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Abstract

The current study is focused on the heat transfer and flow characteristics of an oblique finned microchannel heat sink with different inlet cross-sections. Water and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/water nanofluid with 0.25% volume fraction were used as heat transfer fluids. The oblique finned microchannel heat sinks of size 48x80mm were designed with three different inlet cross-sections namely square, semicircle and trapezoidal. The ANSYS FLUENT simulations validated with the aid of an existing experimental work. The flow regime in microchannel heat sink is constrained to laminar flow in the study. The three inlet cross-sections have been investigated by varying Reynolds number for Water and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/water nanofluid. The trapezoidal cross-section with average heat transfer rate 3.35% and pressure drop 8.6 % is more efficient than other cross-sections due to larger wall area and effective entrance length than other cross section. The oblique finned microchannel heat sink with the Trapezoidal cross section is suitable for the microelectronic cooling systems.
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1. Introduction

The drift towards the miniaturization and advancement in micro-technology leads to the development of microchannel to remove the high heat fluxes from the electronic devices. The various fields include space technology, electronics, military and Very Large Scale Integrated cooling systems. The heat removal in electronics chips was found to be challenging, and there is more research being carried out in dissipating heat using microchannel heat sink [1].

Many of the microchannel design has been modified and studied, to achieve the higher heat transfer rate [2-5]. Bladimir et al. [2] carried a CFD study and heat transfer performance of the liquid cooled heat sinks. They analyzed flow distribution using the CFD software ANSYS FLUENT. The results
of this study show the basic concept of flow distributor with symmetric flow bifurcations, as demonstrated in the Distributor-A and B configurations are highly recommended. Mustaq et al. [3] performed heat transfer analysis in various cross-sections such as square, rectangular, iso-triangular and Trapezoidal. They predicted a new co-relation in a heat exchanger to enhance the heat transfer performance. QuWeilin et al. [4] conducted experiments to investigate the flow characteristics through Trapezoidal channel. They found that the friction flow and pressure gradient were higher than the conventional laminar flow theory. Navinraja et al. [5] enhanced the heat transfer performance in Trapezoidal channels. The increase in maximum width and the decrease in minimum depth for the Trapezoidal channel will improve the heat transfer performance.

The nanofluids can be used in the microchannel heat sink for further useful heat transfer rate enhancement. Several types of research have been carried out on nanofluid as a coolant in microchannel [6-12]. Yue-Tzu Yang et al. [6] executed the numerical study of flow and heat transfer characteristics of alumina-water nanofluids in a microchannel. The simulation was conducted at low Reynolds number (Re≤16) using lattice Boltzmann method (LBM). They observed that the fluid temperature distribution was more uniform with the use of nanofluid than that of pure water. P. Selvakumar et al. [7] did a study on the effect of CuO/water nanofluids in a thin copper channel heat sink under constant heat flux condition with volume fractions 0.1% and 0.2%. As a result, the maximum increase in convective heat transfer coefficient determined as 29.63% for the nanofluid having a volume fraction of 0.2% compared to deionized water for the same volume flow rate. Ehsan Ebrahimnia et al. [8] investigated the heat transfer performance and pressure drop in straight circular pipe numerically. The Al2O3, CuO, carbon nanotube (CNT) and Titanate nanotube (TNT) nanoparticles were used as working fluids. The outcome of the study is that nanoparticle and base fluid influence heat transfer performance of nanofluids. Dorin et al. [9] performed the evaluation of Al2O3/water nanofluid in the microchannel heat sink. The heat sink with square microchannels and Dh=50µm was assigned. The water-based Al2O3 nanofluid was used as the working fluid with various volume concentrations. The performance evaluation showed that heat transfer decreases as the particle diameter increases. Tong-Bou Chang et al. [10] conducted an investigation on the effects of the particle volume fraction on the spray. The nanofluid containing de-ionized water and Al2O3 particles were used as the test fluid. They obtained the optimal heat transfer using a particle volume fraction of 0.001%. Amir Shalchi et al. [11] numerically analysed the entropy generation and convective heat transfer of Al2O3 nanofluid in a tangential microchannel heat sink. The results indicated that the generated total entropy decreases with the increase of volume fraction and Reynolds number and thereby decreasing the particle size. Mohammad Kalteh et al. [12] studied the convective heat transfer of Al2O3 nanofluid in a rectangular heat sink. The finite Volume approach was adopted using the Eulerian- Eulerian method. They concluded that nusselt number increases with the increase in Reynolds number.

