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The air-flow fields of different spunbonding drawing conduits are modeled and simulated numerically. Simulated results are compared with the measured results and show good agreements with the latter. Results show that a larger narrow length and a larger contracting length can produce a higher air velocity, which is beneficial to the polymer drawing. This research is valuable to the optimal design of the spunbonding drawing conduits.
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Introduction

Melt blowing and spunbonding are two manufacturing technologies of polymer laid non-woven processes. Our research group has been studying the air-flow field and polymer drawing in the melt blowing process over decades and had some important findings [1-5]. Based on the research on melt blowing, our research group began studying the air-flow field and polymer drawing of the spunbonding process.

Different from the polymer drawing in an open space below the die in the melt blowing process, the polymer melt is drawn in a conduit in the spunbonding process. Therefore, the air-flow field of the spunbonding process is in an enclosure space while that of the melt blowing is in an open space. In the spunbonding process, the molten polymers are drawn into filaments by high velocity air in the drawing conduit. In our previous paper [6], the air-flow field of the drawing conduit was simulated and the results coincide with the measured data. In this paper, air-flow fields of different spunbonding drawing conduits are simulated and measured to investigate the effects of conduit parameters.

Air-flow field model

Figure 1 gives the shape and parameters of the drawing conduit. From the left view, it can be seen that the drawing conduit is made up of four parts. The leftmost part is a straight pipe. The air-flows into the drawing conduit through the head end of the straight pipe. The straight pipe can stabilize the turbulent air gradually, reduce the vortexes and enhance the consistency of the air-flow direction and the uniformity of the air velocity. A contracting pipe is right to the straight pipe, which connects the left straight pipe with the right narrow pipe and converts the big square cross-section of the straight pipe into the small cross-section of
the narrow pipe. The narrow pipe is right to the contracting pipe, which is the main area for the polymer drawing. An expanding pipe is right to the narrow pipe. The air-flows out of the drawing conduit from the end of the expanding pipe. Main parameters of the drawing conduits are shown in tab. 1.

Table 1. Parameters of the drawing conduits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Straight length (l_s) [mm]</th>
<th>Contracting length (l_i) [mm]</th>
<th>Narrow length (l_d) [mm]</th>
<th>Expanding length (l_e) [mm]</th>
<th>Conduit height (f) [mm]</th>
<th>Entry height (h_i) [mm]</th>
<th>Exit height (h_e) [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The air-flow field model is established for the drawing conduit shown in fig. 1. The \(k-\varepsilon\) model is most widely used in engineering flow simulations, which is also employed in this research as the turbulence model. The air-flow field model consists of six equations, that is, the continuity equation, momentum equation in the \(x\)-direction, momentum equation in the \(y\)-direction, energy equation, turbulent kinetic energy equation, and turbulent dissipation rate equation.

Continuity equation:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho_a u_{ax})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho_a u_{ay})}{\partial y} = 0
\]  

(1)

where \(\rho_a\) is the air density, \(u_{ax}\) – the \(x\)-component of the air velocity, and \(u_{ay}\) – the \(y\)-component of the air velocity.

Momentum equation in the \(x\)-direction:

\[
u_a \frac{\partial u_{ax}}{\partial x} + u_{ax} \frac{\partial u_{ax}}{\partial y} = F_x - \frac{1}{\rho_a} \frac{\partial p_a}{\partial x} + \frac{\theta_a - \theta_{am}}{\theta_{am}} g
\]  

(2)

where \(F_x\) is the unit mass force in the \(x\)-direction, \(p_a\) – the air pressure, \(\theta_a\) – the air temperature, \(\theta_{am}\) – the ambient temperature, and \(g\) – the acceleration of gravity.
Momentum equation in the \( y \)-direction:

\[
\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = F_y - \frac{1}{\rho_a} \frac{\partial p_x}{\partial y} \tag{3}
\]

where \( F_y \) is the unit mass force in the \( y \)-direction.

Energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho_a \theta)}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial (\rho_a \theta)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_t} \frac{\partial (\rho_a \theta)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_t} \frac{\partial (\rho_a \theta)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\nu_a}{\sigma_t} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_t} \frac{\partial (\rho_a \theta)}{\partial y} \right)
\]

(4)

where \( \nu_a \) is the air kinetic viscosity, \( \nu_t \) – the turbulent viscosity, and \( \sigma_t \) – the turbulence Prandtl number.

Turbulent kinetic energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho_a k_a)}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial (\rho_a k_a)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial (\rho_a k_a)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial (\rho_a k_a)}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{1}{\theta_{am}} \rho_a \frac{\nu_a}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial (\rho_a k_a)}{\partial y} \right)
\]

(5)

where \( k_a \) is the turbulent kinetic energy of air, \( \nu_a \) – the air kinetic viscosity, \( \nu_t \) – the turbulent viscosity, \( \sigma_k \) – the Prandtl number of the turbulent kinetic energy, \( \epsilon_a \) – the dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy of air, and:

\[
P_k = (\nu + \nu_t) \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]
\]

Turbulent dissipation rate equation:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho_a \epsilon_a)}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial (\rho_a \epsilon_a)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_e} \frac{\partial (\rho_a \epsilon_a)}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\nu_a + \nu_t}{\sigma_e} \frac{\partial (\rho_a \epsilon_a)}{\partial y} \right] + \rho_a (C_{c1} P_k - C_{c2} \epsilon_a) \frac{\epsilon_a}{k_a} \frac{\nu_a}{\theta_{am}} C_{c1} \frac{\nu_t}{k_a} \frac{\partial (\rho_a \theta_a)}{\partial x}
\]

(6)

where \( \sigma_e \) is the Prandtl number of the turbulent dissipation rate, \( C_{c1} \) and \( C_{c2} \) are constants in the standard \( k-\epsilon \) model.

