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Abstract. The development of automotive cyber-physical systems (CPS) software needs to consider not only functional requirements, but also non-functional requirements and the interaction with physical environment. In this paper, a model-based software development method for automotive CPS (MoBDAC) is presented. The main contributions of this paper are threefold. First, MoBDAC covers the whole development workflow of automotive CPS software from modeling and simulation to code generation. Automatic tools are used to improve the development efficiency. Second, MoBDAC extracts non-functional requirements and deals with them in the implementation model level and source code level, which helps to correctly manage and meet non-functional requirements. Third, MoBDAC defines three kinds of relations between uncertain physical environment events and software internal actions in automotive CPS, and uses Model Modifier to integrate the interaction with physical environment. Moreover, we illustrate the development workflow of MoBDAC by an example of a power window development.
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1. Introduction

From smart power grids to intelligent homes and from environmental monitoring to transportation systems [1-3], CPS are increasingly permeated into every aspect of our society. Unlike traditional computer systems which mainly focus on computing and information processing, CPS need to consider computing, communication, physical environment, and their interaction [4]. Therefore, CPS software is hard to develop because developers need to consider functional properties, non-functional properties,
such as timeliness, energy, memory, safety and reliability, and the interaction with physical environment.

In the recent two decades, both academia and industry have made efforts to explore approaches which are more applicable to the development of embedded software, such as the real-time object-oriented modeling (ROOM) [5], the UML-RT (implemented by IBM Rational Rose) [6], the Specification and Description Language (SDL), a language widely used in telecommunications domain [7], and Model-integrated Computing [8]. Among them, the model-based development of embedded software has become one of the most promising methods.

The current research on model-based development of embedded software basically focuses on high-level modeling and simulations (e.g. Ptolemy [9]), or integration methodologies of tools (e.g. MoBIES [10]) for embedded software, but seldom involves a suite of complete implementation for a specific domain and considers both the non-functional requirements and environment requirements. Although there are a few of model-based CPS development methods [11-12], these methods only stay on high-level design model or require well-defined components. In this paper, we propose MoBDAC, which supports the implementation under the OSEK/VDX (Open Systems and the Corresponding Interfaces for Automotive Electronics (in German)/Vehicle Distributed eXecutive (in French)) specification [13]. MoBDAC covers the whole development workflow of automotive CPS applications from modeling and simulation to code generation. MoBDAC helps to increase development efficiency and improve software quality, and it is easy to integrate the interaction with physical environment.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 summarizes the related work. Section 3 presents the architecture of MoBDAC. The implementation process is presented in section 4. In section 5 we illustrate the implementation of a power window control system as a case study and discuss the characteristics of MoBDAC. We conclude this paper in section 6.

2. Related Work

Ptolemy is one of the first research projects in the model-based development of embedded software. Ptolemy II [9], the current modeling tool of Ptolemy, is a hierarchical heterogeneous modeling environment for modeling, simulation, and design of concurrent, real-time, embedded systems. The purpose of Ptolemy II is to provide a trial platform for heterogeneous models of computation (MOC). Ptolemy II supports many kinds of MOC, such as synchronous dataflow (SDF), process networks (PN), finite-state machines (FSM), etc. Different components can be hierarchically integrated into a complex system under the government of different MOC. GME (The Generic Modeling Environment) [14] is a domain-specific meta-modeling environment, which provides different views to model the objects, relations and constraints. Because GME only provides meta-meta models, a whole
modeling process includes third phases: meta-modeling, modeling, and system-modeling. MoBIES (Model-Based Integration of Embedded Software) [10] is a tool chain for the integration of reusable embedded software. MoBIES integrates several kinds of existing commercial and academic tools to cover the modeling, model analysis, code generation, and runtime analysis in the development of embedded software, and uses standard XML file formats to exchange information among different tools. Currently, many commercial model-based development tools, such as Matlab/Simulink [15], MetaEdit+ [16], DOME [17], Rhapsody [18], etc., have been used to the development of embedded software, such as automotive electronic or avionic controlling software.

In recent years, CPS software development has been attracting more and more attention. Woo et al. [19] present a formal software development method with a suite of feedback control laws and efficient resource monitoring mechanism to deal with system failure effectively. Lin et al. [20] present an integrated simulation method in order to accurately reflect the operation and interaction between the cyber aspects and the physical aspects of CPS. Ma et al. [21] present a high-confidence cyber-physical alarm system (CPAS) and discuss its requirements, system models and implementation.