It was found from the literature survey, heat transfer performance of microchannel heat sink is increased by changing design and coolant. This work deals with heat transfer study in the oblique finned microchannel for different inlet cross-sections. The coolants are water and Al2O3/water nanofluid with 0.25% volume fraction was used as heat transfer fluids. In which the three different inlet cross-sections namely trapezoidal, square and semicircle are also compared. By varying the Reynolds number, the heat transfer characteristics and flow characteristics are studied numerically. The ANSYS FLUENT simulation was validated with existing experimental works. The analysis results of three cross-sections are compared with each other, and the desired performance is finally accomplished.
2. Materials and methods

2.1 Microchannel Geometry

The microchannel heat sink is containing an array of 775 oblique fins with three different inlet cross sections. The material was taken as copper with the dimensions of 48 × 80 mm and designed with CATIA. Figure 1. (a) Shows the design of Oblique finned microchannel with multiple parallel channels. Figure 1. (b) Shows the Different inlet cross-sections of square, semi-circular& trapezoidal channels were used for the study.

![Figure 1. Oblique finned microchannel and different inlet cross-sections.](image)

The microchannel contains three cross-sections for the overlook. The dimensions are given in Table 1.

### Table 1. The Dimensions of the oblique finned microchannel with three inlet cross-sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Semicircular</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Trapezoidal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footprint, width × length [mm]</td>
<td>48×80</td>
<td>48×80</td>
<td>48×80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main channel width, (W_c) [(\mu m)]</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin width, (W_w) [(\mu m)]</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel depth, (H) [(\mu m)]</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin length, (L) [(\mu m)]</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin pitch, (P) [(\mu m)]</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oblique angle, (\theta) [deg]</td>
<td>26°</td>
<td>26°</td>
<td>26°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Thermo-physical properties of working fluid

From theoretical studies on particle-fluid mixtures, the properties of nanofluids can be determined by the following formula.

Changwei Pang et al. [13] measured the thermal conductivity of nanofluids. The formula to find the thermal conductivity of nanofluid is given below.

\[
\kappa_{nf} = \kappa_p + \kappa_{br} + 2 (\kappa_p - \kappa_{br}) \varphi \\
\kappa_{nf} = \kappa_p + \kappa_{br} + 2 (\kappa_p - \kappa_{br}) \varphi
\]

Solve by governing equation \(C_{pf}\). Tu-Chieh Hung et al. [14] proposed the heat capacity eq., which is given below,
The density of the nanofluid is calculated by according to H.A. Mohammed et al. [15].

\[ \rho_{nf} = (1 - \varphi)\rho_{bf} + \varphi\rho_p \]  

(3)

Theoretical investigations on the viscosity of nanofluid are given by I.M. Mahbubul et al. [16] in the latest development of viscosity in a nanofluid. They concluded that particle size had adverse effects on nanofluid

\[ \mu_{nf} = \mu_{bf}(1 + 2.5\varphi) \]  

(4)

Where suffix ‘bf’ represents base fluids, and ‘nf’ represents nanoparticles. The properties of nanofluids are summarized in table 2.

**Table 2. Properties of Nanofluid (Al₂O₃)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluids</th>
<th>Concentration ((\varphi)) (%)</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity(k) [Wm(^{-1})K(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Specific heat capacity(C(p)) [Jkg(^{-1})K(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Density((\rho)) [kgm(^{-3})]</th>
<th>Viscosity((\mu)) (\times10^3) [Nsm(^{-2})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium oxide</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.0071</td>
<td>4163.83</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>0.8440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Computational model

3.1 Boundary conditions

The heat sink covered by a thermally insulating material and therefore the boundary of the top surface is assumed to be adiabatic. The inner surface of the wall and the microchannel are considered as adiabatic. In the fluid region, uniform velocity and temperature are applied at the inlet of the microchannel. At the exit of the microchannel, the coolant is assumed to have an equal pressure to determine the pressure drop. The boundary condition for numerical analysis is taken based on data collection for various studies are given below:

Inlet temperature = 293.2K  
Inlet pressure = 1bar  
Reynolds number = 99,197,296,395,494.  
Constant Heat flux = 20000 Wm\(^{-2}\)

The inlet velocity is taken based on the Reynolds number of the fluids. Constant heat flux is supplied to the base of the microchannel for both water and nanofluid. The numerical work employed to perform this study is carried out by ANSYS FLUENT. The heat transfer analysis is carried out in steady state single phase flow and determining the temperature and pressure distribution.

