APPLICATION OF THE KUDRYASOV METHOD WITH CHARACTERISTIC SET ALGORITHM TO SOLVE SOME PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN FLUID MECHANICS
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In this paper, we pay attention to the analytical method named, the Kudryashov method combined with characteristic set algorithm for finding the exact travelling solutions of two non-linear PDE in fluid mechanics, which named surface wave equation and the generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. The solution procedure of the Kudryashov method can be reduced to solve a large system of algebraic equations, which is hard to solve, then we use characteristic set algorithm to solve this problem. The obtained results show that the Kudryashov method combined with characteristic set algorithm is effective.
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Introduction

The PDE arising in many physical fields like the condense matter physics, fluid mechanics, plasma physics and optics, etc. The investigation of the exact solutions plays an important role in the study of physical systems, and finding exact solutions of the PDE is one of the central themes in mathematics and physics. In the past decades, a wealth of methods have been developed to obtain exact solutions of PDE. Some of the most important methods are the homotopy perturbation method [1], variational iteration method [2], Riccati differential equation method [3], and other methods [4-9].

The objective of this article is to look for new study for relating to the Kudryashov method to explore exact travelling wave solution for the surface wave equation and the generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. The solution procedure of the Kudryashov method can be reduced to solve a large system of algebraic equations, which is hard to solve, then we use the characteristic set algorithm to solve this problem. This application displays the simplicity, efficiency and effectiveness of the Kudryashov method with characteristic set algorithm [10]. To the best of our knowledge that the Kudryashov method has not been applied to the aforementioned equation in previous literature.

The Kudryashov method

Let us introduce the Kudryashov method as follows [10]. Consider the non-linear PDE in the following form:

$$Q(u, u_x, u_t, u_{xx}, u_{tt}, \cdots) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
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where \( u = u(x, t) \) is an unknown function, \( Q \) – a polynomial of \( u(x, t) \) and its partial derivatives in which the highest order partial derivatives and the non-linear terms are involved and the subscripts stands for the partial derivatives.

**Step-1:** We familiarize the travelling wave transformation:

\[
\xi = x - ct
\]

(2)

where \( c \) is the speed of travelling wave, the travelling wave transformation eq. (2) transform eq. (1) into an ODE for \( u = u(\xi) \):

\[
\Theta(u, u', -cu'', ...) = 0
\]

(3)

where \( \Theta \) is a polynomial of \( u \) and its derivatives and the superscripts specify the ordinary derivatives with respect to \( \xi \).

**Step-2:** We look for exact solution of eq. (3) in the form:

\[
u(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i Q(\xi)^i
\]

(4)

where \( a_i (0 \leq i \leq N) \) are constants to be determined, such that \( a_N \neq 0 \), while \( Q(\xi) \) has the form:

\[
Q(\xi) = \frac{1}{1 + \rho \exp(\xi)}
\]

(5)

a solution the Riccati equation:

\[
Q(\xi) = Q(\xi)^2 - Q(\xi)
\]

(6)

where \( \rho \) is arbitrary constant.

**Step-3:** By balancing the highest order derivative terms with the non-linear terms of the highest order come out in eq. (4), we can evaluated the value of the positive integer \( N \).

**Step-4:** By substituting eq. (4) along with eq. (6) into eq. (3) and equating all the coefficients of same power of \( Q(\xi) \) to zero, we obtained a system of algebraic equations. The obtaining system can be solved to find the value of \( c, a_i (0 \leq i \leq N) \) substituting these terms into eq. (4) along with eq. (5), the determination of solutions of eq. (1) will be completed.

**Characteristic set algorithm**

Let us give the characteristic set algorithm as follows [11].

A characteristic set, \( CS \), of a polynomial system, \( PS \), will be determined according to the following algorithm.

