HERITABILITY, PHENOTYPIC AND GENETIC CORRELATIONS OF THE GROWTH INTENSITY AND MEAT YIELD OF PIGS
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Abstract: The five year study included two genotypes of gilts of performance tested gilts, Swedish landrace and crosses F₁ generation SLxLY. Of total number (n=3600), 1709 animals were genotype SL and 1891 animals were genotype SLxLY. Measuring of back fat thickness in the loin part (FT1), between 3rd and 4th lumbar vertebrae, 7cm laterally to the back line; back fat thickness (FT2) and depth of the musculus longissimus dorsi (MLD) between the 3rd and 4th rib from the rear, 7cm laterally to the back line. Assessment of meat yield was done using the ultrasonograph apparatus Piglog 105. In regard to meat yield indicators, medium heritability values were established for FT1 h²=0.461, and high values for FT2 h²=0.639, and for meat yield h²=0.633. Low heritability was established for depth of MLD (h²=0.105), life daily gain (h²=0.110) and age at the end of test (h²=0.103). Established phenotypic correlations between fat thickness FT1 and FT2 were strong (r_p=0.638); between fat thickness and meat yield very strong (r_p=-0.880 to -0.895), and between fat thickness and MLD very weak and negative (r_g=-0.103 to -0.216). Genetic correlations were stronger than phenotypic, so between fat thickness FT1 and FT2 the correlation was complete/full (r_g=0.930), also between fat thickness and meat yield (r_g=-0.979 to -0.982), whereas the correlation between fat thickness and MLD was strong and negative (r_g=-0.627 to -0.653). Heritability values for fat thickness and meat yield show that these traits have high level of heritability and are transferred to the progeny, whereas the level and strength of their dependance show that by decreasing the fat thickness positive influences is exhibited on meat yield, and that by increasing of depth of MLD also the meat yield is increased.
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Introduction

Intensity of growth, food utilization and meat yield are of great importance in breeding and selection. Considering that quantitative traits and their expression are under the influence of several genes, they are under strong influence of environment factors. This shows the significance of accurate and as precise possible assessment of these traits, as well as of the breeding value of the animal. The rate of selection progress depends on the intensity of selection, heritability ($h^2$) or accuracy in the evaluation of the animal's breeding value and average time interval between generations, i.e. average age of parents at birth of their progeny. Heritability coefficients for growth traits and carcass side quality are medium to high (Lo et al., 1992; Knapp et al., 1997; Hermesch et al., 2000; Chan et al., 2002; Gornjanc et al., 2003; Radović et al., 2003; Petrović et al., 2006). In the study of five breeds at the age of 180 days and average body weight of 110 kg, Szyndler-Nedza et al. (2010) have established the heritability for daily gain in boars of 0.070 for Puławska breed to 0.578 for Pietrain breed, whereas for lean percentage they have established lower heritability values of 0.013 for Puławska and 0.453 for Duroc breeds. In the same study, for gilts, established values $h^2$ for daily gain ranged from 0.079 to 0.585, and for lean percentage, from 0.032 to 0.303. Groeneveld and Peškovičova (1999), in their evaluation of the breeding value of gilts and boars in Slovakia, have established slightly lower heritability values for daily gain in the test (0.13 to 0.19). Authors state that the reason for low heritability values is in the structure of the data base.

In light of above mentioned, the need for continuous investigation and monitoring of the growth intensity and meat yield indicators is apparent, in order to determine as precisely and accurately possible the heritability, genetic and phenotypic correlations, since most of quantitative traits are influenced by numerous genes on different loci.

