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In this paper we study the flow of an incompressible Erying-Powell fluid bounded
by a linear stretching surface. The mass transfer analysis in the presence of de-
structive/generative chemical reactions is also analyzed. A similarity transfor-
mation is used to transform the governing partial differential equations into ordi-
nary differential equations. Computations for dimensionless velocity and concen-
tration fields are performed by an efficient approach namely the homotopy analysis
method and numerical solution is obtained by shooting technique along with
Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg integration scheme. Graphical results are prepared to illus-
trate the details of flow and mass transfer characteristics and their dependence up-
on the physical parameters. The values for gradient of mass transfer are also eval-
uated and analyzed. A comparison of the present solutions with published results in
the literature is performed and the results are found to be in excellent agreement.
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Introduction

The flows of non-Newtonian fluids have been of great importance and increasing in-
terest for the last few decades. Perhaps, it is due to their several engineering and technological
applications. Few examples of the non-Newtonian fluids are coal water, jellies, toothpaste,
ketchup, food products, inks, glues, soaps, blood, and polymer solutions. It is well known that
there is no unique relationship available in the literature like Newtonian law of viscosity for vis-
cous fluids that can describe the rheology of all the non-Newtonian fluids. It is due the diversity
of non-Newtonian fluids in nature in terms of their viscous and elastic properties. Mathematical
systems for non-Newtonian fluids are of higher order and complicated in comparison to the
Newtonian fluids. Despite of all these difficulties and complexities, several researchers in the
field are involved in making valuable contributions to the studies of non-Newtonian fluid dy-
namics [1-10]. The non-Newtonian fluid models vary in their complexity and ability to capture
different physical phenomena. Of course, no single model can capture all the features of the
non-Newtonian fluids complexities and hence different models are used to represent different
characteristics of the non-Newtonian fluids. Among these fluid models the Powell-Erying flu-
id model [11-13] is important as it can be deduced from a kinetic theory of gases rather than the empirical relation as in the power law model. Also it correctly reduces to Newtonian behaviour for low and high shear rates for otherwise pseudoplastic systems, whereas the power law model indicates an infinite effective viscosity for low shear rate, thus limiting its range of applicability. Furthermore, this fluid model appears to be quite accurate and consistent in calculation of fluid time scale at various polymer concentrations [14, 15].

On the other hand, the interest of researchers in stretching flows with boundary layer approximation has now increased substantially in recent years in view of its significant applications in the polymer industry and manufacturing processes including wire drawing, spinning of filaments, hot rolling, crystal growing, fiber production, paper production, wire drawing, drawing of plastic films, metal and polymer extrusion, and metal spinning. Crane [16] in his pioneering work introduced the concept of stretching flow and obtained a closed form solution for the steady flow of viscous fluid due to a linearly stretching sheet. The transport of mass and momentum of chemical reactive species in the flow caused by a linear stretching sheet is discussed by Andersson et al. [17]. Takhar et al. [18] investigated the (MHD) flow and mass transfer in a viscous fluid over a stretching surface. Akyildiz et al. [19] studied the same flow problem for second grade fluid filling a porous medium. Hayat et al. [20] studied the MHD flow and mass transfer in a Maxwell fluid past a porous shrinking sheet in the presence of destructive/generative chemical reaction. Motivated by the previous investigations, the present study aims to investigate the stretching flow of non-Newtonian Erying-Powell fluid in the presence of chemical reactive species. The flow is due to a linear stretching surface. Numerical solution is obtained by shooting technique along with Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg integration scheme and homotopy analysis method (HAM) [21-26] has been used in the development of series solutions. Convergence of series solutions is established and interesting observations are extracted through graphs and tables.

**Problem formulation**

Let us consider the steady 2-D flow of an incompressible Erying-Powell fluid in the half space \( y > 0 \). In addition the mass transfer effects are considered. The velocity \( U_w(x) \) and the concentration \( C_w(x) \) of the stretching sheet is proportional to the distance \( x \) from origin \( O \), where \( C_w(x) > C_\infty \) (see fig. 1).

