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Pulverized coal-fired power plants should provide higher efficiency of energy conversion, flexibility in terms of boiler loads and fuel characteristics and emission reduction of pollutants like nitrogen oxides. Modification of combustion process is a cost-effective technology for NOx control. For optimization of complex processes, such as turbulent reactive flow in coal-fired furnaces, mathematical modeling is regularly used. The NOx emission reduction by combustion modifications in the 350 MW Kostolac B boiler furnace, tangentially fired by pulverized Serbian lignite, is investigated in the paper. Numerical experiments were done by an in-house developed 3-D differential comprehensive combustion code, with fuel- and thermal-NO formation/destruction reactions model. The code was developed to be easily used by engineering staff for process analysis in boiler units. A broad range of operating conditions was examined, such as fuel and preheated air distribution over the burners and tiers, operation mode of the burners, grinding fineness and quality of coal, boiler loads, cold air ingress, recirculation of flue gases, water-walls ash deposition and combined effect of different parameters. The predictions show that the NOx emission reduction of up to 30% can be achieved by a proper combustion organization in the case-study furnace, with the flame position control. Impact of combustion modifications on the boiler operation was evaluated by the boiler thermal calculations suggesting that the facility was to be controlled within narrow limits of operation parameters. Such a complex approach to pollutants control enables evaluating alternative solutions to achieve efficient and low emission operation of utility boiler units.
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Introduction

In order to meet challenging tasks of environmental-friendly development, present-day coal utilization technologies have to enable efficient energy conversion, reduction of pollution and flexibility in terms of boiler loads and fuel quality, increasingly important in domestic power plants. Both the emission reduction and the combustion efficiency increase are essential in retrofitting the power plants to extend their operational life expectancy. For
achieving these improvements based on optimization of complex processes in furnaces and boilers during exploitation, mathematical modeling is regularly used [1-17].

Since nitrogen oxides are identified as direct precursors of photochemical smog and contribute to acid rain, considerable efforts focus on modeling NO\textsubscript{x} formation/destruction in pulverized coal combustion [5-10, 12, 18, 19]. Although NO\textsubscript{x} emission from Serbian plants firing low-rank coals-lignites is not extremely high, it considerably exceeds new European emission limits. Primary measures-combustion modifications offer a simple and cost effective means of NO\textsubscript{x} reduction [19], in contrast to post-combustion clean-up. Investigation [2] focused on testing the NO\textsubscript{x} model over a variety of coal type, firing configurations and boiler power outputs. Some authors simulated tangentially-fired furnaces [1, 5, 8, 11, 12, 15], while others considered wall fired furnaces [3, 6, 7, 9, 10]. Some predictions were performed to obtain data that could be used to minimize unburned coal in fly ashes [17]. Most of the authors predicted nitric oxide (NO) only [6, 10, 12, 17], as the most abundant NO\textsubscript{x} from coal combustion. Majority of simulations neglected prompt NO, while considering fuel NO that typically accounts for 75%-95% of the total NO in coal combustors [19, 20] and thermal NO [6, 9, 10, 17] significant at the flame temperatures greater than 1600-1800 K [19]. Authors mostly tried to verify some of primary methods for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction, optimizing the combustion process. Multiple stage combustion showed good NO\textsubscript{x} reduction [6-10]. Flue gas recirculation (FGR) proved to be effective and economical method for reducing NO\textsubscript{x} emission [21]. New burners [4, 6, 7, 17], overfire air (OFA) systems [3, 8], or alternatives like horizontal bias combustion [1] were examined as well.

Reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} emission by primary measures was investigated in tangentially-fired furnace of Kostolac-B 350 MW\textsubscript{e} boiler units. The analysis was done by an in-house developed 3-D differential model of furnace processes (with the fuel- and thermal-NO formation/destruction sub-model), validated against available large-scale measurements in the case-study boiler units [13-16]. The code was designed to be easily used by engineering staff dealing with the process analysis in boiler units, offering a proper balance between sophistication of sub-models describing individual processes and user-friendly data-input. Optimization of complex processes within the pulverized coal-fired furnace, both with respect to the emission and the efficiency, was performed over a very wide range of operating conditions, taking into account not only extremely complex interactions of influencing parameters but also their effect on the entire boiler unit operation. Individual or combined effects of a number of operation parameters were studied, such as coal and preheated air distribution over the burners and the burner tiers, grinding fineness and quality of coal, boiler loads, cold air ingress, recirculation of flue gases and water-walls ash deposition. It was also essential to provide proper characteristics of the pulverized coal flame, because of its impact on heat transfer in the furnace and thermal load of the water walls [14]. Such kind of de-NO\textsubscript{x} measures may reduce the boiler efficiency and disturb safe operation of superheaters, which was also investigated.