A few of research efforts have been made to develop CPS software with model-based methods. Magureanu et al. [11] present a model-based CPS development method for gas distribution. Their method mainly focuses on building the high-level models using UML. Bhatia et al. [12] present a model-based framework called SysWeaver to model, integrate, analyze, verify, and implement AUTOSAR-compliant automotive systems, which extends AUTOSAR (Automotive Open System Architecture) in order to meet the real-word requirements of automotive CPS, such as timeliness, fault tolerance, feedback, etc. In the development of automotive systems, SysWeaver requires the components are available and have well-defined parameters.

3. The Architecture of MoBDAC

The architecture of MoBDAC is shown in Figure 1. The main workflow of development includes four steps. First, extract software specifications from system specifications. Second, use modeling tools to build the models in problem domains (MPD), and then perform simulation in order to verify the correctness of models. Third, transform MPD into the models in implementation domains (MID). Finally, MID are used to generate code. Note that both non-functional requirements and the interaction with physical environment are extracted from system specifications besides software specifications, the non-functional requirements are used by analysis tools to verify whether the non-functional requirements of the software are met, and the information of the interaction with physical environment is used by Model Modifier to modify MID in order to generate correct code.
3.1. Function Extraction

The purpose of function extraction is to extract software specifications from system specifications of automotive CPS. For automotive CPS, their system specifications include the following three aspects.

- Functional requirements. Functional requirements define the behavior of a system and what the system does [22]. In automotive CPS, functional requirements define what functions they include, the operating process when completing a function, and the relations among different operations, etc. Developers can specify the functional requirements of automotive CPS according to what functions their subsystems (such as the body subsystem, the safety subsystem, etc) include, and how to carry out these functions.

- Non-functional requirements. Non-functional requirements define the quality of a system and how well the system should work [22]. Non-functional requirements specify global constraints [23], such as timeliness, safety, fault-tolerance, energy, etc [24-26]. Developers can specify the non-functional requirements of automotive CPS according to the global constraints from systems specifications.

- Physical environment requirements. For automotive CPS, different subsystems may work in different physical environment, and have different interaction modes and requirements. Physical environment
requirements define the interaction modes and requirements between automotive CPS software and their physical environment. For example, for an in-vehicle air-conditioning system, it detects the temperature and humidity in the vehicle, and decides its working status; for a backup radar, it detects the distance between the vehicle and obstacles, and decides its alarm status.

When performing function extraction, it only extracts the function requirements and put them into software specifications. The non-functional requirements and the interaction with physical environment are extracted by non-function extraction and physical environment extraction, and put them into Non-Functional Concern Table and Physical Environment Concern Table respectively.

3.2. Modeling

System specifications and software specifications are all text which is mainly used to communicate among designers. During modeling, we build MPD which denote the structures and functions of software from software specifications, and verify their correctness by simulation. MPD describe the structures of software, the relations among different parts, and the transition relations among different states.

Because they do not consider the characteristics of deployment platforms, MPD belong to Platform Independent Models (PIM). MPD are more suitable for designers to concentrate themselves on high-level function design and can enhance the portability of software. Moreover, there is a Model Modifier in the right of Figure 1. Model Modifier can build the relations between external physical environment events and software internal actions according to some rules, and then modify MID in order to make the modeling and simulation in MPD independent of physical environment. In automotive CPS, some physical environment events are certain. For example, in-vehicle temperature need be detected at a specific period. For certain interaction with physical environment, it is enough to model its behavior in functional requirements. For uncertain physical environment events, MoBDAC defines three kinds of relations between physical environment events and software internal actions.

- Correlative relation. When a physical environment event occurs, a corresponding software internal action must happen. For example, it is a correlative relation between a physical event of turning on an in-vehicle light and a software action that the state of the in-vehicle light turns from off to on. Developers can model the state of the in-vehicle light in problem domains to denote the effects caused by the corresponding physical event.

- Exclusive relation. The occurrence of a physical environment event means that a software internal action will not happen. For example, a power window will not move up when an obstacle is detected. There is an exclusive relation between the power window moving up and the
obstacle event occurring. Developers can model the software action in problem domains and process the results caused by the physical event in the reverse logic.

- Complementary relation. A physical environment event maybe happens when a software internal action occurs. For example, a wheel slip maybe occurs when a brake action is executing. Developers can model the software action in problem domains and detect the physical event in the software action.

In automotive CPS, developer can use correlative relation and exclusive relation to describe the interaction between passive reaction systems (e.g., Power Window System, Supplemental Restraint System, etc.) and physical environment, and complementary relation to describe the interaction between active reaction systems (e.g., Anti-lock Brake System, Anti Slip Regulation, etc.) and physical environment. Using these three relations, developers can model and simulate software functions in MPD without considering the influence of the uncertain physical environment events, Model Modifier adds the interaction with physical environment to MID after model transformation.