3.2 Grid independent test

The algorithm that diverges extremely little as the cell size is increased. The variation of the predicted Temperature and Pressure with distance is plotted in Figure 2 (a) & (b). There are four types of quadrilateral meshes with grid lines of 94×68×56, 98×70×62, 102×72×68 and 108×78×72 are investigated for square geometrical configuration. The temperature and pressure vary between 293.2 to 298.1 K and 101.31 to 101.59 kPa. The difference in the temperature and pressure between mesh 94×68×56 and mesh 98×70×62 is 0.69%, and mesh 98×70×62 and mesh 102×72×68 is 0.6%. The temperature and pressure are negligible from the mesh 102×72×68 to mesh 108×78×72 that is the percentage deviation of only 0.1%. Finally, the 102×72×68 grid was selected as the best trade-off between both accuracy and CPU time.
3.3 Data reduction

Heat transfer rate and average nusselt number are calculated as follows:

The minimum flow area in the channel is obtained by Fasil A. Siddiqui [17],

$$A_{\text{min}} = W \times H \times \frac{W}{2S_T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Here, $W$ represents the width of the channel and $\theta$ stands for the oblique angle which varies for each specified angle.

The heat transferred by the microchannel can be calculated from inlet and outlet temperatures. The heat transfer equation is given by Xiang-fei Yu et al. [18]

$$Q = m C (T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}})$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $C$ is the specific heat capacity.

The average nusselt number is worked out using the following equation given by H. Ganapathy et al. [19]

$$Nu = \frac{h \times D_h}{k}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $D_h$ is the microchannel hydraulic diameter and $k$ is the thermal conductivity of the fluid.

The heat transfer coefficient is worked out using the following relation, which is given by Ercan M. Dede et al. [20]

$$h = \frac{q}{T_i - \frac{T_{\text{in}} - T_{\text{out}}}{2}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where $T_i$ is the surface temperature, and $q$ is the constant heat flux supplied during the heat transfer analysis.

The pressure drop calculated from the work of S.D.Pandey et al. [21]

$$\Delta p = p_{\text{in}} - p_{\text{out}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where $p_{\text{in}}$ refers to the pressure at the inlet of the microchannel and $p_{\text{out}}$ is the pressure at the outlet of the microchannel.

The friction factor was calculated from the work of Yan Fan et al. [22]

$$f = \frac{D_h \cdot \Delta p}{4L \cdot 0.5 \rho u^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where $\rho$ is fluid density and $u$ is average fluid velocity.
4. NUMERICAL STUDY

The oblique fined microchannel had been designed for three inlet cross-sections namely, square, semicircle and trapezoidal. Temperature distributions and pressure distribution along the length of the channels are tested for Reynolds number ranging between 99 and 494. Water and nanofluid are examined for temperature and pressure variation along the channel length.

4.1 Temperature and pressure variation for trapezoidal cross-section

The pressure distribution and the temperature distribution of the water and nanofluid in the trapezoidal cross-section are analysed in Figure 3 (a) and (b), where the fluid exits the microchannel at 299.74 K and 296.96 K for nanofluid and water respectively. In the case of pressure, the inlet pressure is 102113.8 Pa and 101591.97 Pa for water and nanofluid respectively.