Mathematical model

The comprehensive differential model of two-phase turbulent reactive flow in pulverized coal-fired utility boiler furnace at stationary conditions was described in details [13-16]. Two-phase flow is treated by Eulerian-Lagrangian approach. Gas phase is described by time-averaged Eulerian conservation equations for mass, momentum, energy, gas mixture components concentrations, turbulence kinetic energy and its rate of dissipation. In general-index notation:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho U_j \Phi \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} \right) + S_{\Phi} + S^\prime_{\Phi} \tag{1}
\]
with additional sources due to particles $S_p^\Phi$, while $\rho$, $U_j$, $\Gamma_\Phi$ and $S_\Phi$ are gas-phase density [kgm⁻³], velocity components [ms⁻¹], transport coefficient and source term for general variable $\Phi$, respectively. To close the eq. (1), $k$-$\varepsilon$ turbulence model is used. Dispersed phase is described by differential equations of motion, energy and mass change in Lagrangian field. Particle velocity vector is a sum of convective and diffusion velocity. Effect of particles on gas phase is accounted for by PSI Cell method. Continuity equation for particle number density is given in the form of eq. (1). A convection-radiation heat transfer is considered and radiative heat exchange is modeled by the six-flux method which solves total radiation fluxes, used to find the radiative energy source term in the gas-phase enthalpy equation and the radiative heat transfer rate to a single particle. Flue gas and wall total emissivities are assigned values of $\varepsilon_g = 0.35$ and $\varepsilon_w = 0.85$ [22]. Calculation procedure for prediction of the furnace walls ash deposits impact on the radiative heat exchange was also developed [23] and used within the code. There is conduction and radiation through the deposits layer and physical properties influencing the heat transfer are effective thermal conductivity and emissivity of the layer fire side surface. Available experimental data on combustion considered the coal particle kinetics for Serbian lignites with respect to the entire particle, which determined the modeling approach. Individual processes in complex process of pulverized coal combustion were treated on the basis of global particle kinetics, obtained experimentally. Coal particle combustion is described with respect to the char combustion (by far slower process compared with devolatilization and oxidation of volatiles), within the shrinking core concept. Change of the coal particle mass, equal to the reaction rate, is given in combined kinetic-diffusion regime [13-15]. Mass and heat addition due to combustion is considered by the sources due to particles in eq. (1). The case-study lignite Drmno kinetic parameters were determined in vertical cylindrical laboratory furnace [13, 14]. This approach may be easily adjusted to include additional heterogeneous, as well as homogeneous reactions, like in [24]. Initial and boundary conditions usual for elliptical partial differential equations are applied. Conditions near the walls are described by the wall functions. Discretization of partial differential equations is performed by control volume method and hybrid-differencing scheme. Discretized equations are solved by SIPSOL method. Coupling of continuity and momentum equations is done by SIMPLE algorithm. Stabilization of iteration procedure is provided by under-relaxation. The comprehensive combustion code was verified by grid-independence study with assessment of numerical error [13].

The NOx formation and destruction reactions sub-model was incorporated into the complex combustion code. Simplified chemical models in conjunction with detailed CFD calculations were used for the reasons of practicality. The thermal NO formation/destruction reactions can be predicted by Zeldovich expression with respect to a single reaction rate constant [19]. The NOx sub-model comprises also the fuel NO formation/depletion reactions [25-27] through hydrogen cyanide (HCN) as an intermediate compound from volatilization. In the selected approach HCN is formed by direct transformation of coal-bound nitrogen during devolatilization, while NH₃ is produced by secondary reactions [28]. Nitrogen released within NH₃ is taken into account through equivalent nitrogen content. The chosen model of NO formation is in conjunction with De Soete global-reaction kinetics [26, 27].