3.3. Model Transformation

MPD are independent of platforms and implementation. After they are built and verified, MPD need to be transformed into the models for specific hardware and software platforms, i.e., Platform Specific Models (PSM). The model transformation is composed of two steps:

- Model Analysis. Model analysis extracts all kinds of elements in MPD, the functions of elements, and the relations among elements according to the characteristics of the modeling tools. By model analysis, we know what elements are useful for MID, what elements are useless for MID (i.e. they will be filtered during model transformation), what elements server for the same functions, what elements share the same resource, the dependence relations among elements, etc, in order to provide support for generating MID.

- MID Generation. Because tasks are widely used software models in current software implementation, we use tasks as MID. We need organize the elements in MPD into tasks during model transformation according to their functions in automotive CPS. Because there may be hierarchical relations among the elements in MPD, it needs a suitable granularity to transform the elements in MPD into the elements in MID. Moreover, we need decide the relations among tasks, such as precedence order, the message passing, etc. Note that it is an important problem to assign task properties, such as periods, deadlines, execution voltage, processor temperature, etc. Some task properties may be decided according to the results of model analysis (for example, periods), some from Non-Functional Concern Table (for example, deadlines), and others according to specific rules or algorithms (for example, priorities and execution voltage). Because
3.4. Code Generation

After building MID, we can generate the code for OSEK-compatible OS according to the relations among tasks and the properties of tasks. Some properties of tasks highly depend on their implementation code. For example, the WCET (Worst-Case Execution Time) of tasks are usually evaluated on source code level or assemble code level. In automotive cyber-physical system development, MoBDAC supports two methods to improve the flexibility when evaluating code-dependent task properties, i.e., from the task model level or the source code level. We can use analysis tools to verify whether the software implementation meets its non-functional requirements. If the software implementation does not meet its non-functional requirements, we can modify the system design and repeat the above process until non-functional requirements are met.

After generating source code, we can use development tools which are usually available from chip manufacturers (e.g. CodeWarrior from Freescale Semiconductor [27]) to generate the machine code for special hardware platforms, e.g. DSP, MCS51, MPC555, and HCS12.

4. The Implementation of MoBDAC

Currently, we have implemented the development workflow of MoBDAC by an Automotive Electronic CPS (AECPS) tool chain which combines Ptolemy II with the development tools designed by ourselves, and the results have proved the effectiveness of MoBDAC. The following is the implementation of MoBDAC.

4.1. Function Extraction

Because system specifications and software specifications are designer-oriented documents, they mainly make designers understand the system and software requirements more easily and exactly. We perform function abstraction by hand. From system specifications, we find the functions and relations for software parts and put them into software specifications. After all specifications relevant with software have been abstracted, we get software specifications.
4.2. Modeling and Simulation in Problem Domains

In Ptolemy II, designers build MPD according to software specifications, and then verify their correctness by simulation. Modeling includes two steps. First, choose suitable MOC (Models of Computation). The choices of MOC are made mainly according to the continuity or discreteness of time, and the synchrony or asynchrony of events, etc. For example, the model of a power window control system is a hybrid model, where the states of the windows can be described by FSM, and the position of the window is a value that is suitable to be described by CT (Continuous Time) model. Second, construct MPD. Once the model is built, developers can observe the running results of the models in simulation windows. If the results of the simulation are not consistent with the requirements of software specifications, designers can check the models and debug the errors during modeling.

4.3. Model Transformation

Models built in Ptolemy II are independent of platforms and implementation. They need to be transformed into the ones under OSEK-compatible OS. Major modeling elements in Ptolemy II [28] include:

- **Entity** is a text segment with specific functions, e.g. directors, actors (including the ports, relations, and links that belong to directors/actors).
- **Port** is an input or output interface of an entity.
- **Relation** is the route of data or messages transmitted between different entities or just inside one entity.
- **Link** is a connection between input/output interfaces of entities and relations.
- **Property** is a characteristic of an entity element, such as its position, parameter, and name, etc.

In Ptolemy II, entities are the highest-level elements. Other elements are attached to entities. In the model built by AECPSDesigner, a modeling tool designed by us for MID, there are also elements as listed above, but they do not have the same meaning. Transforming MPD to MID becomes the key problem of the design process. We present the method of stepwise refining to transform MPD to MID. The transformation process is shown in Figure 2.
In Figure 2, the transformation workflow of models is composed of five steps, i.e., syntax parsing, task generation, task interaction analysis, task optimization, and XML output. There are three databases, i.e., Symbol Base, Task Base, and Automobile Knowledge Base, and multiple tables in each database. Besides the entity name field, records of Extend Entity table in Symbols Base include the directors that entities belong to, together with the functions of entities. Records of Task table in Task Base include the functions of tasks as well as the entities it includes. Automobile Knowledge Base includes the subsystems of the automotive CPS, their functions, and the importance level of their functions according to real-time and safety-critical degree. For example, the body subsystem consists of the power window control function, the power skylight control function, the power rear-view mirror control function, and the seat adjusting function, and so on. Automobile Knowledge Base helps to partition tasks in model transformation according to their functions, and merge different tasks according to their importance levels.