The boundary layer flow is governed by the following equations [12, 17-19]:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\rho \beta C^*} \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{2 \rho \beta C^*} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \]

\[
u \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} - k_1 C
\]

where \( \beta \) and \( C^* \) are the characteristics of Powell-Erying model, \( u, v \) – the velocity components along x- and y-axes, \( \rho \) – the fluid density, \( \nu \) – the kinematic viscosity, \( D \) – the mass diffusion, \( C \) – the concentration field, and \( k_1 \) – the reaction rate.

The corresponding boundary conditions are:
where \(c\) is the stretching rate and the subscripts \(w\) and \(\infty\) are written for the wall and free stream conditions.

Introducing the following similarity transformations:

\[
\eta = \sqrt{\frac{c}{\nu}} y, \quad u = cf'(\eta), \quad v = -\sqrt{\nu f(\eta)}, \quad \varphi = \frac{C - C_w}{C_w - C_\infty}
\]

into eqs. (1)-(4), the continuity equation is identically satisfied and eqs. (2)-(5) become:

\[
(1 + K) f'''' + f'' + K \Gamma' f''' f'' = 0
\]

\[
\varphi'' + Sc (f \varphi' - \varphi f' - \gamma \varphi) = 0
\]

\[
f = 0, \quad f' = 1, \quad \varphi = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0
\]

\[
f'' = 0, \quad \varphi = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta \to \infty
\]

where

\[
Sc = \frac{\nu}{D}, \quad K = \frac{1}{\mu \beta C^*}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{x^2 c^3}{2 \nu C^* \pi^2}, \quad \gamma = \frac{k_1}{c}
\]

Furthermore, \(Sc\), \(K\), and \(\gamma\) are the Schmidt number, Deborah, and chemical reaction parameters, respectively, and \(\mu\) is the viscosity coefficient. The local skin friction coefficient and Sherwood number (surface mass transfer) on the surface are:

\[
C_{fs} = \left. \frac{\tau_{xy}}{\rho u_w^2} \right|_{y=0}, \quad \text{Sh} = \frac{-x \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}}{C_w - C_\infty}
\]

In dimensionless form eq. (11) can be written:

\[
Re_{\infty}^{1/2} C_{fs} = \left\{ (1 + K) f''(0) - \frac{K \Gamma}{3} f'''(0) \right\}, \quad \text{Sh} \ Re_{\infty}^{-1/2} = -\varphi'(0)
\]

**Solution methodologies**

*Homotopy analysis method*

In order to derive the HAM solutions, we chose the base functions of the form:

\[
\{ \eta^k \exp(-n\eta), \quad k \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0 \}
\]

and

\[
f(\eta) = a_{0,0}^0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^k \eta^k \exp(-n\eta), \quad \varphi(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{m,n}^k \eta^k \exp(-n\eta)
\]
where \( a_{m,n}^k \) and \( b_{m,n}^k \) are the coefficients. The initial approximations are \( f_0 \) and \( \varphi_0 \) and auxiliary linear operators are:

\[
f_0(\eta) = 1 - \exp(-\eta), \quad \varphi_0(\eta) = \exp(-\eta) \tag{15}\]

\[
L_f(f) = \frac{d^3 f}{d\eta^3} - \frac{df}{d\eta} \tag{16}\]

\[
L_\varphi(f) = \frac{d^2 f}{d\eta^2} - f \tag{17}\]

whence

\[
L_f[C_1 + C_2 \exp(\eta) + C_3 \exp(-\eta)] = 0 \tag{18}\]

\[
L_\varphi[C_4 \exp(\eta) + C_5 \exp(-\eta)] = 0 \tag{19}\]

and \( C_i (i = 1-5) \) are the arbitrary constants. The embedding parameter \( p \in [0, 1] \), \( h_f \) and \( h_\varphi \) are non-zero auxiliary parameters. The problems at the 0th order are written:

\[
(1 - p)L_f[f(\eta; p) - f_0(\eta)] = ph_fN_f[f(\eta; p)] \tag{20}\]

\[
(1 - p)L_\varphi[\varphi(\eta; p) - \varphi_0(\eta)] = ph_\varphiN_\varphi[\varphi(\eta; p), \ f(\eta; p)] \tag{21}\]

\[
f(\eta; p) \big|_{\eta=0} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\eta=0} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\eta=\infty} = 0 \tag{22}\]

\[
\varphi(\eta; p) \big|_{\eta=0} = 1, \quad \varphi(\eta; p) \big|_{\eta=\infty} = 0 \tag{23}\]

\[
N_f[\hat{f}(\eta, p)] = (1 + K) \frac{\partial^3 \hat{f}(\eta, p)}{\partial \eta^3} + \hat{f}(\eta, p) \frac{\partial^2 \hat{f}(\eta, p)}{\partial \eta^2} - \left[ \frac{\partial \hat{f}(\eta, p)}{\partial \eta} \right]^2 - K\Gamma \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \hat{f}(\eta, p)}{\partial \eta^2} \right] \tag{24}\]

\[
N_\varphi[\varphi(\eta, p), \ f(\eta; p)] = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta^2} + \]

\[
+ Sc \left[ f(\eta, p) \frac{\partial \varphi(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta} - \varphi(\eta, p) \frac{\partial f(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta} - \gamma \varphi(\eta, p) \right] \tag{25}\]

The mentioned 0th-order deformation eqs. (20) and (21) for \( p = 0 \) and \( p = 1 \) have the following solutions:

\[
f(\eta; 0) = f_0(\eta), \quad f(\eta; 1) = f(\eta) \tag{26}\]

\[
\varphi(\eta; 0) = \varphi_0(\eta), \quad \varphi(\eta; 1) = \varphi(\eta) \tag{27}\]
Obviously, when $p$ increases from 0 to 1, $f(\eta, p)$ varies from initial guess $f_0(\eta)$ to the exact solution $f(\eta)$. Therefore, by Taylors' theorem and using eqs. (26) and (27), we get:

$$f(\eta; p) = f_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m(\eta) p^m$$

$$\phi(\eta; p) = \phi_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m(\eta) p^m$$

$$f_m(\eta) = \frac{1}{m!} \left. \frac{\partial^m f(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta^m} \right|_{p=0}, \quad \phi_m(\eta) = \frac{1}{m!} \left. \frac{\partial^m \phi(\eta; p)}{\partial \eta^m} \right|_{p=0}$$

Clearly, eqs. (20) and (21) involve non-zero auxiliary parameters $h_f$ and $h_\phi$. The convergence of the series (28) and (29) depends upon $h_f$ and $h_\phi$. The values of $h_f$ and $h_\phi$ are selected such that the eqs. (28) and (29) are convergent at $p = 1$. Hence we write:

$$f(\eta) = f_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m(\eta)$$

$$\phi(\eta) = \phi_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_m(\eta)$$

The $m$th-order deformation problems are:

$$L_{f_j}[f_m(\eta) - \chi_m f_{m-1}(\eta)] = h_j R_{m}^f(\eta)$$

$$L_{f_j}[\phi_m(\eta) - \chi_m \phi_{m-1}(\eta)] = h_\phi R_{m}^\phi(\eta)$$

$$f_m(0) = f_m'(0) = f_m''(\infty) = 0, \quad \phi_m(0) = \phi_m''(\infty) = 0$$

$$R_{m}^f(\eta) = (1 + K) f_{m-1}''(\eta) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ f_{m-1-k}'' \phi_k' - f_{m-1-k}'' f_k' - K \Gamma f_{m-1}'' \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_k'' f_k'' \right]$$