Fuel NO formation reaction rate is given as:

$$\frac{dX_{NO}}{dt} = A_1 \cdot 10^{10} X_{HCN} Z^\alpha \exp(-33732.5/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$ depends on the local concentration of oxygen [26]. As proposed by Lockwood and Romo-Millares [19] for fuel-lean conditions that prevail in pulver-
ized coal-fired furnaces, preexponential factor $A_1$ is increased by 3.5 compared with original value $A_1 = 1$ (De Soete [26], more proper for fuel-rich conditions). The best fit, based on experimental NO emission values, was found to be $3.5 \times 10^{10}$ L/s [18] and was extensively used for NOx predictions in utility scale boilers [9, 10]. In this work, calculations were performed with different values of preexponential factor $A_1$ depending on local conditions. For NO depletion rate the expression has been selected according to the literature [25], as a function of mole fractions: $\chi_{\text{HCN}}$, $\chi_{\text{O}_2}$, and $\chi_{\text{NO}}$. Partial differential equations for NO and HCN are solved in Eulerian field, eq. (3). The source of NO, $S_{\text{NO}}$, is obtained in dependence on the total net formation/destruction rate of NO, while the source of HCN, $S_{\text{HCN}}$, comprises both the HCN release by devolatilization and HCN depletion in the gaseous phase. Mass fractions of NO and HCN are given by $X_{\text{NO}}$ [kg/kg] and $X_{\text{HCN}}$ [kg/kg], respectively, while $\Gamma_{\text{NO}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{HCN}}$ are corresponding transport coefficients. The NOx sub-model is described in details and validated by comparisons with available emission measurements on the case-study boiler units [15].

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho U_j X_{\text{NO}}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma_{\text{NO}} \frac{\partial X_{\text{NO}}}{\partial x_j} \right) + S_{\text{NO}},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho U_j X_{\text{HCN}}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma_{\text{HCN}} \frac{\partial X_{\text{HCN}}}{\partial x_j} \right) + S_{\text{HCN}}$$

The in-house developed software is to be used primarily by engineering staff dealing with the process analysis in boiler units. An easy-to-use interface facilitates variation of operation parameters, turning on/off individual burners, introducing input data and convergence monitoring.

Results and discussion

The case-study utility boiler units Kostolac B1 and B2 (nominal steam capacity 1000 t/h and power output 350 MW at full load, each) are of tower-type with natural circulation. The water-wall dry-bottom furnaces (dimensions: 15.1 m × 15.1 m × 43.0 m), with after combustion device-grate, are identical. The furnace, burning pulverized coal-Serbian lignite Drmno, is tangentially fired by eight jet burners, with four tiers each: two lower-stage burners (the main burners, for combustion of larger particle size classes) and two upper-stage burners. In standard (nominal) operating conditions at full load seven burners are in operation, total coal and air feed rates are 424.3 t/h and $1050 \times 10^3$ Nm$^3$/h, respectively. The guarantee coal lower heating value (LHV), as received: 7327 kJ/kg; nitrogen content: 0.9%. The pulverized coal moisture content: 8.83%; coal through lower-stage burners (the main burners, for combustion of larger particle size classes) and two upper-stage burners. In standard (nominal) operating conditions at full load seven burners are in operation, total coal and air feed rates are 424.3 t/h and $1050 \times 10^3$ Nm$^3$/h, respectively. The guarantee coal lower heating value (LHV), as received: 7327 kJ/kg; nitrogen content: 0.9%. The pulverized coal moisture content: 8.83%; coal through lower-stage burners (lower/upper tier): 45.5/24.5%, coal through upper-stage burners (lower/upper tier): 19.5/10.5%, secondary air through the lower-stage burners: 68%. Air-coal dust mixture temperature: 200 °C, secondary air temperature: 288 °C. Residue on sieve: $R_{90} = 55\%$ and $R_{1000} = 2\%$. Numerical investigations were performed for nominal operating conditions, but also for measured test-case with the fuel having LHV, as received: 8463 kJ/kg. Operating conditions for the nominal regime and the measured test-cases, with arrangement of the burners are presented in details [14, 15].

