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The steady-state, viscous flow and heat transfer of nanofluid in the vicinity of an axisymmetric stagnation point of a stationary cylinder with constant wall heat flux is investigated. The impinging free-stream is steady and with a constant strain rate, $\bar{k}$. Exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations and energy equation are derived in this problem. A reduction of these equations is obtained by use of appropriate transformations introduced in this research. The general self-similar solution is obtained when the wall heat flux of the cylinder is constant. All the previous solutions are presented for Reynolds number $Re = \bar{k}a^2/2\nu_f$ ranging from 0.1 to 1000, selected values of heat flux and selected values of particle fractions where $a$ is cylinder radius and $\nu_f$ is kinematic viscosity of the base fluid. For all Reynolds numbers, as the particle fraction increases, the depth of diffusion of the fluid velocity field in radial direction, the depth of diffusion of the fluid velocity field in z-direction, shear-stresses and pressure function decreases. However, the depth of diffusion of the thermal boundary-layer increases. It is clear by adding nanoparticles to the base fluid there is a significant enhancement in Nusselt number and heat transfer.
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Introduction

In recent years, many researchers have been performed to study the effects of nanofluid on convective heat transfer rate. Sheikholeslami and Shehzad [1] reported the numerical analysis of Fe$_3$O$_4$-H$_2$O nanofluid-flow in permeable media under the effect of external magnetic source. Sheikholeslami and Seyednejad [2] has obtained the numerical solution for nanofluid electrohydrodynamic flow and natural-convection heat transfer in a porous medium. Also they considered thermal radiation term in energy equation. In other research Sheikholeslami [3] investigated the homogeneous nanofluid-flow and forced convection heat transfer inside a lid driven porous cavity. In this work influence of Lorentz forces and shape effect of nanoparticle...
on nanofluid MHD forced convection have been considered and temperature distribution and flow style have been presented for various values of Hartmann, Darcy, and Reynolds numbers. Sheikholeslami et al. [4] presented numerical simulation for heat transfer intensification of nanofluid in a porous curved enclosure considering shape effect of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Sheikholeslami [5] reported magnetic field influence on nanofluid thermal radiation in a cavity with tilted elliptic inner cylinder.

The history of the analytical methods studies using similarity solution techniques goes back to Hiemenz [6]. Wang [7] was first who found exact solution for the problem of axisymmetric stagnation flow on an infinite stationary circular cylinder. Gorla [8-11] in a series of papers, studied the steady and unsteady flows over a circular cylinder in the vicinity of the stagnation-point for the cases of constant axial movement, and the special case of axial harmonic motion of a non-rotating cylinder. This special case is only for small and high values of frequency parameter using perturbation techniques.

Mohammadiun et al. [12] have investigated the stagnation-point flow and heat transfer of a viscous, compressible fluid on a cylinder, and in the next study the problem of stagnation point flow and heat transfer of compressible fluid impinging on a moving cylinder has been considered by them [13]. They continued their investigation by solving the problem of stagnation point flow of a nanofluid on stationary cylinder when the wall temperature of the cylinder was constant [14].

The MHD mixed convection slip flow near a stagnation-point on a non-linearly vertical stretching sheet in the presence of viscous dissipation has been considered by Shateyi and Mabood [15].

Mahmood et al. [16] has been discussed the time-dependent axisymmetric stagnation-point flow and heat transfer of a viscous fluid over a disc lubricated with power-law fluid. The aim of their study was to investigate the influence of slip parameter and unsteadiness parameter on the flow characteristics and heat transfer.

Amirsom et al. [17] presented the similarity solution of governing equations for the magneto convective stagnation point flow of bionanofluid with melting heat transfer. A lattice Boltzmann model of nanofluid flow boiling in a tube has been established by Yao et al. [18]. They simulated the effect of different bubble distances and lateral accelerations a on the bubble growth process and the effect of heat transfer.