![Pressure and temperature distribution in trapezoidal microchannel using nanofluid and water.](image)

In Figure 3. (a), the pressure drop is 819.37 Pa occurs due to the flow of the nanofluid in the trapezoidal cross-section microchannel heat sink. From the figure, it can also be observed that the temperature rises to 299.74K at the exit of the nanofluid. The above pressure and temperature distribution are obtained for the 0.1Kg/min of mass flow rate which can similarly be obtained for the other mass flow rates of nanofluid. In Figure 3. (b), the pressure and temperature distributions for the water in 0.1 Kg/min of mass flow rate of water are shown. The amount of pressure drop and temperature rise is 271.27 Pa and 3.96K. The pressure drop is high due to the absence of nanoparticles creating ease of flow, and the temperature variance is due to the increase in the overall heat transfer coefficient. The heat transfer coefficient is increased due to the presence of the nanoparticles.

4.2 Temperature and pressure variation for square cross-section

The square cross-section microchannel is analysed for the pressure and temperature with the flow of the water and nanofluid as shown in Figure 4. (a) and (b). The pressure variations from 101486.65 Pa to 101320.03 Pa in the case of the square cross-section with nanofluid as the coolant reveal in Figure 4 (a). and also the temperature drops from 299.71 K to 293.2 K. From the Figure 4 (b) it can be observed that the pressure decreases from 101751.2 Pa to 101291.94 Pa on using water.
Figure 4 (a) & (b) Representation of pressure and temperature over the square cross-section using nanofluid and water.

The temperature rise is of 3.56 K which is the difference of 296.76 k and 293.2 K. The distribution is obtained for the 0.1 kg/min of mass flow rate of water which can also be obtained for the other mass flow rate. The reason lies the same as the trapezoidal for the temperature rise and pressure drop for the square cross-section also.

4.2 Temperature and pressure variation for semicircle cross-section

The pressure and temperature distribution of the water and nanofluid are studied in semicircle cross-section as shown in Figure 5. (a) and (b). The pressure drop is 254.23 Pa in the case of nanofluid which is observed in Figure 5(a) also the temperature distributions vary from 299.64 to 293.2 K. In figure 5. (b) shows the pressure and the temperature distribution of water. The pressure drop and temperature differences are 254 Pa and 3.57 K respectively. This temperature rise and the pressure drop is less when compared to that of the trapezoidal cross-section.

Figure 5. (a) & (b), Semicircle cross-section pressure and temperature distribution using nanofluid and water.
5. Results and discussion

5.1 Validation of numerical simulation

Before conducting the numerical study, it is necessary to validate the numerical simulation by comparing with the existing experimental work. The width of the microchannel is 700µm, the height of the microchannel is 700µm, and the length is 80mm which are all the same in numerical work. The working fluid used for validation is water with a square cross-section. The current numerical work was carried out by using the same dimension as per existing experimental work, and the Nusselt number is calculated by using the correlation. Thus the Reynolds number and Nusselt number values were compared with existing experimental work.

![Figure 6. Comparison of the numerical simulation with experimental work](image)

The ANSYS FLUENT simulation is validated with the aid of an existing experimental work of Yan Fan et al. [21], Mohammad Kalteh et al. [12] and Lei Chai et al. [23]. Figure 6 shows the comparison of the present simulated work and previous experimental work. The data matched quite well with the numerical simulation with the acceptable deviation of 5.9%. This deviation may be due to an error in the heat loss. It shows that there is a good agreement between existing experimental work and simulation. The Nusselt number was found to be 5.1 to 8.6 by variation of Reynolds number from 99 to 494.

5.2 Outlet temperature variation

From the numerical results, the outlet temperature was measured for the three cross sections using water and nanofluid and studied by varying the Reynolds number. In figure 7, the outlet temperature is plotted against the Reynolds number where the temperature falls as the velocity increases. It is observed that the trapezoidal cross section values are higher than the square and semicircle. Moreover, also it can be seen that nanofluid also influences the outlet temperature of the working fluid absorbed more heat from the microchannel than the water. This is due to the addition of the nanoparticles.
Heat transfer rate (W) and nusselt number are compared for the three different inlet cross-section using water and nanofluids. The heat transfer performance of the microchannel heat sink is plotted figure 8. (a) & (b). The average nusselt number increase with reynolds number as the thermal boundary layer thickness decreases with increase in fluid velocity. In the figure, reynolds number increases with the increase in nusselt number for both nanofluid and water. Nanofluid with alumina oxide 0.25% is having the higher nusselt number of 16.38 at Re = 494. The nusselt number of nanofluid is greater than water by 0.5. The divergence of the water and nanofluid was 3.388% in case of trapezoidal. For reynolds number ranging between 99 and 494, the heat transfer rate increases from 11.34 to 18.8 W for nanofluid with 0.25% concentration. The percentage deviation is about 8.66% of the water and nanofluid in the case of trapezoidal cross-section. The heat transfer rate is more in the case of the trapezoidal cross-section for both water and nanofluid.