For reliable predictions in variable operating conditions, verification of the numerical code and validation of the model calculations were performed. In order to achieve convergence, accuracy and calculation efficiency, the grid independence study suggested using 3-D staggered structured mesh with 549250 nodes, in conjunction with 800 coal particle trajec-
ries per burner [13]. Representative initial mean diameter of monodispersed pulverized coal particle was selected \( d_p = 150 \mu m \), regarding the sieve analysis, Rosin-Rammler distribution of particle size classes and repeated numerical experiments. The comprehensive model was validated against available large-scale measurements in the case-study boiler units [13-16]. Measurement procedure, equipment and inaccuracy for gas temperature were given in [13]. Repeated measurements of NO content showed good reproducibility, not greater than 5%. Difference between predicted and measured NO emissions (normal conditions, dry basis, 6% \( O_2 \) in flue gases) was 0.2-7.4%, but mostly below 4% [15].

**Numerical study on NO\(_x\) reduction by combustion modifications in the case-study furnace**

The model was applied after validation to study NO\(_x\) emission reduction by proper combustion modifications in the case-study furnace, regarding also the furnace exit gas temperature (FEGT) and the pulverized coal flame. Impacts of many parameters were analyzed individually, or in combination. Previous detailed investigations demonstrated considerable NO\(_x\) reduction by proper distribution of fuel and air over the burners and tiers, control of local excess air and finer grinding of coal [14, 15]. In this study, water-walls ash deposits impact was pointed out as well. Additional and more complex conditions such as different boiler loads and recirculation of flue gases were also carefully studied.

**Fuel and combustion air distribution, cold air ingress, grinding fineness, and quality of coal**

A number of test-cases considered the same values of coal and preheated air flow rates, in total and per burner, with uniform operation of seven coal mills, as for the nominal conditions-test case 1 (TC1), selected as the reference one and presented separately to closely describe the NO formation/destruction process [13-15]. The contribution of thermal NO was of the order of several percents of the total NO. In contrast to thermal NO, the content of fuel NO is less affected by temperature, but strongly by nitrogen content in the fuel and the excess air, i.e. oxygen concentration.

Influence of pulverized coal distribution over the burner tiers on FEGT and NO\(_x\) emission is shown in fig. 1. In general, the results suggest that the increase of the pulverized coal fraction through the lower-stage (i.e. main) burners provides a decrease of both FEGT and NO\(_x\) emission, while the flame is descending. In more details: for 86.4% of pulverized

---

Figure 1. Influence of the fuel and combustion air distribution over the burner tiers on FEGT (left) and NO\(_x\) emission (right) from the case-study boiler furnace
coal supplied to the lower-stage burners, NOx emission reduction of 18.6% was predicted, while for 90% of coal through the lower-stage burners 16.9% of the emission reduction [15], depending also on secondary air distribution over the burner tiers. In addition, fig. 1 presents the influence of the secondary air fraction through the main burners. Less secondary air supplied through the lower-stage burners means more air through the upper-stage burners, so the combustion is to some extent postponed into the higher regions of lower local temperatures where NO formation is less intensive, providing lower emission. For example, a difference in secondary air through the lower-stage burners (60% instead of 74.2%), gave decrease in FEGT and the emission reduction of 22.8% and 8.1% with respect to TC1, respectively [15]. Uneven distribution of fuel and air over the individual burners provides lower FEGT and higher emission, than in the TC1, but only to some extent. However, the flame is nearly centered [15], which is to decrease the water-walls thermal stresses and diminish the conditions for fouling and slagging. Predictions showed a considerable influence of the cold air ingress; although for the same distribution of coal and air NOx emission only slightly changed, FEGT was decreased to such an extent that it was impossible to achieve guaranteed parameters of steam. Increase in the share of cold air ingress in the total amount of air from 9% to 20% caused 50 °C decrease in FEGT. There is often a fluctuation of the coal quality during the boiler operation, so different coal quality was also investigated: guarantee coal for the mill, lower guarantee quality and upper guarantee quality, compared with the guarantee coal (for the boiler) in the reference test-case. As expected, the fuels with different LHV provided completely different temperature and NOx concentration fields [15].