$$R_{m}^\phi(\eta) = \phi_{m-1}''(\eta) - \gamma \phi_{m-1} + Sc \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ \phi_{m-1-k}'' \phi_k' - \phi_k'' f_{m-1-k}' \right] - \gamma \phi_{m-1}$$

$$\chi_m = \begin{cases} 0, & m \leq 1 \\ 1, & m > 1 \end{cases}$$

The general solutions are:

$$f_m(\eta) = f_m''(\eta) + C_1 + C_2 \exp(\eta) + C_3 \exp(-\eta)$$

$$\phi_m(\eta) = \phi_m''(\eta) + C_4 \exp(\eta) + C_5 \exp(-\eta)$$
where $f_m^*$ and $\phi_m^*$ are the particular solutions and after invoking eqs. (35) the constants are given by:

$$
C_2 = C_4 = 0, \quad C_3 = \left. \frac{\partial f_m^*(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=0}, \quad C_1 = -C_3 - f_m^*(0), \quad C_5 = -\phi_m^*(0) \tag{41}
$$

By symbolic software MATHEMATICA, the system of eqs. (33)-(35) can be solved for $m = 1, 2, 3...$

Convergence of the HAM solution

The auxiliary parameters, $h_f$ and $h_\phi$, in the series solutions (31) and (32) play a vital role in adjusting and controlling the convergence. In order to find the admissible values of $h_f$ and $h_\phi$, the $h_f$ and $h_\phi$ – curves are plotted for 15th-order of approximations. Figure 2 shows that the range for the admissible values of $h_f$ and $h_\phi$ are $-1.5 \leq h_f \leq -0.2$ and $-1.7 \leq h_\phi \leq -0.6$. Our computations also indicates that the series given by eqs. (31) and (32) converge in the whole region of $\eta$ when $h_f = -0.5$ and $h_\phi = -1$. Table 1 shows the convergence of the homotopy solutions for different order of approximations for $K = 0.2$, $\Gamma = 0.1$, $Sc = 0.7$, and $\gamma = 1$.

Numerical solution

The numerical solution for eqs. (7)-(9) for different values of non-Newtonian fluid parameters $K$ and $\Gamma$, Schmidt number, and chemical reaction parameter subject to the boundary conditions (9) is obtained by the most efficient numerical shooting technique with Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg integration scheme. In this method the coupled non-linear two point boundary value problem is transformed into initial value problem which is a first order system and is obtained by defining new variables. The asymptotic boundary conditions given by eq. (9) were replaced by using a finite value of $\eta_{\text{max}}$ for the similarity variable $\eta$ as $\eta \to \infty$.