1) Syntax Parsing: In order to obtain the information of the models built in Ptolemy II, we analyze the output the MoML (Modeling Markup Language) file. We first extract the entities and put them into Extend Entity table as a new record. Then we take out other parts of entities, e.g. the directors it belongs, and put them into the records of relevant entities as new fields. After that, we search Automobile Knowledge Base to find out the functions of the entities and put them into Extend Entity table as a field. The properties, relations, ports and links that we get from the MoML file should also be put into the relevant tables.
2) Task Generation: We classify the entities in Symbol Base and then create tasks, as well as find the properties and functions of each entity and output them to Task Base. We use the algorithm shown in Figure 3 to classify the entities. In Figure 3, if the MOC of F is relevant to events, Classify algorithm finds all entities depending on these events and marks the same task tag (Line 4-Line 13). Otherwise, Classify algorithm finds all entities with the same function and marks them the same task tag (Line 15-Line 22). Note that all unmarked entities and ungrouped entities are marked the same task tag (Line 12, Line 21).

Algorithm Classify(FN)

1. **Begin**
2. F = The collection of all entities having function FN in Extend Entity table;
3. If (The MOC of F is relevant to events) then
4. Begin
5. ai = The first entity in F;
6. While (ai <> null and the task tag of ai are unmarked)
7. Begin
8. Find out the entities depending on the same one or more events, merge them to a group, and mark a new task tag;
9. ai = the next entity in F;
10. End
11. Classify all the unmarked entities in F into a group, and mark a new task tag;
12. End
13. Else
14. For (each entity ai) in F
15. If (ai completes the same function with some entities which belong to task ti ) then
16. Set the task tag of ai to be ti, and merge them to a group;
17. Else
18. Allocate a new task tag ti;
19. Endif
20. Classify all the ungrouped entities in F into a group, and mark a new task tag;
21. Endif
22. End
23. **End**

Fig. 3. Entities classifying algorithm

After classifying the entities, all entities with the same task tag are grouped into one task and saved into Task table in Symbol Base. From Property table in Symbol Base, a corresponding property table can be created for the entities in Task Base by performing the following operation:

a) If an entity is only relevant with other entities in the same task, eliminate its properties.

b) If an entity is relevant with the entities of other tasks, combine all properties of the entity as the task’s global properties and then put them into Property table in Task Base.

According to the functions of each entity in Automotive Knowledge Base, put its importance level property into Task table in Task Base.

3) Task Interaction Analysis: In order to find the relations between tasks, we employ the algorithm defined in Figure 4. In Figure 4, for every task in Task table, Interaction algorithm finds its links, ports, and properties and outputs them to corresponding tables in Symbol Base (Line 3-Line 18). After that, Interaction algorithm finds the corresponding messages, events, alarms,
and resources for every task in Task table and output them to corresponding tables in Symbol Base (Line 20-Line 38).

1 Algorithm Interaction()
2 Begin
3   ti = The first task in Task table;
4   While (ti <> null) 
5       Begin
6           R = All relations in Relation table contained by entities pertaining to ti;
7           ri = The first relation in R;
8           While (ri <> null)  
9               Begin
10                  If (ri links with entities belonging to other tasks in Task table) then
11                      Add a new link to Connection table in Task Base, and add the linking ports 
12                        between tasks to Port table;
13                      Else
14                        Add a corresponding property to Property table;
15                      Endif
16                  End  
17                 ti = The next task in Task table;
18               End
19          ti = The first task in Task table;
20   While (ti <> null)  
21       Begin
22         ci = the first link associated with ti in Relation table ;
23         While (ci <>null)  
24            Begin
25                If (The port connected with ci transmits data) then
26                    Add a message to Message table;
27                Else
28                    If (The port connected with ci transmits sporadic events) then
29                        Add an event to Event table;
30                    Elseif (The port connected with ci transmits periodic events)  
31                          Add an alarm to Alarm table;
32                    Endif
33            Endif 
34            Search other tasks having the same property. If it succeeds, convert the property to 
35                resource and add it to Resource table; 
36            ci = The next link associated with ti in Relation table ;
37       End 
38   End 
39   End

Fig.4. Task Interaction analysis algorithm

4) Task Optimization: The tasks generated are analyzed to decide whether they should be merged in order to reduce task number. The following factors should be taken into account:
   a) The dependence relationship between tasks.
   b) The importance level of each task.