Grinding fineness of coal was studied through the variation in initial particle size of monodispersed pulverized coal particles with diameters: \( d_p = 50 \mu m \) and \( 100 \mu m \), compared with \( d_p = 150 \mu m \), tab. 1, for selected test-cases, numbered in accordance with previous studies with details on the test-cases operating conditions [14, 15], if needed. With respect to the TC1, finer grinding \( (d_p = 100 \mu m) \) provided slightly lower NOx emission, but \( d_p = 50 \mu m \) gave a slight increase in the emission. However, in the rest of the examined test-cases, different change of NOx emission was obtained. This might be attributed to the complexity of mixing between gases and coal of different grinding, considering also differences in the rest of operating conditions. Final conclusions would require more profound analysis of this complex process. In addition, different geometry of the flame and FEGT were predicted for different grinding fineness of coal [14]. Figures 2 and 3 show the flame geometry and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test-case</th>
<th>Initial coal particle size ( d_p [\mu m] )</th>
<th>FEGT [°C]</th>
<th>NOx emission [mgNm–3]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC1-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC1-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC5-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC5-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC11</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC11-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC11-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC28*</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC28*-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC28*-50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Burners operating unevenly
NOx content. The fineness corresponding to 50 µm provided characteristic flame geometry in the form of firetube, typically found in tangential firing of very fine grinding of coal, instead of usual fireball in the furnace center. Affecting the mixing between coal, air and flue gas in the burners region, this phenomenon considerably change the entire NOx field and, consequently, the emission.
In addition, combined effect of different operation parameters was examined. In the TC28, characterized by uneven operation mode of the burners providing favorable, nearly centered flame position [15], significant emission reduction was also achieved, 25.8% compared with TC1, tab. 1. When there was also finer grinding of coal ($d_p = 100 \mu m$, instead of $150 \mu m$) and 20% of secondary air was redirected from lower- to upper-stage burners, the emission reduction was even 30% compared with the TC1, although FEGT was somewhat decreased. The uneven operation mode of the burners did not provide negative effects on both the emission and FEGT.

Since most de-NOx measures based on combustion modifications reduce boiler efficiency and/or disturb operation of superheaters and reheaters, additional adjustments of the boiler conditions are required [29]. An analysis was performed by thermal calculations of the case-study boiler at full load for guarantee coal on the basis of predicted FEGT for most promising test-cases [15]. At higher FEGT amounts of heat received by the third stage of superheater and the second stage of reheater (final stages regarding the steam flow) were increased. In order to keep the superheated and reheated steam temperature at 540 °C water should be injected into the steam pipelines. At the FEGT below 990 °C it was impossible to achieve required superheated steam temperature, but reheated steam temperature was attained in any test-case considered. Effects of increased amount of water injected into reheated steam are higher fuel consumption and reduced boiler efficiency. The boiler exit gas temperature and the efficiency did not change significantly in dependence on the FEGT. Optimal range of FEGT was 995-1010 °C (i.e. 990-1010 °C), with respect to the attainment of required superheated steam temperature and minimal water injection into the reheated steam pipeline [15].

Pulverized coal ash deposition on the water-walls (slagging) reduces the heat exchange between the flame and the walls, increasing FEGT, and also NOx emission, as predicted for nominal conditions of Kostolac B boiler unit. Regarding the effect of the ash deposits, numerical simulations can help to optimize temperature and flow conditions within the furnace [23]. The flue gas temperature increase will increase heat transfer to the convective pass and amount of water injected into the superheated and reheated steam pipeline and, consequently, reduce the boiler efficiency. Slagging is accompanied by fouling in the convective pass. For the water-walls deposit thickness of 4 mm and 10% increase in fouling, thermal calculations showed the boiler efficiency 1% less than with no slagging and fouling.

**Influence of different load of the case-study boiler on FEGT and NOx emission**

During the utility boilers exploitation, it is important to enable wide load range over a wide fuel range, and a stable operation with minimal use of additional fuel for start-up. Low-load operation modes of the boiler help to achieve these tasks. Table 2 shows FEGT and NOx emission in different loads of the case-study boiler. Selected test-cases are numbered in accordance with previous studies [14, 15].

As expected, partial load modes: 85% and 70% of the full-load provided lower FEGT compared with the full-load operation. The NOx emission increased in the partial load modes due to the complex influences of the operation mode change on flow field, flame and chemical reactions. Figure 4 shows three characteristic cross-sections within the furnace (through both the lower-stage and the upper-stage burners and between the burners and the recirculation ports) for three loads of the boiler. The flame geometry and temperature field are quite similar for 85% and 100% of the full load (and consequently also the flow and concentration fields). In contrast, at 70% of the full-load, six burners in operation instead of seven
ones for the other two operation modes provided completely different flow, temperature and concentration fields, with strong centrally positioned vortex within the furnace, exerting an influence also on NOx emission. Figures 5 and 6 presents gas streamlines for 85% and 70% of the boiler load in the same cross-sections as given in fig. 4. Large, as well as medium size vortices promote strong turbulent mixing between fuel, air and flue gases and intensive chemical reactions mainly in central region, while smaller vortices bring about some dead zones around, figs. 5 and 6.