Material and Methods

Study of performance tested gilts was done during the period 2007 to 2011. In regard to test years, the distribution of gilts was following: $n_{2007}$=682, $n_{2008}$=875, $n_{2009}$=962, $n_{2010}$=697 and $n_{2011}$=384 gilts. Investigation included two genotypes – Swedish Landrace and crosses of F1 generation SLxLY (the first one designated is the dam). Of total number of animals ($n=3600$) included in the study, 1709 animals were genotype SL and 1891 animals of genotype SLxLY. Measuring of the back fat thickness and depth of the musculus longissimus dorsi (MLD) was done on animals of body weight of 90 to 110 kg, where 60 and 80 animals were tested in each group. Ultrasonographic apparatus (Piglog 105) was used, and the anatomic locations were: fat thickness in the loin part (FT1), between 3rd and 4th lumbar
Heritability values and heritability errors for growth intensity and meat yield in performance test gilts during five year research (2007 to 2011.), are presented in table 1. Presented data show that the low heritability was established for the age at the end of test ($h^2=0.103$) and life daily gain ($h^2=0.110$). In regard to meat yield indicators, determined heritability values ranged from low to high, e.g. for MLD depth low heritability was established ($h^2=0.105$), medium heritability value for FT1 ($h^2=0.461$) and high for FT2 ($h^2=0.639$) and lean percentage ($h^2=0.633$).
Table 1. Heritability ($h^2$) and heritability errors ($Sh^2$) for growth intensity and meat yield indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>$h^2$</th>
<th>$Sh^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at the end of test (AET), days</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life daily gain (LDG), g</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT1, mm</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT2, mm</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD, mm</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat yield, %</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phenotypic correlations for growth intensity traits and indicators of meat yield are presented in table 2. Obtained results for phenotypic correlations show that the correlation between FT1 and FT2 was strong ($r_p=0.638$). Correlation between the fat thickness values (FT1 and FT2) and lean percentage was negative and very strong ($r_p=-0.880$ and $r_p=-0.895$), whereas weak correlation ($r_p=0.332$) was determined between MLD depth and % of meat. After testing of the significance of correlations according to table values it was established that they were highly significant (P<0.01).

Table 2. Coefficients of phenotypic ($r_p$) and genetic ($r_g$) correlations for growth intensity and meat yield indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>$r_p$</th>
<th>$r_g$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT1 : FT2</td>
<td>0.638 **</td>
<td>0.930 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT1 : MLD</td>
<td>-0.103 **</td>
<td>-0.653 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT1 : Meat yield</td>
<td>-0.880 **</td>
<td>-0.979 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT1 : AET</td>
<td>-0.027 *ns</td>
<td>0.226 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT1 : LDG</td>
<td>0.021 *ns</td>
<td>-0.252 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT2 : MLD</td>
<td>-0.216 **</td>
<td>-0.627 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT2 : Meat yield</td>
<td>-0.895 **</td>
<td>-0.982 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT2 : AET</td>
<td>-0.019 *ns</td>
<td>0.267 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT2 : LDG</td>
<td>0.013 *ns</td>
<td>-0.292 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD : Meat yield</td>
<td>0.332 **</td>
<td>0.692 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD : AET</td>
<td>-0.015 *ns</td>
<td>-0.167 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD : LDG</td>
<td>0.016 *ns</td>
<td>0.171 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat yield : AET</td>
<td>0.023 *ns</td>
<td>-0.262 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat yield : LDG</td>
<td>-0.016 *ns</td>
<td>0.286 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AET : LDG</td>
<td>-0.994 **</td>
<td>-0.977 **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genetic correlations for traits of growth intensity and indicators of meat yield are presented in table 2. Based on presented data it is apparent that the level of dependance between FT1 and FT2 full/complete ($r_g=0.930$). Correlation
between back fat thickness (FT1 and FT2) and depth of MLD was negative and strong ($r_g=-0.653$ and $r_g=-0.627$). Negative and full/complete correlation between back fat thickness (FT1 and FT2) and lean percentage ($r_g=-0.979$ i $r_g=-0.982$), and strong correlation between MLD depth and % of meat ($r_g=0.692$) were established. For genetic correlations, after testing of significance of said traits, it was established that they were statistically highly significant ($P<0.01$), so it can be concluded that there was full/complete and strong correlation between indicators of meat yield.

Results of this research were in concordance with heritability values (Table 1) for daily gain established by Groeneveld and Peškovičova (1999) and Petrović et al. (2002) of 0.13 to 0.19. Compared to our study, slightly higher heritability values for AET in the range from 0.26 to 0.32 were established by Li and Kennedy (1994) and Groeneveld et al., (1996). Also, Dufek and Buchta (1987) have established high heritability values for LDG in Large Yorkshire and Landrace ($h^2=0.728$ and 0.643, respectively). Our study was in concordance with results obtained by Ducos et al. (1993), Li and Kennedy (1994) and Tomka et al. (2010) who have established $h^2$ values for back fat thickness of 0.46 to 0.64. Lower $h^2$ values for FT1 and FT2 have been established by Petrović et al. (2002) and Apostolov (2009) of 0.18 to 0.44. Results of our study in regard to meat yield are in concordance with results of Ducos et al. (1993) who have established heritability value of 0.60 to 0.65 for French Large Yorkshire and Landrace, as well as results of Groeneveld et al. (1996) who have established in Hungarian population of large Yorkshire and Landrace heritability values of 0.66 and 0.62. Slightly lower heritability coefficients for carcass lean percentage has been established by Petrović et al. (2002) and Radović et al. (2003), of 0.560 and 0.502. Contrary to our research, Groeneveld et al. (1998) have established lower heritability values for % of meat (established using apparatus PIGLOG 105) of 0.25 to 0.36 and for % of meat (determined by dissection) in the range from 0.25 to 0.39. Szyn德尔-Nędza et al. (2010) have established for gilts of Polish large Yorkshire and Polish Landrace breeds lower heritability values $h^2$ for back fat thickness (0.117 to 0.169) and share of meat (0.097 to 0.185) compared to present results. Heritability values established for MLD depth in animals of Polish Landrace breed (0.105:0.158) were in concordance with our results, whereas for Polish Large Yorkshire breed significantly lower $h^2$ value was established (0.045).