We employ the merging algorithm shown in Figure 5 to perform task optimization. In Figure 5, TaskOptimizing algorithm finds the tasks whose importance level of its function is no more than a threshold Pthreshold (which is set by developers) (Line 6), and merged them into a task in order to reduce the task number in the system (Line 11-Line 12).

Note that the merging operation of two tasks is allowed if it does not result in an annular dependence relation, and the merged tasks are stored in Task Base. The critical tasks will not be merged. For example, for safety-critical tasks, the real-time property is highly demanded, and they will not be merged.
Algorithm TaskOptimizing()

Begin

  ti=The first task in Task table;

  While (ti <> null)

  Begin

    If (ti <> null and the importance level of ti's function <= Pthreshold)

    Begin

      tj=The first task in Task table;

      While (tj <> null)

      Begin

        If (the importance level of tj's function <= Pthreshold  and no cyclic dependences of resources and events among all tasks after tj composited with ti)

        Begin

          Add the properties, events, relations, ports, connections, resources and messages to corresponding tables associating with ti;

        Endif

        tj=The next task in Task table;

      End

    Endif

    ti= The next task in Task table;

  End

End

Fig. 5. Task optimizing algorithm

4.4. XML Output and Code Generation

We take out the tasks from Task Base and parse their functions, properties and relations with other tasks. As a result, An XML file is created.

Because the MID are based on OSEK-compatible OS, AECPSDesigner can show the implementation domain model by parsing the transformed XML file. In AECPSDesigner, developers can modify model properties, e.g. task names and priorities. Using AECPSDesigner, implementation code of models for OSEK-compatible OS can also be generated automatically through analyzing the relationship between tasks and other objects (such as alarms, events, and resources which is defined in OSEK-compatible OS). Developers can also modify/add implementation code by hand as widely supported in other model-based development tools such as Simulink and Rhapsody because fully model-based design is almost impossible currently. Currently, we have implemented the non-functional analysis for tasks in time and energy-savings by using the methods in [29-31]. In non-functional property analysis, measurement of the WCET of a program is a fundamental problem. There are many methods to estimate the WCET of a program [32], such as static program analysis, measurement, simulation, etc. In our current implementation, we use measurement-based method because it has been widely supported by current development tools for automotive electronics. For example, after defining the parameters of worst-case execution path, we can measure the worst-case execution time of a program by using CodeWarrior Debugger to simulate the microcontroller's running and obtain the number of the processor's clock cycles elapsed since the beginning of the simulation. After measuring the WCET of a program, we can mark the WCET of tasks in MID and analyze whether its deadline is met. Combining the characteristics of processors and OS, timing analysis and energy-saving
algorithm can be used to analyze whether the deadlines of tasks meet and improve the energy-saving effect of software.

5. Case Study and Analysis

We have applied MoBDAC to the development of automotive CPS software, and achieved good effect. In this section, we demonstrate the design process presented in section 3 through a power window control system. Figure 6 shows the network topology structure of a power window control system. In Figure 6, P1 is the processor which controls the power windows, and P2 is the processor which is responsible for information display. A passenger can press Button1 to control the up or down of the power window, and a driver can also press Button2 to send messages to P1 to control the up or down of the power window. Once it changes, the position of the power window can be sent to P2 and shown in LCD.

The power window control system is a relatively complex system with the following functions: a) manual up; b) manual down; c) automatic up; d) automatic down; and e) obstacle-detecting. Because the up and down messages from CAN bus is equivalent to these from Button1, we only consider the up and down messages regardless of their sources. For simplicity, we only consider the functions of manual up, manual down, and obstacle-detecting. Note that obstacle-detecting is a safety measure which prevents arms from being clamped when the power window is moving up. We assume the software specifications can be described as follows:

a) When a passenger pushes the up button once, the window move up for 4cm if the position of the power window is less than 40cm and there is no obstacle.

b) When a passenger pushes the down button once, the window move down for 4cm if the position of the power window is more than 0cm.

c) If an obstacle is detected during moving up, the window moves down for 4cm.
During its movement, the states of the power window are controlled by the event of up or down. We choose FSM as its MOC and classify the states of the power window into fully_opened, fully_closed and semi_opened.

The model of the power window built in Ptolemy II consists of three levels. The first-level model is shown in Figure 7.