**Input:** A polynomial system \( PS \).

**Output:** A characteristic set \( CS \) of \( PS \).

**Step 1:** \( PS_0 \leftarrow PS \).

**Step 2:** Take a basic set, \( BS_0 \), of \( PS_0 \).

**Step 3:** Form \( RS = \text{Remdr}(PS_0 \setminus BS_0/BS) \setminus \{0\} \).

**Step 4:** If \( RS = \emptyset \) then \( CS \leftarrow BS \) and return. Otherwise \( PS_n = PS + BS + RS \) and go to **Step 2**.

The **Step 2** will be achieved by the algorithm below:

**Input:** A polynomial system \( PS \).

**Output:** A basic set \( BS \) of \( PS \).

**Step 1:** Set \( PS' = PS \) and \( BS = \emptyset \).

**Step 2:** If \( PS' = \emptyset \) then return \( BS \). Otherwise take from \( PS' \) a polynomial \( B \) of least class and least degree and set \( BS \leftarrow BS + \{B\} \).
Step 4: Set the set of polynomials in which are reduced w.r.t. $B$.

Step 4: Go to Step 2.

(Well-Ordering Principle) Let $CS$ be a characteristic set of a polynomials system $PS$. Then:

\[
\text{Zero}(PS / IP) = \text{Zero}(CS / IP)
\]

\[
\text{Zero}(CS / IP) \subset \text{Zero}(PS) \subset \text{Zero}(CS)
\]

\[
\text{Zero}(PS) = \text{Zero}(CS / IP) \cup \text{Zero}(PS + \{I_i\})
\]

where $I_i$ are initials in $CS$, and $IP$ is the initial-product of $CS$.

**Exact solutions of a surface wave equation in convecting fluid**

Consider the following surface wave equation \[12\]:

\[
u + a_1 \nu + a_2 uu + a_3 u_{xxx} + b_1 (uu_x)_x + b_2 u_{xxxx} = 0
\]

which describes oscillatory Rayleigh-Marangoni instability in a liquid layer with free boundary. Let’s assume the traveling wave solution of eq. \(7\) in the form:

\[
u(x,t) = v(\xi), \quad \xi = x - ct
\]

where $c$ is a arbitrary constant. Using the wave variable \(8\), the eq. \(7\) is carried to:

\[
a_1 \nu' - cu' + a_2 uu' + b_1 (\nu^2 + uu') + a_3 \nu^{(3)} + b_2 \nu^{(4)} = 0
\]

integrating eq. \(9\) once with respect to $\xi$ and setting the integration constant as zero, we get:

\[
(a_0 - c)u + \frac{a_2}{2} \nu^2 + (b_0 + b_1 u)u' + a_3 u^{(3)} + b_2 \nu^{(4)} = 0
\]

suppose that the solution of ODE \(10\) can be expressed:

\[
u(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} c_i Q(\xi)^i
\]

where $c_i (0 \leq i \leq N)$ are constants to be determined, such that $c_N \neq 0$.

Consider the homogeneous balance between the highest order derivative $u^{(3)}$ and non-linear term $uu'$ appearing in \(10\), we have $N = 2$, we then suppose that eq. \(10\) has the following solutions:

\[
u(\xi) = c_0 + c_1 Q(\xi) + c_2 Q(\xi)^2, \quad c_2 \neq 0
\]

substituting eq. \(12\) along with eq. \(6\) into eq. \(10\) and collecting all the terms with the same power of $Q(\xi)$ together, equating each coefficient to zero, yields a set of algebraic equations, which is large and difficult to solve, with the aid of the characteristic set algorithm, we can distinguish the different cases namely:

Case (1)

\[
c_2 = -\frac{12a_1}{a_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12a_2}{a_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, b_1 = b_2 = 0, c = a_0 + a_2
\]

Case (2)

\[
c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, a_1 = -25b_1, a_2 = -30b_2, b_0 = 119b_2, c = a_0 - 150b_2
\]
Case (3)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{12b_2}{b_1}, \quad a_1 = -13b_1, \quad a_2 = -18b_2, \quad b_1 = 71b_2, \quad c = a_0 + 78b_2 \]

Case (4)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_1 = \frac{a_1 b_1}{a_2}, \quad b_0 = -b_2, \quad c = a_0 + a_2 \]

