$, $5 \times 10^5$, $10^6$, $5 \times 10^6$ and $10^7$ respectively. The axial Nusselt numbers increases with the increase of volumetric heating.

Figure 11. The axial Nusselt number variation for different Grashof numbers

The average Nusselt number $Nu_A$ is obtained by eq. (19). In tab. 1 we present the average Nusselt numbers of all studied cases:
Table 1. Average Nusselt numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gr</th>
<th>10^4</th>
<th>5·10^4</th>
<th>10^5</th>
<th>5·10^5</th>
<th>10^6</th>
<th>5·10^6</th>
<th>10^7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ri</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td>2.715</td>
<td>13.577</td>
<td>27.154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results obtained allowed us to model the average Nusselt number of the mixed convection in function of Richardson number, for this we used "ZunZun.com Online Curve Fitting and Surface Fitting Web Site" and we found that the results with the parameters used are correlated with the correlation:

\[ Nu_A = 12.753 \cdot Ri^{0.156} \]  

The fitting target of sum of squared absolute error is equal to: 7.065·10^{-1}. In fig. 12 we present the fitting correlation. For each Richardson number between 0 and 28 we can obtain directly the average Nusselt number.

5. Conclusion

This study considers the numerical simulation of the three dimensional mixed convection heat transfer in a horizontal pipe heated by an electrical intensity passing through its small thickness. The obtained results show that the dynamic and thermal fields for mixed convection are qualitatively and quantitatively different from those of forced convection. Although the volumetric heat input in the solid thickness is constant, the heat flux at the solid-fluid interface is not constant: it varies with \( \theta \) and \( Z \) that is a characteristic of the considered mixed convection. The azimuthally variation of temperature at a given section is important; this phenomenon is demonstrated by the circumferential temperature variation of the wall: there is a large temperature wall difference between top and bottom of the external pipe. The comparison between the results obtained for the case of the physical constant properties and the case of the physical variable properties has showed that the Nusselt number is
higher in the latter case. For the forced convection, the average Nusselt number is 7.775. Thus, for the mixed convection, the parameters used are well correlated with the correlation: 

\[ N u_A = 12.753 \; Re^{0.156}. \]

**Nomenclature**

\[ D \] – pipe diameter, [m]

\[ g \] – gravitational acceleration, (= 9.81), [ms\(^2\)]

\[ Gr^* \] – modified Grashof number ( = \( g \beta GD_i^5 / K_s \nu^2 \)), [-]

\[ G \] – volumetric heat generation, [Wm\(^3\)]

\[ G^* \] – non-dimensional volumetric heat generation ( = \( K^*_v / Re_0 Pr_0 \)), [-]

\[ h(\theta, z) \] – local heat transfer coefficient, [Wm\(^2\)K\(^{-1}\)]

\[ h_c, h_r \] – convective and radiative local heat transfer coefficients respectively, [Wm\(^2\)K\(^{-1}\)]

\[ I \] – electric intensity, [A]

\[ K \] – fluid thermal conductivity, [Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)]

\[ K^* \] – non-dimensional thermal conductivity, ( = \( K/K_0 \)), [-]

\[ K_s^* \] – non-dimensional solid thermal conductivity, ( = \( K_s/K_0 \)), [-]

\[ L \] – pipe length, [m]

\[ L' \] – non-dimensional pipe length (= \( L/D_i \)), [-]

\[ Nu(\theta, z) \] – local Nusselt number (= \( h(\theta, z)D_i/K_0 \)), [-]

\[ Nu(z) \] – axial Nusselt number (= \( h(z)D_i/K_0 \)), [-]

\[ Nu_A \] – average Nusselt number, [-]

\[ P \] – pressure, [N m\(^{-2}\)]

\[ P^* \] – non-dimensional pressure (= \( (P - P_0)/\rho_0 V_0^2 \)), [-]

\[ Pr \] – Prandtl number (= \( \nu/\alpha \)), [-]

\[ q \] – heat flux, [W m\(^2\)]

\[ r \] – radial coordinate, [m]

\[ r^* \] – non-dimensional radial coordinate (= \( r/D_i \)), [-]

\[ R \] – pipe radius, [m]

\[ Re \] – Reynolds number (= \( V_0 D_i/\nu_0 \)), [-]

\[ Ri \] – Richardson number (= \( Gr^*/Re_0^2 \)), [-]

\[ t \] – time, [s]

\[ t^* \] – non-dimensional time (= \( V_0 t/D_i \)), [-]

\[ T \] – temperature, [K]

\[ T^* \] – non-dimensional temperature (= \( (T - T_0)/(GD_i^2/K_s) \)), [-]

\[ T_b^* \] – non-dimensional mixing cup section temperature, (= \( (T_b - T_0)/(GD_i^2/K_s) \)), [-]

\[ V_0 \] – mean axial velocity at the pipe entrance, [m s\(^{-1}\)]

\[ V_r, V_\theta, V_z \] – radial, circumferential and axial velocities components, respectively, [m s\(^{-1}\)]

\[ V_r^*, V_\theta^*, V_z^* \] – non-dimensional velocities components, (= \( V_r/V_0, V_\theta/V_0, V_z/V_0 \)), [-]

\[ z \] – axial coordinate, [m]

\[ z^* \] – non-dimensional axial coordinate, (= \( z/D_i \)), [-]

**Greek symbols**

\[ \alpha \] – thermal diffusivity, [m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\)]
\[ \beta \quad - \text{thermal expansion coefficient, [K}^{-1}] \\
\varepsilon \quad - \text{emissivity coefficient, [-]} \\
\mu \quad - \text{dynamic viscosity, [kgms}^{-1}] \\
\mu^* \quad - \text{non-dimensional dynamic viscosity (=} \mu/\mu_0, [-] \\
v \quad - \text{kinematic viscosity, [m}^2\text{s}^{-1}] \\
\theta \quad - \text{angular coordinate, [rad]} \\
\rho \quad - \text{density, [kgm}^{-3}] \\
\sigma \quad - \text{the Stephan-Boltzmann constant (=} 5.67 \times 10^{-8}, [\text{Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-4}] \\
\tau \quad - \text{stress, [Nm}^{-2}] \\
\tau^* \quad - \text{non-dimensional stress (=} \tau/(\mu_0V_o/D_i), [-] \\
\]

**Subscripts**
- A: mean value
- b: bulk
- i, o: reference to the inner, outer walls of the pipe
- r, \( \theta \), z: reference to the radial, tangential and axial directions respectively
- s: reference to the solid
- \( \infty \): reference to the ambient air away from the outer wall
- 0: at pipe entrance

**Superscript**
- *: non-dimensional
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