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In this study, to evaluate the comparative experimental performances, a frigorific air conditioning system using HFO1234yf and R134a was developed and refrigerated air was introduced into a conditioned room. The experiment was carried out at different condenser inlet temperatures and using the refrigerants at different charges, 1250 g, 1500 g, and 1750 g. Experiments were conducted for a standard frigorific air conditioning system using the HFO1234yf and R-134a system. Air flow was introduced to the conditioned room for 60 minutes for each performance test. The results revealed that the temperature gradient in time was comparable for both refrigerants. The results of this investigation propose utilising HFO1234yf as a replacement for the currently favoured R134a in a frigorific air conditioning system
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Introduction

As of 2017, The European Union’s F-gas regulations [1,2] specify that new models introduced after January 1, 2011, and after 2017, all automobiles coupled with air conditioning systems cannot be manufactured using greenhouse gases that have global warming potentials (GWP) greater than 150. Since the similar performance index of HFO1234yf system has been evaluated as an alternative for the R134a system, HFO1234yf could be implemented in existing air conditioning systems using R134a. Consequently, it has a GWP of 4 and is widely being considered as a possible replacement for R134a in automotive applications [3].

Meyer [3] revealed that the cooling capacity and Coefficients of Performance (COP) of HFO1234yf could be made relatively equal to the baseline R134a values using only simple system modifications. Minor and Spatz [4] demonstrated that there remain several issues that are currently being investigated through on-going research and development work in order to replace R134a with HFO1234yf in automotive applications.
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The effects of using improved components in air conditioning systems were studied experimentally by Petitjean and Benouali [5]. They found that the condenser plays a far more significant role than the evaporator in the optimization of the HFO1234yf performance regarding the conventional heat exchangers. They also showed that the HFO1234yf performance can match or even better that of R134a with correctly designed and tuned components. Lee and Jung [6] observed the conditions for mobile air conditioners, the performance of both HFO1234yf and R134a were investigated in a heat pump bench tester. The results revealed that, because of its good environmental properties and reasonable performance, HFO1234yf could potentially be seen as a long term environmentally friendly solution for use in mobile air conditioners.

Navarro et al. [7] compared HFO1234yf, R134a and R290 for an open piston compressor at different operating conditions. They detected a reduction of between 10-15% in the system’s cooling capacity when using HFO1234yf to that which used R134a. Akram et al. [8] study compared the lubricity of the two refrigerants, the environmentally friendly HFO1234yf and the traditional R134a, for air conditioning compressor applications. The results demonstrated that, for gray cast iron material interfaces, HFO1234yf offered greater lubricity than R134a. Lee et al. [9] study proposed replacing R134a in applications such as mobile air conditioners, centrifugal chillers and beverage coolers with an azeotropic mixture of R134a and HFO1234yf. This is because the COP capacity and discharge temperature of R134a is similar to that of HFO1234yf and the HFO1234yf/R134a mixture. They found that, in the HFO1234yf/R134a mixture when more R134a was added the danger of flammability decreases, while compositions with R134a above 10%, the mixture became non-flammable. Tanaka also [10] reported that toxicity and thermodynamic properties critical temperature, critical density, and critical pressured of HFO1234yf are similar to those of R134a. SAE [11] concluded that HFO1234yf can be used to globally replace refrigerants used in future mobile air conditioning systems. A comparative analysis showed that ignition potential and being exposed to hydrogen floride was extremely low. A comparative analysis show that HFO1234yf is a non-ozone depleting substance with a GWP of 4 and analysis also show that risks were well below those commonly considered acceptable by the public and regulatory agencies.

In this study, the performance of R134a and HFO1234yf are compared using a frigorific air conditioning system, respectively. The refrigerated air was sent into the conditioned room for both refrigerants. The experiment was carried out using the refrigerants at different charges, 1250g, 1500g, and 1750g. The effects of using R134a and HFO1234yf, as a refrigerant in a frigorific air conditioning system, and their respective performances were determined comparatively. As can be seen from the relative literature concerning this topic, this is the first time a comparison of the performance parameters for a frigorific air conditioning system using HFO1234yf and R134a has been made. The originality of this paper is to compare two refrigerants transient and steady state situations, at different charges. This study compared the refrigerants HFO1234yf and R134a in a transient scenario, and used a conditioning room to dertermine the performance of these refrigerants.
Description of The Experimental Setup

The experimental system was made from original components of a frigorific air conditioning system, as schematically shown in fig. 1. It employed a seven-cylinder fixed-capacity swash-plate SANDEN SD 5750W compressor at 2200 rpm, a 9500W parallel-flow micro-channel condenser, a 5750W laminated type evaporator and thermostatic expansion valves. An experimental system was connected to a refrigerated room for the test. The room dimensions were determined as 210 cm - 220 cm - 220 cm. This conditioned room was insulated by 8 cm thick insulation panels.

![Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the experimental setup](image)

All lines in the refrigeration circuit of the system were made from copper tubing, and insulated by elastomeric material. The condenser was inserted into separate air ducts of 1.2 m length. In order to provide the required air streams in the air ducts, an axial fan was placed at the condenser ducts. These ducts also contained electric heaters located upstream of the condenser. The condenser electric heaters could be controlled between 0–2 kW. To provide the required air temperature at the inlets of the condenser, the refrigeration circuit was charged with 1250g, 1500g and 1750g of R134a and HFO1234yf, respectively. In order to gather data for the performance evaluation of the experimental frigorific air conditioning system, some mechanical measurements were conducted on the system. The instruments and their locations are depicted in fig. 1. The features of the instrumentation can be seen in Table 1.

### Table 1. Characteristics of the instrumentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured variable</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Type K thermocouple</td>
<td>–50–400 °C</td>
<td>± % 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Digital manifold</td>
<td>0–25 bar</td>
<td>± % 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>Hygrometer</td>
<td>10–100%</td>
<td>± % 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air flow rate</td>
<td>Anemometer</td>
<td>0.1–15 m s⁻¹</td>
<td>± % 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressor speed</td>
<td>Digital tachometer</td>
<td>10–100000 rpm</td>
<td>± % 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The temperatures of the refrigerant and air at the inlet and exit of each component were measured by K-type thermocouples. Thermocouples for the refrigerant temperatures were soldered to the copper tube. The refrigerant pressures at the inlet and exit of the compressor were monitored by digital manifold. The values of most of the measured variables were acquired through a data acquisition system and recorded on a computer. The air stream discharged from the evaporator duct was supplied to the refrigerated room for cool-down tests. In order to measure the compartment temperatures, a thermocouple was located at the exit of the evaporator, while another one was suspended in the air close to the left wall, and the last one was suspended in the air close to the right wall. The values of all measured variables was acquired through a data acquisition system and recorded on a computer. The data acquisition was a logging thermometer which is a 9 channel and digital manifold which is also connect to 2 temperatures and pressure sensors.

The refrigerant flow path in the experimental system is illustrated in fig. 1. The refrigerant passing through the condenser rejects heat to the ambient air stream. Then, the refrigerant condenses and leaves the condenser as sub-cooled liquid. Following this, the refrigerant flow reaches the receiver tank, which keeps the unrequired refrigerant when the thermostatic expansion valve decreases the refrigerant flow rate at low cooling loads reaches TXV located at the inlet of the evaporator. It enters the evaporator, where it rejects cool air taken from the refrigerated room, and leaves the evaporator as low pressure superheated vapour. Finally, the refrigerant is directed to the compressor, which receives the low pressure refrigerant vapour and compresses it to a high pressure. The performance of HFO1234yf was tested in a standard R134a frigorific air conditioning without any alterations being made.

**Thermodynamic Analysis**

The performance parameters of the experimental frigorific air conditioning system can be evaluated by applying the first law of thermodynamics to the system. Using this law for the condenser, the cooling capacity of the experimental frigorific air conditioning system can be evaluated from

\[ \dot{Q}_{evap} = \dot{m}_r \left( h_{evap\_in} - h_{evap\_out} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \dot{m}_r \) is the refrigerant mass flow rate.