Case (5)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_1 = -6b_1, \quad a_2 = -6b_2, \quad b_0 = -b_2, \quad c = a_0 - 6b_2 \]

Case (6)

\[ c_2 = 12\frac{b_0}{5a_1}, \quad c_1 = 12\frac{b_2}{5a_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = 2\frac{b_0 h_1 + 19b_2}{5}, \quad a_1 = 2\frac{b_0 h_1 + 6b_2 b_2}{5b_2}, \quad c = a_0 + 6b_2 - 36b_2 \]

Case (7)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{12b_2}{b_1}, \quad a_1 = -\frac{h_1 + 19b_2}{5}, \quad a_2 = \frac{b_0 h_1 + 6b_2 h_2}{5b_2}, \quad c = a_0 + 6b_2 - 36b_2 \]

Case (8)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = \frac{b_0 + b_1}{b_1}, \quad a_2 = a_1 = 0, \quad c = a_0 \]

Case (9)

\[ c_2 = \frac{12b_0}{5a_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b_2}{5a_1}, \quad c_0 = \frac{12b_2}{5a_1}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{b_0}{5}, \quad b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad c = a_0 + \frac{6}{5}b_0 \]

Case (10)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = \frac{b_0 + 19b_2}{5}, \quad a_1 = \frac{b_0 h_1 + 6b_2 b_2}{5b_2}, \quad c = a_0 + \frac{6b_0 - 36b_2}{5} \]

Case (11)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = -\frac{2(a_1 h_2 - 29a_1 h_1 + 24b_2 b_2 - 156b_2^2)}{h_1 (5a_2 + b_0 + 31b_2)}, \quad c_0 = 0 \]

\[ a_1 = a_2 h_1 + a_1 h_1 + 30b_1 h_1 b_2 + 30b_1 h_1^2, \quad b_0 = a_1^2 + 6a_1 b_2 - 6b_2^2, \quad c = a_0 + a_2 - h_0 - b_2 \]

Case (12)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = -6b_2, \quad a_1 = -h_1, \quad b_0 = -b_2, \quad c = a_0 - 6b_2 \]

Case (13)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{22b_1}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{10b_1}{b_1}, \quad a_2 = -5b_2, \quad a_1 = -\frac{5}{6}b_1, \quad b_0 = \frac{49}{6}b_2, \quad c = a_0 + \frac{25}{6}b_2 \]
Case (14)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{b_0 + 6b_2}{b_1}, \quad a_2 = -5b_2, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad c = a_0 \]

Case (15)

\[ c_2 = -\frac{12b_0}{5a_1}, \quad c_1 = 0, \quad c_0 = \frac{12b_0}{5a_1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{b_0}{5}, \quad b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad c = a_0 + \frac{6b_0}{5} \]

Case (16)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b_0}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{72b_0}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = -30b_2, \quad a_1 = -5b_2, \quad b_0 = 119b_2, \quad c = a_0 - 150b_2 \]

Case (17)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b_0}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{24b}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = \frac{-b_0 + 31b_2}{5}, \quad a_1 = \frac{-b_0 - 6b_2}{5b_2}, \quad c = a_0 - \frac{6b_0 + 36b_2}{5} \]

Case (18)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b_0}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12b_0}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = \frac{ab_2}{b_1}, \quad a_1 = b_0, \quad c = a_0 - a_2 \]

Case (19)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12b}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = a_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = -b_0, \quad c = a_0 \]

Case (20)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b_0}{5a_1}, \quad c_1 = c_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = \frac{b_0}{b_1}, \quad b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad c = a_0 - \frac{6b_0}{5} \]

Case (21)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{-2(29a_2b_2 + 6b_0^2b_2 + 29a_2b_2^2 + 102b_2b_2 + 92b_2^2)}{b_1(a_2b_0 + 45a_2b_2 + 29b_0b_2 + 29b_2^2)}, \quad c_0 = \frac{12(a_2b_0 + 45a_2b_2 + 29b_0b_2 + 29b_2^2)}{b_1(29a_2 + 6b_0 + 96b_2)} \]

\[ a_1 = \frac{29a_2b_2 + 6a_2b_0 + 35a_2b_0 + 6b_0^2 + 96a_2b_2 + 299a_2b_2 + 276b_0b_2 + 270b_2^2}{29a_1 + 6b_0 + 96b_2}, \quad b_0 = \frac{a_1^2 - 6a_1b_1 - 6b_2^2}{6b_2} \]