Table 2. The FEGT and NOx for different boiler loads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test-case</th>
<th>Full load – 100%</th>
<th>Partial load – 85%</th>
<th>Partial load – 70%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEGT [°C]</td>
<td>NOx at furnace exit [mgNm⁻³]</td>
<td>FEGT [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC1</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC5</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>1013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC6</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC11</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC19</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC28</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 burners in operation (2 burners turned off; for the rest of the cases 7 burners in operation)

There is an evident impact of the flow field on the gas mixture components concentration fields, figs. 7 and 8, and, consequently, on the NO formation/destruction reactions. In addition, the nitric oxide content within the furnace follows the gas temperature field, but even more the oxygen and the hydrogen cyanide concentration fields, as shown at the cross-sections in figs. 7 and 8, because the fuel NO, obtained from oxidation of the hydrogen cyanide, is a predominant form of nitrogen oxides during pulverized coal combustion.

Figure 4. Flame in the test-case 1, for full (100%) and partial loads (85% and 70%) of the case-study boiler unit, cross-sections at x = 14.75 m, 22.50 m, and 30.75 m
Figure 5. Flue gas flow field in y-z section at \(x = 14.75\) m, 22.50 m, and 30.75 m, test-case 1, partial load (85%) of the case-study boiler unit, 7 burners in operation.

Figure 6. Flue gas flow field in y-z section at \(x = 14.75\) m, 22.50 m, and 30.75 m, test-case 1, partial load (70%) of the case-study boiler unit, 6 burners in operation.

Figure 7. Oxygen, HCN, and NOx fields in y-z section through the lower-stage burners \((x = 14.75\) m\), test-case 1, partial load (85%) of the case-study boiler unit.

Figure 8. Oxygen, HCN, and NOx fields in y-z section through the upper-stage burners \((x = 22.50\) m\), test-case 1, partial load (85%) of the case-study boiler unit.
Flue gas recirculation for NOx control in full and partial load conditions

In order to lower the combustion temperature which limits NOx emission the flue gases are often recirculated from the boiler exit into the burners region. Oxygen content in the combustion zone also decreases due to the mixing between preheated air and recirculated gases, attenuating the reaction of NOx formation. Boilers operate with FGR rates of 0-30% [21].

Table 3 presents selected results of numerical predictions and thermal calculations for variations of the measured test-case LHV8463 (LHV = 8463 kJ/kg, as received, FGR rate is 4.9% of total amount of the boiler exit flue gases) in the Kostolac B boiler unit [15]. For this case, a very good agreement was obtained between predicted and measured values for FEGT and NOx emission. Subject of the investigation was the effect of both the FGR rate and the boiler load on NOx reduction, FEGT and the boiler efficiency. Test-cases LHV8463-4 and LHV8463-8 (4% and 8% of FGR) compared with LHV8463-0 (0% of FGR) showed that increase in FGR cooled the furnace gases and decreased FEGT, providing also a decrease in NOx emission (23.4% for 8% of FGR), but the boiler exit gas temperature increased and the boiler efficiency decreased. Due to increased flow of flue gases through the boiler during FGR the amounts of water injected to control the superheated and reheated steam temperatures increased, also reducing the boiler efficiency. In the test-cases with reduced boiler load (85% of the full load) FEGT decreased, while NOx emission increased probably due to the disturbance of flow, temperature and combustion conditions under the partial load. The NOx reduction efficiency decreased for the partial load, but only slightly and this change was less for higher FGR rate. So, in the examined test-cases, effects of FGR on NOx emission were not disturbed considerably by reduced boiler load.