Assuming that the compressor is adiabatic, the power absorbed by the refrigerant during the compression process can be expressed as

\[ \dot{W}_{comp} = \dot{m}_r \left( h_{comp\_out} - h_{comp\_in} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The coefficient of performance of the frigorific air conditioning system defined as the ratio between cooling capacity and compressor power, i.e. can be determined.

\[ COP = \frac{\dot{Q}_{evap}}{\dot{W}_{comp}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
Testing Procedure

In all tests condenser air flow rate was adjusted to its maximum (0.312 m$^3$/s). In the summer season the temperature range is between 25 °C to 40 °C respectively, in most parts of Turkey. Before performing the tests, air temperatures at the inlets of the condenser (T$_{cond,\text{in}}$) were both fixed to either 25 to 40 °C, depending on the test. Meanwhile, the relative humidity of the air stream entering the outdoor coil was usually between 50-70%. Prior to the experiment, the conditioning room was set to 25 °C. Following this, the system was started up. Then, the compressor of the experimental system and data acquisition system were started simultaneously. During the experiment, the evaporator and condenser operating pressure was usually between 1.6 – 2.5 bar and 8.5 and 12.5 bar, respectively. Data was collected until the steady state was achieved, which took a time period of 60 minutes. The first experiment was conducted using the refrigerants at 1250 g charge, then 1500 g, and 1750 g respectively.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 2 indicates the changes in the air temperatures at the outlet of the evaporator when the temperatures of the air streams entering the condenser are maintained at 25 °C and refrigerants charged at 1250 g are used. As can be seen in the graph, there is a steady drop in the air temperatures when both the HFO1234yf, and R134a refrigerants are used. However, after a period of 60 minutes there is a visible difference between the air temperatures, with the air temperature using the R134a refrigerant being between 2 and 4 °C higher than that used HFO1234yf. The air temperature that used refrigerant R134a dropped to a temperature of 0 °C after the completion of 60 minutes, while that which used refrigerant HFO1234yf had dropped to -2 °C during the same period.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2. The variation of the air temperature at the evaporator outlet as a function of time**

(T$_{\text{ind,uni}}$=25 °C, T$_{\text{out,uni}}$=25 °C, 1250 g)

As can be seen in fig. 3, when the air temperature inlet of the condenser is altered to 30 °C, a far more visible difference can be seen in the refrigerant performances. The ability of the HFO1234yf refrigerant to reach and maintain far lower temperatures over the period of 60 minutes is significant,
and extremely promising. Fig. 4 demonstrates that there is a small difference between the air temperatures when the air temperature at inlet of the condenser is 40 °C. The air temperature using the R134a refrigerant being between 1 – 2 °C higher than that used HFO1234yf.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3.** The variation of the air temperature at the evaporator outlet as a function of time

\(T_{\text{ind uni}}=25 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}, \, T_{\text{out uni}}=30 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}, \, 1250 \, \text{g}\)

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4.** The variation of the air temperature at the evaporator outlet as a function of time

\(T_{\text{ind uni}}=25 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}, \, T_{\text{out uni}}=40 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}, \, 1250 \, \text{g}\)

Fig. 5 indicates a slight alteration in the air temperatures in the first 12 minutes, the HFO1234yf refrigerant dropping slightly lower during this period, when the refrigerants charged at 1500g are used. This change is only temporary, and the graph reveals how these two temperatures reunite following the initial 12 minutes and maintain the same decline thereafter. The graph reveals promising results.
Fig. 5 indicates the changes in the air temperatures at the outlet of the indoor coil when the temperatures of the air streams entering the condenser are maintained at 30 °C and refrigerants charged at 1500g are used. As the condenser air inlet temperatures increases the evaporator outlet temperature of the HFO1234yf increased. The graph reveals a sharp drop in the air temperature which uses the HFO1234yf refrigerant in the first few minutes. Thereafter, the air temperature declines at a relatively stable rate over 60 minutes. It can be seen that R134a maintains a far more stable decline, and that the air temperature is always that much higher than that of HFO1234yf, this being maintained over the duration of 60 minutes. At the end of the 60 min. period, the HFO1234yf evaporator outlet temperature had dropped to -5 C, as can be seen in the graph. Fig. 7 indicates that two temperatures line reunite and maintain the same decline during the graph, when the temperatures of the air streams entering the condenser are maintained at 40 °C.