In the present analysis, for the first time the similarity solution of the heat transfer enhancement of steady viscous flow of nanofluid in the vicinity of an axisymmetric stagnation point of a stationary cylinder with constant heat flux is considered. It is interesting to note that in current work the thermal conductivity coefficient of nanofluid is considered temperature-dependent and the ODE has been derived. Flow is considered in cylindrical co-ordinate \((r, z)\) with corresponding velocity \(u\) and \(w\) components, as fig. 1. The laminar steady incompressible flow of nanofluid in the neighborhood of an axisymmetric stagnation-point of a stationary cylinder is considered.
Properties of nanofluid

The aluminum oxide, $\gamma\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, nanoparticles which have been used in this research have the following characteristics:
Density $\rho_n = 3600 \text{ kg/m}^3$, mean particle diameter is 44 nm.

Density

We will assume that the density of the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles is constant in the entire range of considered temperature. The following relation has been used to compute the nanofluid density:

$$\rho_n = (1 - \phi_v)\rho_f + \phi_v\rho_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where subscripts $n$, $f$, and $p$ denote the nanofluid, base fluid, and the particles, respectively, and $\phi_v$ is the particle fraction.

Dynamic viscosity

Corcione [19] proposed empirical correlation for predicting the relative viscosity:

$$\frac{\mu_n}{\mu} = \frac{1}{1 - 34.87\left(\frac{d_p}{d_f}\right)^{0.3}\phi_v^{1.03}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $d_f$ is the equivalent diameter of a base fluid molecule:

$$d_f = 0.1\left(\frac{6M}{N\pi\rho_{f0}}\right)^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $M$ is the molecular weight of the base liquid, $N$ – the Avogadro number, and $\rho_{f0}$ – the mass density of the base liquid calculated at temperature $T_0 = 293 \text{ K}$.

Different values used in this formula are mentioned in tab. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of base fluid, $k_f$</td>
<td>0.6316 [Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of nanoparticle, $k_p$</td>
<td>40 [Wm$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of base fluid, $C_{pf}$</td>
<td>4.181 [kJkg$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of nanoparticle, $C_{pp}$</td>
<td>0.765 [kJkg$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of base fluid, $\rho_f$</td>
<td>987.6 [kgm$^{-3}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of nanoparticle, $\rho_p$</td>
<td>3600 [kgm$^{-3}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity of base fluid, $\mu_f$</td>
<td>0.000538 [kgm$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of nanoparticle, $d_p$</td>
<td>44 [nm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of base fluid molecule, $d_f$</td>
<td>0.384 [nm]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this research Corcione’s formula has been used to extract the Navier-Stocks equations.

Thermal conductivity

Two different models have been used to determine the thermal conductivity coefficient of nanofluid.
Model 1

Corcione [19] proposed empirical correlation for predicting the effective thermal conductivity based on a high number of experimental data available in the literature. It is found that, for given the nanoparticle material and the base fluid, the ratio between the thermal conductivities of the nanofluid and the pure base liquid increases as the nanoparticle volume fraction and the temperature are increased, and the nanoparticle diameter is decreased. The ease of application of the equations proposed, and their wide regions of validity for the thermal conductivity data, make such equations useful by the engineering point of view, for both numerical simulation purposes and thermal design tasks:

$$
\frac{k_{\text{eff}}}{k_f} = 1 + 4.4 \text{Re}_p^{0.4} \text{Pr}_{bf}^{0.66} \left( \frac{T}{T_{fr}} \right)^{10} \left( \frac{k_p}{k_f} \right)^{0.03} \phi_r^{0.66}
$$

(4)

where $T_{fr}$ is the freezing point unit of the base fluid. Also $\text{Re}_p$ and $\text{Pr}_{bf}$ are the nanoparticle Reynolds number and the base fluid Prandtl number, respectively, presented:

$$
\text{Re}_p = \frac{2 \rho_a k_b T}{\pi \mu_{bf} d_p^2}
$$

and

$$
\text{Pr}_{bf} = \frac{\mu_{bf} (c_p)_{bf}}{k_{bf}}
$$

(5)

where $k_b$ is the Boltzmann’s constant equal to $1.38066 \times 10^{-23} \text{J/K}$. Finally, the Corcione’s correlation, which is temperature-dependent correlation, has been used to extract the energy equation.