In Figure 7, there are two discrete periodical event sources (UpEvent and DownEvent), a power window model and a Timedplotter. The two discrete periodical event sources are used to generate up events, and down events respectively. Their configuration is shown in Table 1. The offsets denote the time span from the occurrences of events to the period of the events. The position of the window is output to TimedPlotter in order to observe its value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event source</th>
<th>Period (second)</th>
<th>offsets</th>
<th>values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UpEvent</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>{1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0}</td>
<td>{1, 1,1,1, 1,1,1, 1,1,1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DownEvent</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>{11.0, 12.0, 13.0, 14.0, 15.0, 16.0, 17.0, 18.0, 19.0, 20.0}</td>
<td>{1, 1,1,1, 1,1,1, 1,1,1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second-level model is the power window model. Note that the function model we actually need is the power-window model. The first-level model is used to simulate the controlling effects.
The second-level model is an FSM denoting the state transition of the power window, as shown in Figure 8. The FSM consists of four states: init, fully_opened, fully_closed, and semi_opened. We assume the initial state of the FSM is init. In fact, the init state is an additive state for the convenience of controlling. The FSM will immediately transfer into fully_opened state from the init state when it begins to work. The end states of the FSM are fully_opened, fully_closed, or semi_opened. The obstacle-detecting function should be implemented when an UpEvent occurs. However, whether there is an obstacle depends on the physical environment and is uncertain. It is difficult to simulate this uncertainty. We know obstacles need to be detected when UpEvent events occur and obstacle-detecting has the exclusive relation with UpEvent events. The Model Modifier records the following rules:

- **Name**: Obstacle-detecting.
- **Relevant Event**: UpEvent.
- **Relationship**: Exclusive.
- **Expression**: if (it is semi_open) power-window: position = power-window: position - 4; if (it is fully_opened) NO ACTION.

In the above expression, it reduces the position of the power window by 4cm when its state is semi_open; and takes no action when its state is fully_opened.

After Model Modifier records the above rules, we need not consider the obstacle-detecting function in the MPD. The relations among different states, triggering conditions (guardExpression in Ptolemy II) and triggering actions (setActions in Ptolemy II) are shown in Table 2.

In Table 2, down_isPresent denotes the occurrence of a down event; up_isPresent denotes the occurrence of an up event; position denotes the current position of the power window. semi_opened, fully_opened, and fully_closed are refined into the third-level models with the same name, i.e. the window position model. *position denotes the position of a power window in the third-level models.
### Table 2. State transition of the power window

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State transition</th>
<th>guardExpression</th>
<th>setActions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>init-&gt;fully_opened</td>
<td>True</td>
<td>fully_opened.position=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semi_opened</td>
<td>down_isPresent</td>
<td>semi_opened.position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp;&amp; position&gt;4</td>
<td>=position-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semi_opened</td>
<td>up_isPresent</td>
<td>semi_opened.position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&amp;&amp; position&lt;36</td>
<td>=position+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fully_opened</td>
<td>down_isPresent</td>
<td>fully_opened.position=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fully_opened</td>
<td>up_isPresent</td>
<td>fully_closed.position=40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fully_opened</td>
<td>&amp;&amp; position&gt;=36</td>
<td>fully_closed.position=40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fully_closed</td>
<td>down_isPresent</td>
<td>semi_opened.position=36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The third-level model is a model of CT denoting the position of the power window. There are three third-level models which are corresponding to the refined states of semi_opened, fully_opened, and fully_closed respectively. The three third-level models have the same structure, as shown in Figure 9.

![Fig. 9. The third-level model of the power window](image)

In Figure 10, the position at the top right is a parameter denoting the position of the power window. The Window_Position is an expression actor. It uses the position parameter as its input and directly outputs it to the position.
port. The position port is connected to TimedPlotter in order to display the position of the power window.

From the events source characteristics, we can know that the power window should move up and down in turn. The simulation result in Ptolemy II is shown in Figure 10.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig. 10.** The simulation result of the power window

In this paper, we don’t describe all the details of the MoML file for the power window model due to space constraints, and only explain the essential parts for the model transformation.

```
<entity name="windowcontrol" class="ptolemy.actor.TypedCompositeActor">
  <property name="_createdBy" value=">
    <property name="CT of Power Window" class="ptolemy.domains.ct.kernel.CTMixedSignalDirector">
      <property name="startTime">
        </property>
      </property>
    </property>
  </property>
</entity>
```

**Fig. 11.** The first-level model stored in MoML file
The MoML file of the first-level model is shown in Figure 11. Note that some unimportant details have been omitted by suspension points for easy to understand.