Results of our study are in concordance with studies conducted by numerous authors who have established between back fat thickness and daily gain very strong and weak genetic and phenotypic correlations (Bereskin and Frobish, 1982; Dufek and Buchta, 1987; Skorupski et al., 1996; Senčić et al., 1999). Also, in concordance with our results, Dufek and Buchta (1987) and Li and Kennedy (1994) have established very strong and weak phenotypic and genetic correlations between back fat thickness and AET, in the range from -0.04 to -0.12 for phenotypic, and from -0.06 to -0.17 for genetic correlations. Suzuki et al. (2005) have established weak genetic correlation between daily gain (of 30-105 kg) and
back fat thickness measured in the centre of the back ($r_g=0.34$), whereas in present study very weak negative correlation between fat thickness (FT2) and LDG ($r_g=-0.292$) was established. In the research by Sonessona et al. (1998), less strong negative correlation was established ($r_g=-0.77$) between back fat thickness and meat yield compared to present result ($r_g=-0.98$). Apostolov (2009) indicates less strong negative correlations between FT1 and share of meat $r_p=-0.658$ and $r_g=-0.723$ compared to results obtained in the present study. In said research, differences established for correlation between FT2 and share of meat, in comparison to present study, were lower ($r_p=-0.789$ : $r_p=-0.895$ i $r_g=-0.928$ : $r_g=-0.982$).

**Conclusions**

Based on these results we can conclude that the degree of heritability for age and daily gain is slightly lower than the results of other authors, which can be explained by the fact that the tests were performed on different breeds and swine herds, as well as that different models were applied, and different fixed and random factors included. Heritability values for fat thickness and meat yield show that these traits have high level of heritability and are transfered to the progeny, whereas the level and strength of their dependance show that by decreasing the fat thickness positive influences is exhibited on meat yield, and that by increasing of depth of MLD also the meat yield is increased.
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**Heritabilitet, fenotipske i genetske korelacije intenziteta porasta i mesnatosti svinja**

Č. Radović, M. Petrović, B. Živković, D. Radojković, N. Parunović, N. Brkić, N. Delić

**Rezime**

Petogodišnjim istraživanjem su bila obuhvaćena dva genotipa nazimica švedski landras i melezi F1 generacije ŠLxVJ. Od ukupnog broja (n=3600) istraživanjem je obuhvaćeno 1709 grla genotipa ŠL i 1891 grla genotipa ŠLxVJ. Merenje debljine ledne slanine i dubine *musculus longissimus dorsi* (MLD) i procena mesnatosti je obavljeno ultrazvučnim aparatom Piglog 105. Za pokazatelje mesnatosti utvrđeni
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su srednji heritabiliteti za SL1 $h^2=0,461$, a visoki za SL2 $h^2=0,639$, i za mesnatost $h^2=0,633$. Nizak heritabilitet utvrđen je za dubinu MLD-a ($h^2=0,105$), životni dnevni prirast ($h^2=0,110$) i za uzrast ($h^2=0,103$). Utvrđene fenotipske korelacije između debljine slanine SL1 i SL2 su jake ($r_p=0,638$); između debljine slanine i mesnatosti korelacije su vrlo jake ($r_p=-0,880$ do -0,895), dok su između debljine slanine i MLD-a jako slabe i negativne ($r_g=-0,103$ do -0,216). Genetske korelacije su jače od fenotipskih, tako da je između debljine slanine korelacija potpuna ($r_g=0,930$), kao i između debljine slanine i mesnatosti ($r_g=-0,979$ do -0,982), dok je korelacija jaka i negativna između debljine slanine i MLD-a ($r_g=-0,627$ do -0,653).
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