Case (22)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12a_2}{a_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{12a_2}{a_1}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{2a_2}{a_1}, \quad b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0, \quad c = a_0 - a_2 \]

Case (23)

\[ c_2 = \frac{-12b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_1 = \frac{48b_2}{b_1}, \quad c_0 = -\frac{36b_2}{b_1}, \quad a_1 = -3b_2, \quad a_2 = -18b_2, \quad b_0 = 7b_2, \quad c = a_0 + 54b_2 \]
For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the solution with respect to Case (1), the other solutions can be obtained in a similar way:

\[ u(x, t) = \frac{12a_2}{a_1(1 + e^{-(x-a_0)\rho})} - \frac{12a_2}{a_1(1 + e^{-(x+a_0)\rho})} \] and \( b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0 \)

**Exact solutions of the generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation**

Consider the following generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation [13]:

\[ u_t + uu_x + \alpha uu_{xx} + \beta uu_{xxx} + \gamma uu_{xxxx} = 0 \] (13)

let us assume the traveling wave solution of eq. (13) in the form:

\[ u(x,t) = u(\xi), \quad \xi = x - ct \] (14)

where \( c \) is a arbitrary constant. Using the wave variable (14), the eq. (13) is carried to:

\[ -cu' + uu' + \alpha uu^* + \beta uu^{(3)} + \gamma uu^{(4)} = 0 \] (15)

integrating eq. (15) once with respect to \( \xi \) and setting the integration constant as zero, we get:

\[ -cu + \frac{1}{2} u^2 + \alpha uu^* + \beta uu^{(3)} = 0 \] (16)

suppose that the solution of ODE (16) can be expressed:

\[ u(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} c_i Q(\xi)^i \] (17)

where \( c_i (0 \leq i \leq N) \) are constants to be determined, such that \( c_N \neq 0 \).

Consider the homogeneous balance between the highest order derivative \( u^{(3)} \) and non-linear term \( u^2 \) appearing in eq. (16), we have \( N = 3 \), we then suppose that eq. (16) has the following solutions:

\[ u(\xi) = c_0 + c_1 Q(\xi) + c_2 Q(\xi)^2 + c_3 Q(\xi)^3, \quad c_i \neq 0 \] (18)

substituting eq. (18) along with eq. (6) into eq. (16) and collecting all the terms with the same power of \( Q(\xi) \) together, equating each coefficient to zero, yields a set of algebraic equations, which is large and difficult to solve, with the aid of the characteristic set algorithm, we can distinguish the different cases namely:

**Case (1)**

\[ c_0 = 180\gamma, \quad c_1 = -480\gamma, \quad c_2 = 420\gamma, \quad c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = 90\gamma, \quad \alpha = 73\gamma, \quad \beta = -16\gamma \]

**Case (2)**

\[ c_0 = -12\gamma, \quad c_1 = 0, \quad c_2 = 120\gamma, \quad c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -6\gamma, \quad \alpha = \gamma, \quad \beta = 4\gamma \]

**Case (3)**

\[ c_0 = -60\gamma, \quad c_1 = 0, \quad c_2 = 180\gamma, \quad c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -30\gamma, \quad \alpha = -19\gamma, \quad \beta = 0 \]

**Case (4)**

\[ c_0 = 0, \quad c_1 = -\frac{720}{11}\gamma, \quad c_2 = 180\gamma, \quad c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -\frac{30}{11}\gamma, \quad \alpha = \frac{19}{11}\gamma, \quad \beta = 0 \]
Case (5)
\[ c_0 = 180\gamma, \quad c_1 = 0, c_2 = -60\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = 90\gamma, \quad \alpha = 73\gamma, \quad \beta = 16\gamma \]