Model 2

In Model 2 thermal conductivity coefficient of nanofluid has been expressed by following relation [2, 3]:

$$
\frac{k_{\text{eff}}}{k_f} = -m(k_j - k_f)\phi_j + (k_p - k_j)\phi_k + mk_j + k_p + k_j
$$

(6)

Values of shape factors for various shapes of nanoparticles are presented in tab. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shapes of nanoparticles</th>
<th>Shape factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The values of shape factor of different shapes of nanoparticles [2]
Problem formulation

The laminar steady incompressible flow of nanofluid in the neighborhood of an axisymmetric stagnation-point of a stationary cylinder has been considered. The Navier-Stokes and energy equations in cylindrical co-ordinates governing the axisymmetric flow are given by [7-11]:

- mass

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru) + r \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \]  

(7)

- momentum

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho_n} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} + \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \frac{u}{r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right) \]  

(8)

\[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho_n} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{w}{r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right) \]  

(9)

- energy

\[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r k_a \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k_a \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = \left( \rho C_p \right)_s \left[ u \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right] \]  

(10)

The boundary conditions for velocity field:

\[ r = a : \quad u = 0, \quad w = 0 \]  

(11)

\[ r \to \infty : \quad u = -k \left( r - \frac{a^2}{r} \right), \quad w = 2kz \]  

(12)

In which, eq. (11) are no-slip conditions on the cylinder wall and relations eq. (12) show that the viscous flow solution approaches, in an analogous manner to the Hiemenz flow, the potential flow solution as \( r \to \infty \). For the temperature field:

\[ \begin{cases} r = a : & q = q_s = \text{constant} \\ r \to \infty & T \to T_s \end{cases} \]  

(13)

where \( q_s \) is the wall heat flux, respectively, and \( T_s \) – the freestream temperature.

A reduction of the Navier-Stokes equations is obtained by the following co-ordinate separation of the velocity field which is actually modeled by the form of their limits:

\[ u = -k \frac{a}{\sqrt{\eta + 1}} f(\eta), \quad w = 2k' \eta f(\eta)z, \quad p = \rho a^2 \eta \frac{P_r}{P} \]  

(14)

where \( \eta = (r/a)^2 - 1 \) is dimensionless radial variable and prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( \eta \). Transformations eq. (14) satisfy eq. (7) automatically and their insertion into eqs. (8)-(10) yields an ODE in terms of \( f(\eta) \), and an expression for the pressure:

\[ (\eta + 1) f'' + f' + \text{Re}_s \left[ 1 - (f')^2 + ff'' \right] = 0 \]  

(15)

\[ P - P_0 = -\frac{f''(\eta)}{2(\eta + 1) \text{Re}_s} + \frac{1}{\text{Re}_s} f'(\eta) - 2 \left( \frac{z}{a} \right)^2 \]  

(16)

In these equations:
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\[ \text{Re}_n = \frac{\beta 2a^2}{2\nu_f} \quad (17) \]

\[ \beta = \left[ 1 - 34.87 \left( \frac{d_x}{d_f} \right)^{0.3} \phi_1^{0.03} \right] \left[ 1 - \phi_1 + \frac{\rho_x}{\rho_f} \right] \quad (18) \]

and \( \text{Re}_n \) is the Reynolds number for nanofluid. From conditions eqs. (11) and (12), the boundary conditions for eq. (14):

\[ \eta = 0 : \quad f = 0, \quad f' = 0 \]
\[ \eta \to \infty : \quad f' = 1 \quad (19) \]

To transform the energy equation into a non-dimensional form, we introduce:

\[ \theta(\eta) = \frac{T(\eta) - T_w}{a_q_w} \quad (20) \]