Figure 8. (a) & (b) Variation of the nusselt number and heat transfer rate with water and nanofluid for the different cross-section.

The significant heat transfer enhancement in the trapezoidal cross section is due to larger wall area and effective entrance length. Also, the trapezoidal cross section has more influence in secondary flow due to the shape of the oblique fin and minimum thermal resistance, this causes an increase in heat transfer rate.
5.4 Pressure drop and friction factor characteristics

Pressure drop and Friction factor are found for the three inlet cross-section for the comparison study, which is plotted in the Figure 9.(a) & (b).

Figure 9. (a) & (b) Effect of pressure drop and friction factor with water and nanofluid for the different cross-section.

In Figure 9(a) shows the reynolds number increases with the increase in pressure drop (kPa) for both fluids. The pressure drops higher for nanofluid due to higher viscosity. Nanofluid with alumina oxide 0.25% is having the greater pressure drop of 3.6 kPa at Re = 494. Consistently the trapezoidal cross-section is producing higher values. The difference between the values of water and nanofluid in the trapezoidal cross section is 8.6%. The variation clearly denotes the flow to be laminar, and the friction factor decreases with an increase in Reynolds number as shown in Figure 9 (b). It is clear that trapezoidal cross-section has higher values of friction factor than that of the other two cross-sections with both water and nanofluid (0.25%). The percentage deviation between the water and nanofluid in the trapezoidal cross section was found to be 5.5%. The friction factor is high in case of trapezoidal cross-section due to the larger section of size wall, which is directly responsible for the pressure drop. The addition of nanoparticles with base fluid increases the thermal performance. There is a drawback associated with an increase of the pressure drop in the device with an increase in volume fraction of nanofluid.

6. Conclusions

Numerical study of the heat transfer and flow characteristics on the microchannel heat sink was investigated by flowing water and nanofluid (0.25%) as a coolant. The results are compared for three different cross-sections by varying the Reynolds number. The following conclusions were drawn,

- The heat transfer rate of three cross-sections have been compared, and trapezoidal is found to be the better one with deviations of 5.7% and 9.8% with square and semicircle respectively and also the nusselt number augmented by the trapezoidal cross section.
- The addition of nanoparticles to the base fluid increases the heat transfer rate by 3.38% than the water for the trapezoidal cross section.
- Pressure Drop also had a considerable raise in its magnitude in trapezoidal cross-section which also influenced the friction factor. The nanofluid has an enhanced pressure drop of 8.6% over water. Also, there is 5.5% raise in friction factor when nanofluid is used in the trapezoidal cross section.
Eventually, the trapezoidal cross-section is found to achieve superior in heat transfer and flow characteristics when compared with square and semicircle cross-section profile.
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**Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Subscripts</th>
<th>Greek</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>specific heat (J/kg K)</td>
<td>Subscripts</td>
<td>Greek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_h$</td>
<td>hydraulic diameter (µm)</td>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>hydraulic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_p$</td>
<td>particle diameter (nm)</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>Friction factor</td>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C’_p$</td>
<td>specific heat (J/kg K)</td>
<td>$bf$</td>
<td>basefluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>$in$</td>
<td>inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K)</td>
<td>$N_f$</td>
<td>nanofluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>thermal conductivity (Wm⁻¹K⁻¹)</td>
<td>$out$</td>
<td>outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>mass flow rate (kg/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu$</td>
<td>Nusselt number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>Fin pitch (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>oblique angle (degree)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta P$</td>
<td>Pressure drop</td>
<td></td>
<td>viscosity (Ns/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>heat transfer co-efficient (Wm⁻³K⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>volume concentration (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re$</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
<td></td>
<td>density (kg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_s$</td>
<td>Surface temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>velocity (m/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_c$</td>
<td>channel width (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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