Table 3. NOx emission control by FGR in different loads of the case-study boiler unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test-case</th>
<th>FEGT [°C]</th>
<th>Boiler efficiency coefficient for full load [%]</th>
<th>NOx emission [mgNm⁻³]</th>
<th>NOx reduction with respect to none FGR [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LHV8463-0 (FGR = 0%), full load</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>86.56</td>
<td>748.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV8463-0, 85% of load</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>763.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV8463-4 (FGR = 4%), full load</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>86.14</td>
<td>642.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV8463-4, 85% of load</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>672.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV8463-8 (FGR = 8%), full load</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>85.74</td>
<td>569.1</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV8463-8, 85% of load</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>585.6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*LHV8463 (FGR = 4.9%, as in nominal regime), full load, predicted/measured</td>
<td>1047/1045</td>
<td>85.79</td>
<td>564.2/565.3</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*LHV8463, 85% of load</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>587.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Seven mills in operation and cold air ingress 20% into the furnace (in the rest of the cases six mills in operation and the cold air ingress 13%, as in nominal regime)

Conclusions

Combustion modifications affecting the NOx emission, the FEGT and the flame in 350 MWe Kostolac boiler pulverized coal fired furnaces were investigated by an in-house developed and validated model. Individual and combined effects of different parameters were examined, such as fuel and preheated air distribution over the burners and tiers, grinding fine-
ness and quality of coal, cold air ingress, water-walls ash deposition, the case-study boiler load and recirculation of flue gases.

The emission reduction of up to 30% could be achieved only by proper organization of combustion process in the existing furnace, with improvement of FEGT and the flame position. The following combustion modifications could be proposed: about 85% of pulverized coal introduced through the lower-stage burners; local excess air control in the burners region by redirecting up to 20% of secondary air from the lower- to the upper-stage burners; finer grinding of coal in combination with less preheated air through the lower-stage burners. Combination of uneven fuel and air distribution over individual burners, proper distribution over the burner tiers and finer grinding of coal offered a favorable, centered flame position with lower NOx emission. The boiler thermal calculations were performed for guarantee coal to examine whether the most promising test-cases disturbed the boiler efficiency, suggesting an optimal range of FEGT providing the safe operation of superheaters and reheaters. Pulverized coal ash deposits reduce heat transfer to the furnace water-walls, increase FEGT and NOx emission and reduce the boiler efficiency, as also demonstrated by the calculations.

The boiler operation modes: 85% and 70% of the full-load provided lower FEGT. The flame geometry and temperature field for 70% of the full-load, with six burners in operation instead of seven ones, provided considerably different situations in the furnace. The flow field for different boiler loads exerted a strong influence on the NO formation/erosion reactions. The NO content was also affected by the gas temperature, but even more by O2 and HCN fields influencing the fuel NO as a predominant form of NOx in pulverized coal combustion.

Increase in flue gas recirculation from the boiler exit into the burners region decreased FEGT and also NOx emission (23.4% of NOx reduction for 8% of FGR), but the boiler exit gas temperature increased and the boiler efficiency decreased. In the test-cases considered, the effect of FGR on NOx emission was disturbed under reduced boiler load conditions, but not considerably.

The developed software proved to be an efficient numerical tool for optimization of complex processes in the coal-fired furnaces under a wide range of operating conditions. Further improvements of sub-models will additionally increase abilities of the comprehensive code. There is a need for more detailed analysis of operating conditions like different grinding fineness of coal and variable boiler loads, for the purpose of efficient and environmentally friendly exploitation of power plants.
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**Nomenclature**

- \( A_1 \) – preexponential factor for fuel NO formation rate, \([s^{-1}]\)
- \( d_0 \) – initial diameter of pulverized coal particle, \([m]\)
- \( R \) – residue on sieve, [%]
- \( S_{\Phi} \) – source term for general variable \( \Phi \)
- \( S_{\Phi}^{p} \) – additional source due to particles
- \( T \) – temperature, \([K]\)
- \( t \) – time, \([s]\)
- \( U_j \) – velocity components, \([ms^{-1}]\)
- \( X \) – mass fraction, \([kgkg^{-1}]\)
Greek symbols

- $\alpha$ – coefficient in fuel NO formation rate, [-]
- $\Gamma_\Phi$ – transport coefficient for general variable $\Phi$
- $\varepsilon$ – emissivity, [-]
- $\rho$ – density, [kgm$^{-3}$]
- $\Phi$ – general variable
- $\chi$ – mole fraction, [molmol$^{-1}$]

Subscripts

- $g$ – gas
- $p$ – particle
- $w$ – wall

Acronyms

- LHV – lower heating value
- FEGT – furnace exit gas temperature
- FGR – flue gas recirculation
- OFA – overfire air
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