Because of the high Renault numbers of air-flow in the drawing conduit, coefficients of turbulence model are:

\[
C_{\mu} = 0.09, \quad C_{c1} = 1.44, \quad C_{c2} = 1.92, \quad \sigma_k = 1.0, \quad \sigma_e = 1.3, \quad \sigma_t = 0.85
\]

Boundary conditions

There are three types of boundary conditions, \( i.e. \) the inlet, outlet and wall. Figure 2 shows the air-flow field boundaries. The upstream section is the entrance of the drawing conduit (left). The downstream section is the exit of the drawing conduit (right). The internal face of the drawing conduit subjects to the boundary condition of wall.

Figure 2. Boundary conditions of the air-flow field

(1) The conditions of upstream sections (inlet):

\[ u_{ax} = u_{aw0}, \quad u_{aw} = u_{aw0}, \quad \theta_a = \theta_{aw0}, \quad k_a = 0.06(u_{aw0}^2 + u_{aw0}^2), \quad \varepsilon_a = 0.06 \frac{u_{aw0}^3 + u_{aw0}^3}{f} \]

(2) The conditions of downstream section (outlet):

\[ \frac{\partial u_{ax}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial k_a}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_a}{\partial x} = 0, \quad u_{aw} = 0 \]

(3) The conditions of the internal face of the drawing conduit (wall):

\[ u_{ax} = 0, \quad u_{aw} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta_a}{\partial x} = 0, \quad k_a = 0, \quad \varepsilon_a = 0 \]

Results and discussion

The computational fluid dynamics software FLUENT is utilized to simulate the air-flow fields of the drawing conduits. The initial air velocity and temperature are 15 m/s and 20 °C, respectively. Conduit parameters are shown in tab. 1. Figures 3-7 are the air velocity vector diagrams. The air keeps steady flow status when entering the drawing conduit from the head end of the straight pipe. When approaching the narrow pipe, with the narrowing of the air-flow area, the air velocity gradually increases and a strong air jet forms. The maximum air velocity is achieved within the narrow pipe. Behind the narrow pipe, there is the expanding pipe where the air-flow area becomes larger and larger. Thus in the expanding pipe, the air velocity reduces with the enlargement of the conduit sectional area. All through the narrow pipe, the air keeps very large velocities along the spinneret axis, which is favorable to the polymer drawing. In the expanding pipe, with the decrease of the air velocity, the polymer threadline velocity also decreases gradually and the threadlines relax and flutter, which is advantageous to the random laying of nonwoven fibers.

Figure 3. Air velocity vector of conduit 1 (for color image see journal web site)
It can be found from figs. 3-7 that air velocities in conduit 3 are the highest. Although the sum of the contracting, narrow and expanding length of conduit 3 is large, its maximum velocity is the lowest because of its smallest narrow length. It can be seen that larger contracting length can increase the air velocity. For example, the sum of the narrow and ex-
The expanding length of conduit 1 and conduit 4 is 375 mm. However, their contracting lengths are 0 and 100 mm, respectively. Since conduit 1 is short of the transition from the straight section to the narrow section, the air speeds up inadequately, the left part of the narrow section is condemned to speed up the air which is the responsibility of the contracting section. The airflows out of the narrow section with a lower velocity. Therefore, air velocities in conduit 1 are lower than those in conduit 4.

In order to verify the air-flow field model, a particle image velocimeter is employed to measure the air velocity in the drawing conduit [7]. Figures 8-12 give the simulated and measured air velocities. It can be seen from these
figures that the simulated velocities tally well with the measured velocities, which indicates that the numerical simulations of the air-flow field of the spunbonding drawing conduits are correct and effective. Figures 11 and 12 show that air velocities in conduit 4 and conduit 5 are higher than those at the same horizontal position in other three conduits and the maximum velocity can achieve 2.5 times of the initial air velocity, which is beneficial to drawing the polymer melt. Because the contracting length of conduit 5 is larger than that of conduit 4 and the narrow and expanding length of conduit 4 is larger than those of conduit 5, the maximum air velocity of conduit 5 emerges a little earlier than conduit 4. The maximum air velocity in conduit 3 is the lowest and is only 1.8 times of the initial air velocity. Therefore, both larger narrow length and larger contracting length of drawing conduits can produce higher air velocities.

Conclusion

The air-flow fields of different spunbonding drawing conduits are modeled and simulated numerically. Simulated results are compared with the measured results and show good agreements with the latter. Results show that both a larger narrow length and a larger contracting length of drawing conduits can produce a higher air velocity, which is beneficial to the polymer drawing. This research is valuable to the optimal design of the drawing conduits in the spunbonding process.
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