- Line 1-2: They define the version and Document Type Definitions (DTD) used in this MoML file.
- Line 3-5: The file name of the MoML file is windowcontrol; the model is a composite model (including different MOCs); the version of Ptolemy II is 5.0.1. The range of this entity is from line 3 to line 28.
- Line 6-9: The name of the first-level model is — C T of Power Window”. It is a CT model.
- Line 10-12: They define the second-level model to describe the state transition of the power window. Note that the second-level model uses the FSM model and its detail is omitted in line 11.
- Line 13-22: They define the UpEvent entity with its periods, offsets, and values from line 13 to line 21. The DownEvent entity and the TimedPlotter entity are omitted in line 22.
- Line 23-25: Relations are defined in order to represent the links among UpEvent, DownEvent, Power-Window Model, and TimedPlotter. Note that only one is shown, and the others are omitted.
- Line 26-27: The links among UpEvent, DownEvent, Power-Window Model, and the TimedPlotter are defined. Note that only one is shown, and the others are omitted.

The second-level model denotes the state transition of the power window, as shown in Figure 12.

```
1 <entity name="Power-Window Model" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.modal.ModalModel">
2     <port name="up" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.modal.ModalPort">
3         <property name="input"/>
4     </port>
5     ...
6     <entity name="fully_opened" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.kernel.State">
7     ...
8     </entity>
9     ...
10    <relation name="relation6" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.kernel.Transition">
11       <property name="guardExpression" class="ptolemy.kernel.util.StringAttribute" value="up_isPresent && position>=36">
12       </property>
13       <property name="setActions" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.kernel.CommitActionsAttribute" value="fully_closed.position=40">
14       </property>
15       ...
16     </relation>
17     ...
18    <link port="fully_opened.incomingPort" relation="relation8">
19     ...
20    </entity>
21     ...
22     ...
23    </entity>
24 ...
```

Fig. 12. The second-level model stored in MoML file

- Line 1: The name of the model is Power-Window Model. It is a FSM model.
They define an input port, i.e. up port, from line 2 to line 4. Other ports and their properties are omitted in line 5.

Line 6-9: They define an entity named fully_opened from line 6 to line 8 to denote a state of the FSM in the second-level model. Other entities and their properties are omitted in line 9.

Line 10-17: They define a state transition with its triggering condition (guardExpression) and corresponding actions (setActions) from line 10 to line 16. Other state transition, triggering condition and corresponding actions are omitted in line 17.

Line 18-19: They define all the links used in the second-level model.

Line 20-23: They denote a third-level model refined from the fully_opened states from line 20 to line 22. Other third-level models from the refinement of fully_closed and semi_opened are omitted in line 23.

The third-level model denotes the position of the power window, as shown in Figure 13.

```xml
<entity name="fully_opened" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.modal.Refinement">
  <property name="CT for Position" class="ptolemy.domains.ct.kernel.CTEmbeddedDirector">
    ...
  </property>
  <port name="up" class="ptolemy.domains.fsm.modal.RefinementPort">
    <property ...

  </relation>
  <link port="position" relation="relation"/>
  <link port="Window_Position.output" relation="relation"/>
</entity>
```

Fig. 13. The third-level model stored in MoML file

Line 1: The name of the model is fully_opened. It is a refinement of the FSM model.

Line 2-4: The third-level model is a CT model.

Line 5-9: The up port and its properties are defined from line 5 to line 8. The other two ports, the down port and the position port are omitted in line 9.

Line 10-14: They define the expression entity in the third level and its properties, ports, relations and links.

Line 15-18: They define the relations and links used in the third-level model.

After building the correct models in Ptolemy II, we transform them into the model in AECPSDesigner. We have mentioned that the first-level model is only for the purpose of simulation. We remove the first-level model and keep
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the second-level model (power window model) and the third-level models (power window position model) before performing model transformation. In order to recognize the type of the input and output signals, we add `signalType` parameters to the `up` port and the `down` port with the values of "DISCRETE", and a `signalType` parameter to the `position` port with the value of "CONTINUOUS". The process of model transformation consists of five steps.

First, parse the MoML file of the power window. Although directors are entities, they are not viewed as common entities because they are only used to denote MOC. There are four entities in the power window model, init, semi_opened, fully_opened, and fully_closed. From the Automobile Knowledge Base, their functions are found and put into Extend Entity table, as shown in Table 3. The properties, relations, ports and links are also parsed. Some properties are not needed, such as the size, position, color, etc. They are not included in Property table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity name</th>
<th>MOC</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>init</td>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>Body_Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semi_opened</td>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>Body_Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fully_opened</td>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>Body_Window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fully_closed</td>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>Body_Window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second, generate tasks. Because entities of semi_opened, fully_opened, and fully_closed have the same function (Body_Window) and depend on the `up` event and `down` event, they are grouped into a task named `task1`. The entity init is also included into `task1` because it has the same function with other entities in `task1`. The other three entities in the third-level model are classified into `task2`.