Case (6)
\[ c_0 = 0, \quad c_1 = -120\gamma, c_2 = 240\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -6\gamma, \quad \alpha = \gamma, \quad \beta = 4\gamma \]

Case (7)
\[ c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0, \quad c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -60\gamma, \quad \alpha = 47\gamma, \quad \beta = 12\gamma \]

Case (8)
\[ c_0 = c_1 = 0, c_2 = 180\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = 30\gamma, \quad \alpha = -19\gamma, \quad \beta = 0 \]

Case (9)
\[ c_0 = c_1 = 0, c_2 = 120\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = 6\gamma, \quad \alpha = \gamma, \quad \beta = 4\gamma \]

Case (10)
\[ c_0 = c_1 = 0, c_2 = -60\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -90\gamma, \quad \alpha = 73\gamma, \quad \beta = 16\gamma \]

Case (11)
\[ c_0 = 12\gamma, c_1 = -120\gamma, c_2 = 240\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = 6\gamma, \quad \alpha = \gamma, \quad \beta = 4\gamma \]

Case (12)
\[ c_0 = 120\gamma, c_1 = -360\gamma, c_2 = 360\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = 60\gamma, \quad \alpha = 47\gamma, \quad \beta = -12\gamma \]

Case (13)
\[ c_0 = 120\gamma, c_1 = c_2 = 0, c_3 = -120\gamma, c = 60\gamma, \quad \alpha = 47\gamma, \quad \beta = 12\gamma \]

Case (14)
\[ c_0 = 0, c_1 = -480\gamma, c_2 = 420\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -90\gamma, \quad \alpha = 73\gamma, \quad \beta = 16\gamma \]

Case (15)
\[ c_0 = -\frac{8(11\beta\gamma - 28\gamma^2)}{7\beta + 44\gamma}, \quad c_1 = \frac{240(\beta\gamma - 18\gamma^2)}{7\beta + 44\gamma}, \quad c_2 = -15(\beta - 12\gamma) \]
\[ c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -\frac{4(11\beta\gamma - 28\gamma^2)}{7\beta + 44\gamma}, \quad \alpha = -\gamma, \quad \beta^2 + 16\gamma^2 = 0 \]

Case (16)
\[ c_0 = 0, c_1 = -360\gamma, c_2 = 360\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = -60\gamma, \quad \alpha = 47\gamma, \quad \beta = -12\gamma \]

Case (17)
\[ c_0 = 0, c_1 = \frac{240(\beta\gamma - 18\gamma^2)}{7\beta + 44\gamma}, \quad c_2 = -15(\beta - 12\gamma) \]
\[ c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = \frac{4(11\beta\gamma - 28\gamma^2)}{7\beta + 44\gamma}, \quad \alpha = -\gamma, \quad \beta^2 + 16\gamma^2 = 0 \]

Case (18)
\[ c_0 = \frac{60}{11}\gamma, c_1 = -\frac{720}{11}\gamma, c_2 = 180\gamma, c_3 = -120\gamma, \quad c = \frac{30}{11}\gamma, \quad \alpha = \frac{19}{11}\gamma, \quad \beta = 0 \]
For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the solution with respect to Case (1), the other solutions can be obtained in a similar way:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(x,t) &= 180\gamma - \frac{120\gamma}{(1 + e^{-90\gamma} \rho)^3} + \frac{420\gamma}{(1 + e^{-90\gamma} \rho)^2} - \frac{480\gamma}{1 + e^{-90\gamma} \rho}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we use the Kudryashov method combined with characteristic set algorithm to solve the surface wave equation and the generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation which are arising in fluid mechanics, this process can be reduced to solve a large system of algebraic equations, which is hard to solve, then we use characteristic set algorithm to solve the algebraic equations. The results show the effective of this method.
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**Nomenclature**

- \( u(x,t) \) – speed of travelling wave, \([\text{ms}^{-1}]\)
- \( x \) – space, \([\text{m}]\)
- \( t \) – time, \([\text{s}]\)
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