By using Corcione’s correlation and introducing \( \Gamma \):

\[ \Gamma = 4.4 \left( \frac{2\rho_x K_s}{\pi \mu_d d_f} \right)^{0.04} \left( \frac{k_s}{k_f} \right)^{0.03} \quad (21) \]

The energy equation can be written:

\[ \left[ 1 + \Gamma \phi_1^{0.66} \Pr_{bf}^{0.66} \left( T_w + \frac{a q_w}{2 k_{bf}} \theta\right)^{0.4} \right] \left[ (\eta + 1)\theta' + \theta'' \right] + 10.4 \left[ T_w + \frac{a q_w}{2 k_{bf}} \theta \right] \theta'' = 0 \quad (22) \]

Using Model 2 of thermal conductivity coefficient leads to following relation for energy equation:

\[ (\eta + 1)\theta'' + \theta' + \text{Re}_n \Pr_{bf} f \theta' = 0 \quad (23) \]

From condition eq. (13), the boundary conditions for eqs. (22) and (23):

\[ \begin{aligned} 
\eta = 0 & : \quad \frac{k_w}{k_{bf}} \theta'(0) = 1 \\
\eta \to \infty & : \quad \theta(\infty) = 0 
\end{aligned} \quad (24) \]

Equations (15), (22), and (23) along with boundary conditions eqs. (19) and (24) have been solved by using the finite difference method along with TDMA algorithm [20]. Using this method, the initial values were guessed and the iteration was repeated until the convergence was obtained. In these computations the grid size was chosen 0.001 and the truncation error was set at 1E-9.

The flowchart of numerical method was depicted in the Appendix.

**Nusselt number**

The Nusselt number has been presented by the following relations:
\[ \theta(0) = \frac{T_w - T_e}{T_e - T_w} \quad \text{and} \quad h = \frac{q_w}{2k_p} \rightarrow \text{Nu} = \frac{ha}{2k_p} = \frac{1}{\theta(0)} \]  

(25)

Shear-stress

The shear-stress on the surface of the cylinder is obtained from:

\[ \sigma = \mu u \left[ \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} \right] \]  

(26)

where \( \mu \) is viscosity of the nanofluid. Using definition (14), the shear-stress at the cylinder wall for self-similar solutions becomes:

\[ \sigma = \mu [2k_f \theta(0)z] \frac{2}{a} \Rightarrow \frac{\sigma a}{4\mu k_z} = f''(0) \]  

(27)

Results and discussions

In this section, the solution of the ODE (15) and (22), for prescribed values of surface heat flux and selected values of Reynolds numbers and particle volume fraction are presented.

Sample profiles of the \( f(\eta) \) function against \( \eta \) at \( \phi_v = 0.02 \) and for selected values of Reynolds numbers are presented in fig. 2. Since with the increase of Reynolds number the dynamic inertia forces overcome the viscosities forces, as expected like the behavior of the base fluid, the depth of diffusion of the momentum increases. So as the Reynolds number increases, the depth of diffusion of the fluid velocity field in radial direction increases, too.

Effects of variation of particle volume fraction factor on \( f(\eta) \) function against \( \eta \) and selected value of Reynolds number \( \text{Re} = 1000 \) is shown in fig. 3. Since any increase of \( \phi_v \) leads to an increase in dynamic viscosity, the resistance of the viscous forces against the dynamic inertia forces increases. It is interesting to note that as \( \phi_v \) increases the depth of diffusion of the fluid velocity field in radial direction decreases. So, for all the Reynolds numbers, the base fluid case produces the highest value of radial velocity and as particle Volume fraction increases, this quantity decreases accordingly.

Figure 2. Variation of \( f \) in terms of \( \eta \) at \( \phi_v = 0.02 \) for different values of Reynolds number

Figure 3. Variation of \( f \) in terms of \( \eta \) at \( \text{Re} = 1000 \) and for different values of particle volume fraction
Sample profiles of the $f'(\eta)$ function against $\eta$ for $\phi_v = 0.02$, and for selected values of Reynolds numbers are shown in fig. 4. Again as Reynolds number increases, the depth of diffusion of the fluid velocity field in $z$-direction increases, too.