Fig. 6 indicates the changes in the air temperatures at the outlet of the indoor coil when the temperatures of the air streams entering the condenser are maintained at 30 °C and refrigerants charged at 1500g are used. As the condenser air inlet temperatures increases the evaporator outlet temperature of the HFO1234yf increased. The graph reveals a sharp drop in the air temperature which uses the HFO1234yf refrigerant in the first few minutes. Thereafter, the air temperature declines at a relatively stable rate over 60 minutes. It can be seen that R134a maintains a far more stable decline, and that the air temperature is always that much higher than that of HFO1234yf, this being maintained over the duration of 60 minutes. At the end of the 60 min. period, the HFO1234yf evaporator outlet temperature had dropped to -5 C, as can be seen in the graph. Fig. 7 indicates that two temperatures line reunite and maintain the same decline during the graph, when the temperatures of the air streams entering the condenser are maintained at 40 °C.
Figure 7. The variation of the air temperature at the evaporator outlet as a function of time

\[(T_{\text{ind uni}} = 25 \, ^\circ\text{C}, T_{\text{outd uni}} = 40 \, ^\circ\text{C}, 1500 \, \text{g})\]

When the charge for both refrigerants was increased to 1750 g, the performance of HFO1234yf, and R134a were similar over the period of 60 minutes as seen in fig. 8. HFO1234yf and R134a both dropped to below -5 \, ^\circ\text{C}, and both refrigerants reached similar levels. Both refrigerants maintained, more or less, the same steady decrease in air temperature. The slight difference in performance over the final 10 minutes is arguably inconsequential.

Figure 8. The variation of the air temperature at the evaporator outlet as a function of time

\[(T_{\text{ind uni}} = 25 \, ^\circ\text{C}, T_{\text{outd uni}} = 25 \, ^\circ\text{C}, 1750 \, \text{g})\]
Fig. 9. Reveals the effects when the temperature entering the outdoor unit was increased to 30 °C, the performance between the two refrigerants altered greatly, a difference of seven degrees can be seen between the refrigerants following the completion of the 60 minute period. As can be seen in the graph, when the condenser air temperature increased, the performance of the HFO1234yf refrigerant greatly increased when compared with R134a. When the air inlet temperature at condenser is 40 °C both refrigerants gives the same decline, as it can be seen in fig. 10.

In figures 11-13 it is shown that R134a the steady state COP is generally higher than that of HFO1234yf. These values were all taken over a period of 60 minutes and were collected for three different refrigerant charges 1250g, 1500g, and 1750g. When the temperature rises the COP reduces due to the cooling capacity reducing. When the refrigerant charge is increased the COP increases too. Because of this the COP gets higher on decreasing the air temperatures entering the condenser.
Despite R134a performing marginally better at different charges over the 60 minute period, the performance of both refrigerants is comparable.

Figure 11. The variation of the COP as a function of air temperature at the condenser inlet (1250 g)

Figure 12. The variation of the COP as a function of air temperature at the condenser inlet (1500 g)

Figure 13. The variation of the COP as a function of air temperature at the condenser inlet (1750 g)
Conclusions

The transient and steady state performance of an experimental frigorific air conditioning system has been evaluated. The cooled air was sent to the air conditioned room in order to evaluate the performance of the two refrigerants, HFO1234yf and R134a. Based on experimental data, the conditioned air temperature, coefficient of performance in the system were determined and presented as a function of time, and air temperatures at the inlets of the condenser coils.

As is seen in the results section, at temperatures of 25 ºC, gave similar performances, with HFO1234yf producing marginally better results. This is significant, when considering HFO1234yf’s greater environmental properties.

Refrigerant HFO1234yf gave visibly better performances than R134a when the temperatures of the air streams entering the condenser were maintained at 30 ºC. These improved performances over R134a are promising. When the temperature rises the COP reduces due to the cooling capacity reducing. Results were comparable during this phase of the investigation.

The results of this investigation propose the utilisation of HFO1234yf as a replacement over the currently favoured R134a in a frigorific air conditioning system. In order to obtain a more comprehensive performance of HFO-1234yf can be performed with water cooled condensers in future studies.
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Nomenclature

\( \text{COP} \) = coefficient of performance

\( h \) = enthalpy [kJ kg\(^{-1}\)]

\( m \) = mass flow rate [g s\(^{-1}\)]

\( \dot{Q} \) = cooling capacity [W]

\( \dot{w} \) = work [W]

Subscripts

comp = compressor

cond = condenser

evap = evaporator

in = inlet

ind uni = indoor unit

out = exit
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