Results and discussion

In this section, the influence of emerging physical parameters on the velocity and concentration fields is studied. Figures 3-8 are prepared to show the variations of $K$, $\Gamma$, Sc, and $\gamma$. Figures 3-5 describe the effects of $K$ and $\Gamma$ on the velocity profile $f'$. From fig. 3 it can be seen that the velocity field and boundary layer thickness are increasing functions of $K$. Figure 4 shows that the effect of $\Gamma$ is opposite to the effect of the material parameter $K$.
The effects of Sc and $\gamma$ on the concentration profile are examined in figs. 6-8. The variation of the Schmidt number on $\varphi$ is shown in fig. 6. The concentration field, $\varphi$, decreases when Schmidt number increases. As expected the fluid concentration decreases with an increase in generative chemical reaction parameter ($\gamma > 0$), fig. 7. The fluid concentration, $\varphi$, has the opposite behaviour for destructive chemical reaction parameter ($\gamma < 0$) in comparison to the case of generative chemical reaction as shown in fig. 8. To authenticate our present analytical...
and numerical solutions a comparison is given in tabs. 2 and 3. In these tables numerical values of skin-friction coefficient $Re^{1/2} C_f$ and $f''(0)$ (skin-friction coefficient for viscous fluid i. e. $K = \Gamma = 0$) are tabulated. We compared our results obtained by HAM and shooting technique with the results obtained by Javed et al. [12] by Keller-box method. All the solutions are found in good harmony. Further, from these tables we observed that the magnitude of $f''(0)$ decreases by increasing $K$. Similar effects are seen for the skin friction coefficient. It can be seen that the skin friction coefficient is larger for Erying-Powell fluid compare to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Gamma/K$</th>
<th>$Re^{1/2} C_f$</th>
<th>$f''(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM</td>
<td>Shooting</td>
<td>Keller box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0954</td>
<td>1.0952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0940</td>
<td>1.0939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0924</td>
<td>1.0922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0909</td>
<td>1.0909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0894</td>
<td>1.0894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0878</td>
<td>1.0878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0862</td>
<td>1.0862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0847</td>
<td>1.0847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0829</td>
<td>1.0832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0814</td>
<td>1.0816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0797</td>
<td>1.0797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1.0       | 1.4145         | 1.4142   | 1.4142  | 0.7073  | 0.7072    | 0.7071    |
| Present   | [12]           | Present  | [12]     |
| HAM       | Shooting       | Keller box | HAM     | Shooting | Keller box |
| 0.0       | 1.4108         | 1.4107   | 1.4107  | 0.7116  | 0.7115    | 0.7114    |
| 0.1       | 1.4074         | 1.4072   | 1.4072  | 0.7161  | 0.7159    | 0.7158    |
| 0.2       | 1.4039         | 1.4036   | 1.4036  | 0.7205  | 0.7206    | 0.7205    |
| 0.3       | 1.3999         | 1.3999   | 1.3999  | 0.7254  | 0.7255    | 0.7254    |
| 0.4       | 1.3965         | 1.3961   | 1.3961  | 0.7305  | 0.7306    | 0.7305    |
| 0.5       | 1.3925         | 1.3922   | 1.3922  | 0.7360  | 0.7362    | 0.7360    |
| 0.6       | 1.3887         | 1.3883   | 1.3883  | 0.7418  | 0.7419    | 0.7418    |
| 0.7       | 1.3843         | 1.3842   | 1.3842  | 0.7479  | 0.7480    | 0.7479    |
| 0.8       | 1.3802         | 1.3801   | 1.3801  | 0.7544  | 0.7546    | 0.7544    |
| 0.9       | 1.3761         | 1.3758   | 1.3758  | 0.7615  | 0.7616    | 0.7615    |
viscous fluid. The values of the surface mass transfer, \( -\phi'(0) \), are presented in tab. 3. Table 3 depicts that the surface mass transfer, \( -\phi'(0) \), increases by increasing both Schmidt number and \( \gamma \). Also an excellent agreement is found between homotopy analysis and shooting method.

### Conclusions

The present study describes the flow of an Erying-Powell fluid with mass transfer effect. Analytical and numerical solutions to the governing non-linear problem are presented. Analysis of tab. 1 shows that solution up to 10th order of approximations is enough. Comparison of the present study with [12] is shown in a limiting sense. It is observed that velocity field and boundary layer thickness are increasing functions of Erying fluid parameter, \( K \). Further, the mass transfer rate is larger in Erying Powell fluid as compared to Newtonian viscous fluid.

### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( b )</td>
<td>positive constant, ([\text{molkg}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>stretching rate, ([\text{s}^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C )</td>
<td>concentration field, ([\text{molkg}^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_w )</td>
<td>concentration at wall, ([\text{molkg}^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{\infty} )</td>
<td>skin friction coefficient, [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D )</td>
<td>mass diffusion, ([\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>dimensionless stream function, [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>fluid parameter ((=1/\mu C))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Re}_e )</td>
<td>local Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Sc} )</td>
<td>Schmidt number ((=\nu/D))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Sh} )</td>
<td>Sherwood number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi' )</td>
<td>dimensionless concentration, [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>chemical reaction parameter ((=K_1/c))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>dimensionless space variable, [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity, ([\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>fluid density, ([\text{kgm}^{-3}])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau )</td>
<td>surface shear stress, ([\text{kgm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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