Third, analyze the interaction among tasks. The state transition information of `task1` is stored in Property table. The position property is stored in Property table of `task2`. The `up` event and `down` event, including the triggering condition and state transition, are stored in Event table of `task1`. The `up` port and `down` port are stored in Port table of `task2`. The `position` port is stored in Port table of `task2`. Because `task2` controls the position of the power window, a resource, `res1`, is created and put into Resource table.

Fourth, optimize tasks. In the Automobile Knowledge Base, the functions that `task1` and `task2` perform are not safety-critical, and have lower priority. `task1` and `task2` are incorporated into one task, `task3`. Their properties, ports, and events are incorporated into a new task. `task1` and `task2` are removed from Task table. The priority is an important property for a task. We assign the priority levels of tasks according to the importance level of their functions.

Fifth, generate the XML file. `task3` are taken out from Task Base. It waits for two events. `task3`'s running information can be generated according to the triggering condition and state transition. `Res1` is the resource it uses. This model is the one under OSEK-compatible OS. Then the model is output to an XML file.
The pseudo-codes of the generated codes are shown in Figure 15. In Figure 15, `task3` first initializes the position and state of the power window (Line 2-Line 3), and then waits and processes input event (Line 4-Line 28). Once receiving an input event, `task3` obtains a resource in order to access the power window (Line 6), and then changes the position and state of the power window according to the rulers in Table 2 (Line 7-Line 26). Note that the obstacle-detecting is implemented in Line 9-Line 10 and Line 20-Line 23. After that, `task3` updates the state of the power window, sends its position to P2 by CAN bus (Line 25), and release the resource (Line 27).

From the development process of the power window, we can know MoBDAC covers the whole development workflow of software. By separating function requirements, non-functional requirements, and physical environment requirements, developer can concentrate their attention on the function logic of CPS in MPD. The non-functional requirements are analyzed and verified in MID where the characteristics of deployment platform and the execution properties of software are available, and the interaction with physical environment is integrated into implementation models by analyzing relevant events. MoBDAC improves development efficiency by automatic model transformation and code generation, improves software quality by verifying function properties in MPD and non-functional properties in MID, and is easy to integrate the interaction with physical environment.
1 TASK(Task){
2    Set initial position of the power window to be zero;
3    Set initial state of the power window to be fully_opened;
4    While (true){
5        Wait for an event;
6        Obtain the resource of the power window;
7        if (The state is fully_opened) {
8            if (The event is an up event)
9                if (No obstacle is detected)
10                    Set the position of the power window to be 4.
11            }
12        else if (The state is fully_closed) {
13            if (The event is a down event)
14                Set the position of the power window to be 4.
15            }
16        else {
17            if (The event is a down event)
18                Decrease the position of the power window by 4.
19            else if (The event is a up event)
20                if (No obstacle is detected)
21                    Increase the position of the power window by 4.
22                else
23                    Decrease the position of the power window by 4.
24            }
25        Send the position of the power window to CAN bus.
26        Update the state of the power window.
27        Release the resource of the power window;
28    }
29    TerminateTask();
}

Fig. 15. Codes generated by AECPSDesigner

6. Conclusions

Aiming at the development of automotive CPS software, we present a model-based development method under operating systems compatible with OSEK/VDX specification. This method increases development efficiency by automatic tools, and can verify the correctness of function requirements, non-functional requirements, and integrate the interaction with physical environment. The future work is to integrate more analysis methods for non-functional requirements and verify the interaction with physical environment in MIP.

Acknowledgment. This work has been supported by the Pre-research Scheme of 973 Program of China (Grant No. 2010CB334707), Zhejiang Provincial Key Science and Technology Program (No. 2009C14013), and the Scientific Research Found (No. 0804623-Y, No. KYS055610046, No. KYS055608107).
References

Zhigang Gao, Haixia Xia, and Guojun Dai


Zhigang Gao received the Ph.D. degree from the College of Computer Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China in 2008. He is a teacher in the College of Computer Science, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou, China. His current research interests are pervasive computing, Cyber-Physical Systems, and automotive electronic systems.
Haixia Xia received the Ph.D. degree from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China in 2007. She is a teacher in the College of Informatics & Electronics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou, China. Her current research interests are pervasive computing and motor systems.

Guojun Dai received the Ph.D. degree from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China in 1998. He is a professor in the College of Computer Science, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou, China. His current research interests are pervasive computing and intelligent embedded systems.

Received: March 3, 2011; Accepted: April 26, 2011.