Effects of variations of particle volume fraction factor on $f'(\eta)$ function against $\eta$ for selected value of Reynolds number $Re = 0.1$ are shown in fig. 5. It is interesting to note that as $\phi_v$ increases the depth of the diffusion of the fluid velocity field in $z$-direction also decreases. Again, the base fluid case, $\phi_v = 0$, produces the highest value of velocity in $z$-direction and as particle volume fraction factor increases, this quantity decreases accordingly.

Sample profiles of the $\theta(\eta)$ function against $\eta$ for the case of constant wall heat flux for $\phi_v = 0.05$, $aq_w/2k_w = 200$, and for selected values of Reynolds numbers are depicted in fig. 6. As expected, the momentum is increased with the Reynolds number and consequently, not only the thermal boundary-layer thickness is decreased once the thermal diffusion is overcome, but also the wall dimensionless temperature is decreased, as can be observed in the following figures.
Effect of variations of particle fraction factor on $\theta(\eta)$ function against $\eta$ for, $a_q/2k_{eq}$ = constant, and selected values of Reynolds numbers are presented in figs. 7 and 8. For $\phi_v = 0$, base fluid, the result of Gorla [21] is extracted; it is interesting to note that, as $\phi_v$ increases, the absolute value of the dimensionless temperature gradient is decreased at surfaces and the wall dimensionless temperature is decreased, too; nevertheless, this decreasing rate is negligible compared to that of the heat transfer coefficient. Therefore, the heat transfer coefficient is increased through addition of nanoparticles.

Sample profiles of the dimensionless temperature $\theta(\eta)$ against $\eta$ for $\phi_v = 0.05$ and $Re = 100$, for selected values of heat flux are depicted in fig. 9. As heat flux increases, the dimensionless temperature decreases and so does the temperature gradient at surface. It is evident that the absolute value of the dimensionless temperature gradient is decreased at surfaces; nevertheless, this decreasing rate is negligible compared to that of the heat transfer coefficient. Therefore, the Nusselt number is increased through addition of nanoparticles.

![Figure 8. Variation of $\theta(\eta)$ in terms of $\eta$ at $a_q/2k_{eq} = 1500$ and $Re = 1000$ for different values of particle fractions](image)

![Figure 9. Variation of $\theta(\eta)$ in terms of $\eta$ at $\phi_v = 0.05$ and $Re = 100$ for different values of $a_q/2k_{eq}$](image)

Effects of variations of particle fraction factor on Nusselt number against Reynolds number for selected values of wall heat flux are presented in fig. 10. It is interesting to note that, as $\phi_v$ increases, the depth of the diffusion of the thermal boundary-layer increases. Based on the figures, an increase in the heat flux and the Reynolds number along with addition of nanoparticles will result in increased heat transfer coefficient.

Effect of wall heat flux on Nusselt number against Reynolds number for selected values of variations of particle fraction factor is depicted in fig. 11. As it reveals in this figures, increase in heat flux results in decrease in dimensionless temperature at the surface, whereas Nusselt number has inverse relation with dimensionless temperature at surface, as heat flux increases Nusselt number increases as well. Generally more heat flux results in more temperature gradient at the surface, also forced heat convection increases same as the heat convection coefficient.

Sample profiles of non-dimensional temperature, derived by using eq. (25) for thermal conductivity are shown in fig. 12. As it is clear, both employed model in this research are showing same trend, which validates how useful the first model is. The profiles reveal that as shape factor increases, temperature at surface decreases. Whereas, considering reverse relation...
between Nusselt number and temperature, an increase in shape factor results in an increase in Nusselt number. Therefore, platelet particles are more effective than spherical ones in enhancement of heat transfer.

In fig. 13, effect of particle volume fraction on dimensionless temperature is shown. Sample profiles are plotted using eq. (25) for thermal conductivity. As it is expected, same as the trend in figs. 7 and 8, the more particle volume fraction, the less non-dimensional temperature at surface and consequently more Nusselt number.

Conclusion

A similarity solution for the Navier-Stokes equations and energy equation has been obtained for the problem of axisymmetric stagnation-point flow of a nanofluid on a stationary cylinder with constant wall heat flux. A reduction of these equations is obtained by the use of appropriate transformations introduced for the first time. The general self-similar solution is obtained when the wall heat flux of the cylinder is constant. All the previous solutions have
been presented for Reynolds numbers, \( \text{Re} = \bar{v} \alpha^{2}/2\nu \), ranging from 0.1-1000 and different values of particle volume fraction. It can be said that for all Reynolds numbers and cylinder wall heat flux, as increases the depth of diffusion of the fluid velocity field in radial and axial directions decreases. But the Nusselt number and the depth of diffusion of the thermal boundary-layer increases.

**Nomenclature**

- \( a \) – radius of the cylinder, [m]
- \( d_{f} \) – equivalent diameter of a base fluid molecule, [m]
- \( d_{p} \) – equivalent diameter of a particle molecule, [m]
- \( f \) – dimensionless function, [-]
- \( h \) – convective heat transfer coefficient, \([\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}]\)
- \( \bar{k} \) – strain rate of free stream, [s\(^{-1}\)]
- \( k_{\text{eff}} \) – thermal conductivity of nanofluid, \([\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}]\)
- \( m \) – shape factor, [-]
- \( \text{Nu} \) – Nusselt number
- \( \text{Pr}_{b} \) – base fluid Prandtl number
- \( P \) – dimensionless pressure, [-]
- \( q_{w} \) – wall heat flux, \([\text{Wm}^{-2}]\)
- \( \text{Re}_{b} \) – base fluid Reynolds number
- \( \text{Re}_{n} \) – nanofluid Reynolds number
- \( \text{Re}_{p} \) – nanoparticle Reynolds number
- \( r, z \) – cylindrical co-ordinates, [m]
- \( T_{f} \) – the freezing point unit of the base fluid, [K]
- \( u \) – radial component of velocity field, [ms\(^{-1}\)]
- \( w \) – axial component of velocity field, [ms\(^{-1}\)]

**Greek symbols**

- \( \eta \) – similarity variable, [-]
- \( \theta \) – dimensionless temperature, [-]
- \( \mu_{n} \) – dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid, \([\text{kgm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}]\)
- \( \mu_{b} \) – dynamic viscosity of the base fluid, \([\text{kgm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}]\)
- \( \nu_{f} \) – kinematic viscosity of base fluid, \([\text{ms}^{-2}]\)
- \( \nu_{n} \) – kinematic viscosity of nanofluid, \([\text{ms}^{-2}]\)
- \( \rho \) – nanofluid density, \([\text{kgm}^{-2}]\)
- \( \rho_{b} \) – base fluid density, \([\text{kgm}^{-2}]\)
- \( \rho_{p} \) – particle density, \([\text{kgm}^{-2}]\)
- \( \sigma \) – shear stress, \([\text{kgm}^{-2}]\)
- \( \phi \) – particle fraction, [-]
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**Appendix**

```
START
Input Re_ao, q_ao, k_ao, m, u_ao, c_p, p_ao, r_ao, k_n, k_k
Calculation of mu_ao, Re_ao, k_ao, (p c_p)_ao, Pr_ao
CALL Geometry

Determination of boundary conditions of f-equation
Calculation of T.D.M.A coefficient
Call T.D.M.A subroutine
Calculation of f and df
Yes
No
Calculation of boundary conditions of θ-equation
Calculation of T.D.M.A coefficient
Call T.D.M.A subroutine
Calculation of θ and dθ
Yes
No
Call PRINT
END
```
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